## Exhibit E Permits for Construction and Operation

Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project



Todd Adams, Project Leader (208) 388-2740 tadams@idahopower.com Zach Funkhouser, Permitting (208) 388-5375 <u>zfunkhouser@idahopower.com</u>

Amended Preliminary Application for Site Certificate

June 2017

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTE	RODUCTI	ON	E-1
2.0	APP	LICABLE	RULES AND AMENDED PROJECT ORDER PROVISIONS	E-1
	2.1	Site Cert	tificate Application Requirements	E-1
	2.2		d Project Order Provisions	
3.0	ANA	LYSIS	·	E-2
	3.1		Under the Council's Jurisdiction (Included in and Governed by the Si	
	0.1		te)	
		3.1.1	,	
		3.1.2	Removal-Fill Permit	
		3.1.3	Fish Passage Plan Approval	E-4
		3.1.4	Public Land Action Permit	E-5
		3.1.5	Morrow County Land Use Permits	E-5
		3.1.6	Umatilla County Land Use Permits	
		3.1.7	Union County Land Use Permits	
		3.1.8	Baker County Land Use Permits	
		3.1.9	Malheur County Land Use Permits	
			City of North Powder	
			City of Huntington	E-16
		3.1.12	Summary of State and Local Permits under Council	
			Jurisdiction	
	3.2		Outside the Council's Jurisdiction (Not Included In or Governed by Si	
			te)	
		3.2.1		
			United States Forest Service Permits	
		3.2.3	United States Department of Navy Easement	E-22
		3.2.4	United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) CWA	F 00
		225	Section 404 Nationwide Permit 12 (Utility Line Activities)	E-22
		3.2.5	Federal Aviation Administration Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration	E 22
		3.2.6	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) Permits	
		3.2.0 3.2.7	Oregon Parks and Recreation Department – State Historic	E-23
		5.2.1	Preservation Office Permits	E-24
		3.2.8	Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)	
		3.2.9	Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF)	
			Morrow County	
			Umatilla County	
			Union County	
			Baker County	
			Malheur County	
	3.3		y Delegated Permits	
	3.4		irty Permits	
		3.4.1	•	
		3.4.2	Federally-Delegated Permits	
	3.5	Monitorir	ng Program	E-37
4.0	CON	/IPLIANCE	E CROSS-REFERENCES	E-37

## LIST OF TABLES

Table E-1. State and Local Permits Included in and Governed by Site Certificate	. E-17
Table E-2. Federally Delegated Permit Application Status	. E-32
Table E-3. Communication Station Distribution Line Permitting	. E-34
Table E-4. Compliance Requirements and Relevant Cross-References	. E-37

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACDP Amended Project Order	Air Contaminant Discharge Permit First Amended Project Order, Regarding Statutes, Administrative Rules and Other Requirements Applicable to the Proposed Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line (December 22, 2014)
ASC	Application for Site Certificate
BCZSO	Baker County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHZO	City of Huntington Zoning Ordinance
CWA	Clean Water Act of 1972
EFSC or Council	Energy Facility Siting Council
EFU	Exclusive Farm Use
ERU	Exclusive Range Use
FLPMA	Federal Land Policy and Management Act
IPC	Idaho Power Company
MCC	Malheur County Code
MCZO	Morrow County Zoning Ordinance
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPZO	City of North Powder Zoning Ordinance
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
ODF	Oregon Department of Forestry
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
ORS	Oregon Revised Statutes
PA	Programmatic Agreement
Project	Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project
ROW	right-of-way
UCDC	Umatilla County Development Code
UCZPSO	Union County Zoning, Partition and Subdivision Ordinance
U.S.	United States
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
U.S.C.	United States Code
USFS	United States Forest Service

## 1 Exhibit E

## 2 **Permits for Construction and Operation**

## 3 1.0 INTRODUCTION

4 Exhibit E identifies the federal, state, and local permits needed for construction and operation of the Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project (Project) in Oregon, as required by 5 Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 345-021-0010(1)(e). Exhibit E identifies all state and local 6 permits that will be included in and governed by the site certificate, as well as state and local 7 permits related to the siting and operation of the Project that will not to be included in and 8 9 governed by the site certificate. Idaho Power Company (IPC) intends to obtain the permits necessary for the Project directly or through a construction contractor under IPC's direction and 10 control, except for certain local approvals required for the electric distribution lines serving the 11 communication stations, which will be obtained by the relevant third-party local service provider. 12

# APPLICABLE RULES AND AMENDED PROJECT ORDER PROVISIONS

## 15 **2.1 Site Certificate Application Requirements**

In accordance with OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e), Exhibit E must include the following information
 about permits needed for construction and operation of the Project:

- (A) Identification of all federal, state and local government permits related to the siting of
   the proposed facility, a legal citation of the statute, rule or ordinance governing each
   permit, and the name, mailing address, email address and telephone number of the
   agency or office responsible for each permit.
- (B) A description of each permit, the reasons the permit is needed for construction or
   operation of the facility and the applicant's analysis of whether the permit should or
   should not be included in and governed by the site certificate.
- (C) For any state or local government agency permits, licenses or certificates that are
   proposed to be included in and governed by the site certificate, evidence to support
   findings by the Council that construction and operation of the proposed facility will
   comply with the statutes, rules and standards applicable to the permit. The applicant
   may show this evidence:
- 30 (i) In Exhibit J for permits related to wetlands.
- 31 (ii) In Exhibit O for permits related to water rights.
- (D) For federally-delegated permit applications, evidence that the responsible agency
   has received a permit application and the estimated date when the responsible agency
   will complete its review and issue a permit decision.
- (E) If the applicant relies on a state or local government permit or approval issued to a
   third party, identification of any such third-party permit and for each:
- 37 (i) Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering
  38 into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource
  39 or service to be secured by that permit.

- 1 (ii) Evidence that the third party has, or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining. 2 the necessary permit. (iii) An assessment of the impact of the proposed facility on any permits that a 3 third party has obtained and on which the applicant relies to comply with any 4 applicable Council standard. 5 (F) If the applicant relies on a federally-delegated permit issued to a third party. 6 identification of any such third-party permit and for each: 7 8 (i) Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource 9 or service to be secured by that permit. 10 (ii) Evidence that the responsible agency has received a permit application. 11 12 (iii) The estimated the date when the responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision. 13 (G) The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for compliance with permit 14 15 conditions. 2.2 **Amended Project Order Provisions** 16 17 The Amended Project Order includes the following discussion: Exhibit E shall describe and discuss all state and local permits that the applicant 18 19 proposes to be included in and governed by the site certificate, as well as state and local permits that are related to the siting and operation of the proposed facility but are not to 20 be included in and governed by the site certificate.<sup>1</sup> Exhibit E shall also describe 21 22 required federal and federally-delegated permits. For federally delegated permits needed for construction or operation, the Applicant must submit a copy of the permit application 23 to the Department, as required under OAR 345-021-000(7). 24
- Although the Council does not have jurisdiction over the federally-delegated permits, the
   Council may rely on the determinations of compliance and the conditions in federally delegated permits in evaluating the application for compliance with Council standards.
- 28 (Amended Project Order, Section III(e)).

## 29 **3.0 ANALYSIS**

30 31

32

33 34

35 36 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e): Information about permits needed for construction and operation of the facility, including: (A) Identification of all federal, state and local government permits related to the siting of the proposed facility, a legal citation of the statute, rule or ordinance governing each permit, and the name, mailing address, email address and telephone number of the agency or office responsible for each permit. (B) A description of each permit, the reasons the permit is needed for construction or operation of the facility and the applicant's analysis of whether the permit should or should not be included in and governed by the site

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Amended Project Order includes the following footnote text: "This amended project order includes permits that are known at the time this order is issued to be related to the siting of the proposed facility. This list does not include permits that are statutorily excluded from the site certificate under ORS 469.401(4) and may exclude other permits that may not be related to the siting of the proposed facility or will otherwise not be included in or governed by the site certificate."

certificate. (C) For any state or local government agency permits, licenses or certificates that
 are proposed to be included in and governed by the site certificate, evidence to support
 findings by the Council that construction and operation of the proposed facility will comply
 with the statutes, rules and standards applicable to the permit. The applicant may show this
 evidence: (i) In Exhibit J for permits related to wetlands. (ii) In Exhibit O for permits related to
 water rights.

Exhibit E identifies all federal, state, and local government permits related to the siting of the
Project. All state statutes, state administrative rules, and local government ordinances that
contain standards or criteria that the proposed facility must meet in order for the Council to issue
a site certificate but which do not require issuance of a permit or approval are identified and
discussed in Exhibit CC.

# **3.1** Permits Under the Council's Jurisdiction (Included in and Governed by the Site Certificate)

The Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC or Council) determines compliance with all Oregon and local government statutes, regulations, and permitting requirements related to siting the facility, except for federally-delegated state permits.<sup>2</sup> For purposes of determining whether a permit or approval is "related to siting" the Project, "siting" is understood to mean the placement of something on a site or in a position.<sup>3</sup> Upon issuance of the site certificate and following submission by IPC of the appropriate applications and payment of proper fees, the affected state agencies and local governments will issue the permits addressed in the site certificate.<sup>4</sup>

Section 3.1 identifies the state and local permits and approvals that IPC requests be included in
 and governed by the site certificate. It contains the information required for issuance of the
 permit, so the Council can make the necessary findings to conclude that the permit should be
 issued.

## 25 3.1.1 Energy Facility Site Certificate

## 26 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

Under Oregon law, no energy facility shall be constructed or expanded unless a site certificate 27 28 has been issued (see Oregon Revised Statutes [ORS] 469.320(1)). The term "energy facility" includes a "high voltage transmission line of more than 10 miles in length with a capacity of 29 230,000 volts or more to be constructed in more than one city or county in this state" 30 31 (ORS 469.300(11)(a)(C)). Because the Project consists of a transmission line of more than 10 miles and with a capacity greater than 230 kilovolts, it is therefore an "energy facility" and 32 cannot be constructed without a site certificate issued by the Council. The Oregon Department 33 of Energy, Siting Division, serves as staff to the Council by reviewing all applications for site 34 certificate, administering and enforcing current site certificates, and making recommendations to 35 36 the Council.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See ORS 469.401(4) (explaining that matters not included in and governed by the Site Certificate "include but are not limited to employee health and safety, building code compliance, wage and hour or other labor regulations, local government fees and charges or other design or operational issues that do not relate to siting the facility")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/siting (last visited Oct. 25, 2015) (defining the term "siting").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See ORS 469.401(3) ("after issuance of the site certificate, or amended site certificate, any affected state agency, county, city and political subdivision shall, upon submission by the applicant of the proper applications and payment of the proper fees, but without hearings or other proceedings, promptly issue the permits, licenses and certificates addressed in the site certificate or amended site certificate, subject only to conditions set forth in the site certificate or amended site certificate ....").

2 ORS 469.300 et seq.; OAR Chapter 345, Division 21 through Division 27.

#### 3 Contact Information:

- 4 Mr. Maxwell Woods
- 5 Oregon Department of Energy
- 6 Energy Facility Siting Analyst
- 7 625 Marion Street NE
- 8 Salem, Oregon 97301-3737
- 9 (503) 378-5050

## 10 3.1.2 Removal-Fill Permit

#### 11 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 12 Oregon's Removal-Fill statutes, ORS 196.795 through 196.910, require a permit from the
- 13 Department of State Lands to remove material from, or to fill in, waters of the state. The Project
- 14 will require removal of material from, or the filling in of, waters of the state; therefore, IPC will
- need a Removal-Fill Permit. Because the removal or fill activities will be site-specific and related
- to the location of the transmission line (and related and supporting facilities) in waters of the
- state, the Removal-Fill Permit is within the Council's jurisdiction. Exhibit J includes the evidence
- 18 required for issuance of the Removal-Fill Permit. Accordingly, IPC requests that the Council
- approve the Removal-Fill Permit under ORS 469.401(3) and that the approval be included in
- and governed by the site certificate.

#### 21 Legal Authority:

22 ORS 196.800-196.990; OAR Chapter 141, Division 85 (Removal-Fill Authorizations).

## 23 **Contact Information:**

- 24 Mr. Dan Cary
- 25 Oregon Department of State Lands
- 26 775 Summer Street, NE
- 27 Salem, Oregon 97301
- 28 (503) 986-5302

## 29 3.1.3 Fish Passage Plan Approval

- 31 Under Oregon law, upstream and downstream fish passage is required at all artificial
- 32 obstructions in Oregon waters in which migratory native fish are currently or have historically
- 33 been present, except under certain circumstances. Because the Project may require artificial
- 34 obstructions in native fish streams, IPC will need an Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- 35 (ODFW) approved Fish Passage Plan. Approval of a Fish Passage Plan or wavier for these
- 36 crossings is related to siting of the Project, because the approval or waiver relate to locationally-
- dependent features, such as access roads, dictates the extent to which the Project can be built
- and maintained in its proposed location. Requiring IPC to pursue a fish passage plan approval
- directly from ODFW and outside of (and after) the EFSC process would be counter to the
- 40 Council's objective of providing's comprehensive system for siting energy facilities (see
- 41 ORS 469.310). In particular, the EFSC process is intended to serve as a centralized public
- 42 review process; in the event that IPC requests a waiver of the fish passage requirements at one
- 43 or more locations, the public review and comment on that waiver should occur as part of the
- 44 EFSC process, not through a separate ODFW public process. Requiring IPC to seek approval
- and/or waiver under Oregon's fish passage law outside of the EFSC process would create an
- 46 unacceptable risk that a Project location for which IPC has sought and received approval from
- 47 EFSC and federal land management agencies would not receive approval and/or waiver from

- 1 ODFW. Accordingly, IPC requests that the Council approve the Fish Passage Plan under
- 2 ORS 469.401(3) and that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate. The
- 3 Fish Passage Plan is included as an attachment to Exhibit BB.

5 ORS 509.580-509.910; OAR Chapter 635, Division 412 (Fish Passage).

#### 6 Contact Information:

- 7 Mr. Greg Apke
- 8 Fish Passage Coordinator
- 9 Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Screening and Passage Program
- 10 3406 Cherry Avenue NE
- 11 Salem, Oregon 97303
- 12 (503) 947-6228

#### 13 3.1.4 Public Land Action Permit

#### 14 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

- 15 Any land action on Oregon non-federal public lands which results, or might result, in the taking
- of a threatened or endangered plant species, requires either a permit or a formal consultation
- 17 with the Oregon Department of Agriculture. The Project may include activities on state lands
- that result, or might result, in the taking of a threatened or endangered plant species, requiring a
- 19 Public Land Action Permit. Because a Public Land Action Permit is site-specific and directly
- related to the location of the transmission line (and related and supporting facilities), it is within
   the Council's jurisdiction. To the extent a Public Land Action Permit is necessary, IPC has
- included evidence in Exhibit Q in support of the permit or evidence regarding the required
- consultation. If a Public Land Action Permit is required, IPC requests that the Council approve
- the Public Land Action Permit under ORS 469.401(3) and that the approval be included in and
- 25 governed by the site certificate.

#### 26 Legal Authority:

ORS Chapter 564 (Wildflowers, Threatened or Endangered Plants); OAR Chapter 603, Division
 73 (Plants: Wildflowers and Endangered, Threatened and Candidate Species).

#### 29 Contact Information:

- 30 Dr. Robert Meinke
- 31 Oregon Department of Agriculture Plant Division
- 32 635 Capitol St. NE
- 33 Salem, Oregon 97301-2532
- 34 (503) 986-4550

## 35 3.1.5 Morrow County Land Use Permits

- 36 IPC requests Council approval of the following local land use permits and decisions under ORS
- 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and governed by the site
- 38 certificate (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k) (requiring applicant to state whether it will elect to
- address the Council's land use standard by obtaining local land use approvals under ORS
- 40 469.504(1)(a) or by obtaining a Council determination under ORS 469.504(1)(b))). As discussed
- in more detail in Exhibit K, IPC elects to address the Council's land use standard by obtaining a
- 42 determination from the Council.

## 1 3.1.5.1 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; EFU Zone)

#### 2 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 3 For those portions of the Project occurring in an Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) Zone in Morrow
- 4 County, the Project will require a land use decision determining whether the Project is permitted
- 5 outright as a utility facility necessary for public service and whether the Project consists of
- 6 transmission towers less than 200 feet. Under Oregon law, utility facilities necessary for public
- service are permitted outright in an EFU Zone (see ORS 215.275). Additionally, Morrow County
   Zoning Ordinance (MCZO) 3.010(C)(16) provides that utility and transmission towers less than
- Zoning Ordinance (MCZO) 3.010(C)(16) provides that utility and transmission towers less than
   200 feet in height and their accessory uses are permitted outright in the EFU Zone. In Exhibit K,
- 10 Section 5.4.2.1, IPC provides evidence that the Project is a utility facility necessary for public
- 11 service and consists of transmission towers less than 200 feet in height. IPC requests the
- 12 Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant
- 13 substantive criteria for obtaining these land use decisions and that the approvals be included in
- 14 and governed by the site certificate.

## 15 Legal Authority:

16 ORS 215.275; MCZO 3.010(C)(16).5

## 17 Contact Information:

- 18 Ms. Carla McLane
- 19 Planning Director
- 20 205 NE Third St.
- 21 Irrigon, Oregon 97844
- 22 (541) 922-4624
- 23 cmclane@co.morrow.or.us

## 24 3.1.5.2 Zoning Permit (Utility Facility; General Industrial Zone)

- 25 Where the Project occurs in a General Industrial Zone in Morrow County, the Project will require
- a land use decision determining whether the Project consists of transmission towers less than
- 27 200 feet in height. Under MCZO 3.070(A)(15), transmission towers less than 200 feet tall and
   28 their accessory uses are permitted outright in the General Industrial Zone. Exhibit K,
- 29 Section 5.4.2.2 shows that the Project consists of transmission towers less than 200 feet in
- 30 height. IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies
- 31 with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Zoning Permit and that the permit be
- 32 included in and governed by the site certificate.

## 33 Legal Authority:

34 MCZO 3.070.

## 35 Contact Information:

- 36 Same as above.
- 37 3.1.5.3 Zoning Permit (Utility Facility; Port Industrial Zone)

- A zoning permit will be required for the portions of the Project occurring in a Port Industrial Zone
- 40 in Morrow County. MCZO 3.073(A)(9) provides that utility facilities are permitted outright with a
- 201 zoning permit in the Port Industrial Zone. IPC has included in Exhibit K, Section 5.4.2.3
- 42 evidence that the Project is a utility facility entitled to be permitted outright in the Port Industrial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Morrow County has indicated that MCZO sections 3.010(C) and (D) are out-of-date and therefore not applicable due to *Brentmar v. Jackson County*, 321 Or 481, 496 (1995) (concluding that the uses delineated in ORS 215.213(1) may not be subjected to additional local criteria).

- 2 Zone. IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies
- with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Zoning Permit and that the permit beincluded in and governed by the site certificate.

5 MCZO 3.073.

#### 6 Contact Information:

7 Same as above.

## 8 3.1.6 Umatilla County Land Use Permits

IPC requests Council approval of the following Umatilla County local land use permits and
decisions under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and
governed by the site certificate. As discussed in more detail in Exhibit K, IPC elects to address
the Council's land use standard by obtaining a determination from the Council (see OAR 345021-0010(1)(k)).

#### 14 3.1.6.1 Land Use Decision and Zoning Permit (Utility Facility; EFU Zone)

#### 15 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 16 For those portions of the Project in an EFU Zone in Umatilla County, the Project will require a
- 17 land use decision determining whether it is considered a utility facility necessary for public
- 18 service and a zoning permit finalizing the decision. Under Oregon law, utility facilities necessary
- for public service are permitted outright in an EFU Zone (see ORS 215.275). Umatilla County
- 20 Development Code (UCDC) 152.059(C) provides that a utility facility necessary for public
- service may be permitted through a land use decision, and once approval is obtained, a zoning
   permit is necessary to finalize the decision. In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.1, IPC provides evidence
- that the Project is a utility facility necessary for public service. IPC requests the Council
- 24 determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive
- criteria for obtaining this land use decision and Zoning Permit and that the permit and approvals
- 26 be included in and governed by the site certificate.

## 27 Legal Authority:

28 UCDC 152.059 and 152.617; ORS 215.275 and ORS 215.283.

#### 29 Contact Information:

- 30 Ms. Tamra J. Mabbott
- 31 Planning Director
- 32 Department of Land Use Planning
- 33 216 SE 4th Street Room 104
- 34 Pendleton, Oregon 97801
- 35 (541) 278-6252

## 36 3.1.6.2 Conditional Use Permit (Helipads; EFU Zone)

- 38 The Umatilla County Planning Department indicated to IPC that the zoning permit provisions of
- 39 UCDC 152.059(C) applicable to utility facilities in the EFU Zone may not cover the helipads
- 40 associated with the multi-use areas. Umatilla County indicated that, instead, the provisions of
- 41 UCDC 152.060(G) relating to personal-use airports might apply. However, under
- 42 ORS 215.283(1)(c)(A) and UCDC 152.059(C), utility facilities and their related and supporting
- facilities—such as the helipads—are permitted outright in the EFU Zone. Regardless, and in the
- 44 alternative, IPC requests a Conditional Use Permit for the helipads under UCDC 152.060(G). In
- 45 Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.1, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with the applicable

- 1 criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. IPC requests the Council
- 2 determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive
- 3 criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use decisions, and
- 4 that the approvals be included in and governed by the site certificate.

6 UCDC 152.060(G).

#### 7 **Contact Information:**

8 Same as above.

## 9 3.1.6.3 Conditional Use Permit (Utility Facility; Grazing-Farm Zone/Goal 4 10 Forestlands)

#### 11 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

- 12 Where the Project occurs in a Grazing-Farm Zone/Goal 4 Forestlands in Umatilla County, the
- 13 Project will require a Conditional Use Permit. Under Oregon law, "[n]ew electric transmission
- 14 lines with right of way widths of up to 100 feet as specified in ORS 772.210" may be allowed on
- 15 Goal 4 forestlands subject to certain conditions. OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q). Further,
- 16 UCDC 152.083(C) provides that, in a Grazing-Farm Zone, utility facilities necessary for public
- 17 service and their accessory uses are permitted upon the issuance of a zoning permit. Here, the
- 18 Project route travels across approximately 8 miles of land zoned Grazing-Farm that Umatilla
- 19 County has directed be treated as Goal 4 forestland. In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.2, IPC provides
- 20 evidence that the Project can comply with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional
- use permit for the Project. IPC intends that the Conditional Use Permit will provide for the full
- width of the right-of-way (ROW)—i.e., 250 feet. IPC requests the Council determine under
- ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining
- this Conditional Use Permit and that the approvals be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 26 Legal Authority:

- 27 OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) (UCDC does not include up-to-date parallel section);
- 28 UCDC 152.083(C); UCDC 152.085(R).

#### 29 **Contact Information**:

#### 30 Same as above.

31 3.1.6.4 Exception to Goal 4 (ROW Width; Grazing-Farm Zone/Goal 4 Forestlands)

#### 32 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- For access roads located in Goal 4 forestlands, IPC will be required under Oregon law to obtain an exception to Goal 4 under ORS 469.504(2).
- 35 With respect to the transmission line route, IPC believes that the Council should determine that
- 36 the Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining a Conditional Use Permit
- 37 for the full ROW width of 250 feet. However, if the Council determines that the full ROW width of
- 250 feet will not be permitted as a conditional use, IPC requests in the alternative that the full
- ROW width be permitted through an exception to Goal 4 pursuant to ORS 469.504(2).
- 40 The evidence necessary to support IPC's request for an exception is provided in Exhibit K,
- 41 Sections 5.5.2.2. and 7.0. IPC requests that the Council grant this exception under ORS
- 42 469.504(2) and requests that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 43 Legal Authority:

44 ORS 469.504(2).

#### 1 **Contact Information**:

2 Same as above.

## 3 3.1.6.5 Conditional Use Permit and Land Use Decision (Helipads; Grazing-Farm 4 Zone)

#### 5 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

6 OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) authorizes new electric transmission lines in the Grazing-Farm Zone.

7 OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) should be construed to authorize, in addition to the transmission line,

8 the Project features that relate to and support the Project transmission line, including light-duty

9 fly yards. Nonetheless, and in the alternative, IPC requests a Conditional Use Permit for the

10 helipads under UCDC 152.085(G). In Exhibit K, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply 11 with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. The

with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. The applicable substantive criteria include a land use decision that the helipad will not be hazardous

applicable substantive citeria include a rand use decision that the helipad will not be hazardou.
 or unnecessarily restrict development (see UCDC 152.617(I)(N)). IPC requests the Council

- 14 determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive
- 15 criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use decisions, and
- 16 that the approvals be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 17 Legal Authority:

18 UCDC 152.085(G) and UCDC 152.617(I)N).

#### 19 Contact Information:

20 Same as above.

#### 21 3.1.6.6 Conditional Use Permit (Access Roads; Grazing-Farm Zone)

#### 22 Permit Description and Necessity:

OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) authorizes new electric transmission lines in the Grazing-Farm Zone. 23 OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) should be construed to authorize, in addition to the transmission line, 24 25 the Project features that relate to and support the Project transmission line, including the Project access roads. Nonetheless, and in the alternative, IPC requests a Conditional Use Permit for 26 the access roads under UCDC 152.085(U). In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.2, IPC provides evidence 27 the Project can comply with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for 28 the Project. IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project 29 complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, 30 31 including necessary land use decisions, and that the approvals be included in and governed by the site certificate. 32

#### 33 Legal Authority:

34 UCDC 152.085(U).

#### 35 Contact Information:

36 Same as above.

#### 37 3.1.6.7 Conditional Use Permit (Utility Facility; Light Industrial Zone)

#### 38 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

39 The Project includes a temporary multi-use area at the intersection of Lamb and Westland

40 Roads in Umatilla County that is zoned both Rural Tourist Commercial and Light Industrial. In a

Light Industrial Zone, utility facilities and "other buildings and uses" and their accessory uses are

42 permitted conditionally under UCDC 152.303(16) and (17), subject to the requirements of UCDC

- 43 152.610 through 152.616. In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.3, IPC demonstrates that the multi-use
- 44 area is considered a utility facility or "other building and use" related to a utility facility, the
- 45 Project meets the criteria of UCDC 152.610 through 152.616, and therefore, it is a permitted

- 1 conditional use. IPC requests Council approval of this conditional use permit under ORS
- 2 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate.

- 4 UCDC 152.303.
- 5 **Contact Information**:
- 6 Same as above.

#### 7 3.1.6.8 Conditional Use Permit (Batch Plant; Light Industrial Zone)

#### 8 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

- 9 Umatilla County's comments to the Oregon Department of Energy on the preliminary Application
- 10 for Site Certificate indicated that, if IPC uses a batch plant at the multi-use area in the Light
- 11 Industrial Zone, IPC may need to permit the batch plant separately from the multi-use area.
- However, the batch plant is related to and supports the Project transmission line, and therefore,
- the batch plant is considered a utility facility under UCDC 152.303(A)(16) and will be
- 14 conditionally permitted in the LI Zone as part of the multi-use area. Regardless, and in the
- alternative, Idaho Power requests a Conditional Use Permit for the batch plant under UCDC
- 16 152.303(A)(8). In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.3, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with
- 17 the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. IPC requests the
- 18 Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant
- 19 substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use
- decisions, and that the approvals be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 21 Legal Authority:

- 22 UCDC 152.303(A)(8).
- 23 Contact Information:
- 24 Same as above.

#### 25 3.1.6.9 Conditional Use Permit (Multi-Use Area; Rural Tourist Commercial Zone)

#### 26 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

The Project includes a temporary multi-use area at the intersection of Lamb and Westland 27 Roads in Umatilla County that is zoned both Rural Tourist Commercial and Light Industrial. In a 28 Rural Tourist Commercial Zone, utility facilities and "[o]ther uses similar to the uses permitted or 29 the conditional uses normally located in a Rural Tourist Commercial Zone, providing that it has 30 31 the approval of the Planning Commission" and their accessory uses are permitted conditionally 32 under UCDC 152.238(D) and (E), subject to the requirements of UCDC 152.610 through 152.616 and 152.284 through 152.286. In Exhibit K, Section 5.5.2.3, IPC demonstrates that the 33 multi-use area is considered a utility facility or a use similar to the uses permitted or the 34 35 conditional uses normally located in a Rural Tourist Commercial Zone, the Project meets the criteria of UCDC 152.610 through 152.616 and 152.284 through 152.286, and therefore, it is a 36 37 permitted conditional use. IPC requests Council approval of this conditional use permit under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the permit be included in and governed by the site 38 certificate. 39

#### 40 Legal Authority:

- 41 UCDC 152.283.
- 42 **Contact Information:**
- 43 Same as above.

## 1 3.1.7 Union County Land Use Permits

2 IPC requests Council approval of the following Union County local land use permits and

decisions under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and

governed by the site certificate. As discussed in more detail in Exhibit K, IPC elects to address
 the Council's land use standard by obtaining a determination from the Council (see OAR 345-

6 021-0010(1)(k)).

## 7 3.1.7.1 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; EFU Zone)

#### 8 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 9 Under Oregon law, utility facilities necessary for public service are permitted outright in an EFU
- 10 Zone (see ORS 215.275). Union County Zoning, Partition, and Subdivision Ordinance
- 11 (UCZPSO) 2.03(7) provides that, in an EFU Zone, a utility facility necessary for public service
- 12 may be permitted through a land use decision. Therefore, for those portions of the Project in the
- 13 EFU Zone in Union County, the Project will require a land use decision determining whether it is
- considered a utility facility necessary for public service. In Exhibit K, Section 5.6.2.1, IPC
- provides evidence that the Project is a utility facility necessary for public service where it is
- 16 located in the EFU Zone in Union County. IPC requests Council approval of this local land use
- decision and zoning permit under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the approval be
- 18 included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 19 Legal Authority:

20 UCZPSO 24.02 (land use decision); 2.03 (EFU); ORS 215.275 and ORS 215.283.

#### 21 Contact Information:

- 22 Scott Hartell
- 23 Planning Department
- 24 1001 Fourth Street, Suite C
- La Grande, Oregon 97850
- 26 (541) 963-1014

## 27 3.1.7.2 Conditional Use Permit and Land Use Decision (Helipads; EFU Zone)

#### 28 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 29 The Union County Planning Department indicated to IPC that the zoning permit provisions of
- 30 UCZPSO 2.03(7) applicable to utility facilities in the EFU Zone may not cover the helipads
- 31 associated with the multi-use areas. Union County indicated that, instead, the provisions of
- 32 UCZPSO 2.04(21) relating to personal-use airports might apply. However, under
- 33 ORS 215.283(1)(c)(A) and UCZPSO 2.03(7), utility facilities and their related and supporting
- 34 facilities—such as the helipads—are permitted outright in the EFU Zone. Regardless, and in the
- alternative, IPC requests a Conditional Use Permit for the helipads under UCZPSO 2.04(21). In
- 36 Exhibit K, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with the applicable criteria for issuance
- of a conditional use permit for the Project. The applicable substantive criteria include a land use
- decision that the helipad will not force a significant change in accepted farm or forest practices (see UCZPSO 2.04). IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the
- 40 Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit,
- 41 including necessary land use decisions, and that the permit and approvals be included in and
- 42 governed by the site certificate.

## 43 Legal Authority:

44 UCZPSO 2.04(21).

#### 1 **Contact Information**:

- 2 Same as above.
- 3 3.1.7.3 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; Agriculture-Grazing Zone)

#### 4 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

- 5 UCZPSO 3.03(7) provides that, in an Agriculture-Grazing Zone, a utility facility necessary for
- 6 public service may be permitted through a land use decision. Therefore, for those portions of the
- 7 Project in the Agriculture-Grazing Zone in Union County, the Project will require a land use
- 8 decision determining whether it is considered a utility facility necessary for public service. In
- 9 Exhibit K, Section 5.6.2.2, IPC provides evidence that the Project is a utility facility necessary for 10 public service where it is located in the Agriculture-Grazing Zone in Union County. IPC requests
- 11 Council approval of this local land use decision and zoning permit under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and
- requests that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 13 Legal Authority:

14 UCZPSO 24.02 (land use decision) and 3.03(7).

#### 15 **Contact Information**:

- 16 Same as above.
- 17 3.1.7.4 Land Use Decision (Predominant Use Determination; Timber-Grazing Zone)

#### 18 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 19 The Timber-Grazing Zone is a hybrid zone including both farm and forest uses. UCZPSO 5.03
- 20 provides the county will apply either farm or forest standards based on the predominant use of
- the relevant tract. Therefore, for those portions of the Project in the Timber-Grazing Zone, the
- Project will require a land use decision determining the predominant use of the affected parcels.
- In Exhibit K, Section 5.6.2.3, IPC provides evidence of the process it used, and the conclusions
- it made, with respect to the predominant use of the affected parcels. IPC requests Council
- approval of this local land use decision under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the approval
- 26 be included in and governed by the site certificate

#### 27 Legal Authority:

28 UCZPSO 24.02 (land use decision) and 5.03.

#### 29 Contact Information:

30 Same as above.

## 3.1.7.5 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; Timber-Grazing Zone, Predominantly Farmland Parcels)

#### 33 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 34 UCZPSO 5.03(8) provides that, in an Timber-Grazing Zone, a utility facility necessary for public
- 35 service may be permitted through a land use decision. Therefore, for those portions of the
- 36 Project in the Timber-Grazing Zone in Union County, the Project will require a land use decision
- determining whether it is considered a utility facility necessary for public service. In Exhibit K,
- 38 Section 5.6.2.3, IPC provides evidence that the Project is a utility facility necessary for public
- 39 service where it is located in the Timber-Grazing Zone in Union County. IPC requests Council
- approval of this local land use decision and zoning permit under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and
- 41 requests that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 42 Legal Authority:

43 UCZPSO 24.02 (land use decision) and 5.03(8).

#### 1 **Contact Information**:

- 2 Same as above.
- 3 3.1.7.6 Conditional Use Permit (Utility Facility; Timber-Grazing Zone, Predominantly
   4 Forestland Parcels)

#### 5 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

UCZPSO 5.04(3) contains criteria consistent with OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q), which specifies that
"new electric transmission lines with right of way widths of up to 100 feet as specified in ORS
772.210" may be allowed on Goal 4 forestlands subject to certain conditions. The Project route
travels across several miles of land zoned Timber-Grazing, much of which Union County has
directed be treated as Goal 4 forestland. In Exhibit K, Section 5.6.2.3, IPC has provided
evidence that the Project will comply with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use

- 12 permit for the Project in this zone. IPC requests Council approval of this conditional use permit
- under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the approval be included in and governed by the
- 14 site certificate.

#### 15 *Legal Authority:*

16 UCZPSO 5.04; OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q).

#### 17 **Contact Information**:

18 Same as above.

## 193.1.7.7Exception to Goal 4 (Transmission Line Right-of-Way Width; Timber-Grazing20Zone, Predominantly Forestland Parcels)

#### 21 Permit Description and Necessity:

22 While UCZPSO 5.04(3) references a 100-foot ROW for transmission lines authorized as a

- 23 conditional use in the Timber-Grazing Zone, the Oregon Supreme Court decision in Save Our
- 24 Rural Oregon v. EFSC, 339 Or. 353 (2005) taken together with ORS 772.210(1) indicates that a
- 25 new electric transmission line with a ROW in excess of 100 feet is allowed in Goal 4 forest lands
- 26 without requiring an exception to Goal 4. Regardless, and in the alternative, if the Council finds that
- 27 an exception is required for a ROW greater than 100 feet, IPC requests that the transmission line
- and ROW greater than 100 feet be permitted through an exception to Goal 4 pursuant to ORS
   469.504(2). In Exhibit K, Section 7.0, IPC provides evidence that such an exception is
- 30 warranted.

## 31 Legal Authority:

32 ORS 469.504(2).

## 33 Contact Information:

34 Same as above.

## 35 3.1.7.8 Conditional Use Permit (Access Roads; Timber-Grazing Zone, Predominantly 36 Forestland Parcels)

- 38 UCZPSO 5.04(3) and OAR 660-006-0025(4)(q) authorize new utility facilities and new electric
- transmission lines, respectively, in the Timber-Grazing Zone. Those provisions should be
- 40 construed as authorizing, in addition to the transmission line, related and supporting facilities,
- 41 including access roads. Regardless, and in the alternative, IPC requests a Conditional Use
- 42 Permit for the access roads under UCZPSO 5.04(8). In Exhibit K, Section 5.6.2.3, IPC provides
- 43 evidence the Project can comply with the applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use
- 44 permit for the Project. IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the

- 1 Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit,
- including necessary land use decisions, and that the permit and approvals be included in and
   governed by the site certificate.
- 5 governed by the site certifi

5 UCZPSO 5.04(8).

#### 6 Contact Information:

7 Same as above.

### 8 3.1.8 Baker County Land Use Permits

9 IPC requests Council approval of the following Baker County local land use decisions and

10 permits under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and

11 governed by the site certificate (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)). As discussed in more detail in

12 Exhibit K, IPC has elected to address the Council's land use standard by obtaining a

determination from the Council (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)).

#### 14 3.1.8.1 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; EFU Zone)

#### 15 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 16 Under Oregon law, a "utility facility necessary for public service," as defined in ORS 215.275, is
- a use permitted outright in an Exclusive Farm Use zone. Exhibit K, Section 5.8.2.1,
- 18 demonstrates that the Project is a "utility facility necessary for public service" where it is located
- in the EFU zone in Baker County. IPC requests the Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b)
- 20 that the Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining this land use
- 21 decision and that the approval be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 22 Legal Authority:

23 ORS 215.275.6

#### 24 Contact Information:

- 25 Ms. Holly Kerns
- 26 Interim Planning Director
- 27 Baker County Planning Department
- 28 1995 Third Street
- 29 Baker City, Oregon 97814
- 30 (541) 523-8219

#### 31 3.1.8.2 Conditional Use Permit (Rural Service Area Zone)

- 33 The Project features located in a Rural Service Area Zone in Baker County will require a
- 34 Conditional Use Permit (see Baker County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance [BCZSO]
- 35 305.02(D)). In Exhibit K, Section 5.8.2.2, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with the
- 36 applicable criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. IPC requests the
- 37 Council determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant
- 38 substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use
- decisions, and that the permit be included in and governed by the site certificate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Baker County has indicated that ORS 215.275 and ORS 215.283 should apply directly in lieu of a Baker County ordinance. It appears that BCZSO sections 301.01 and 301.02 are out-of-date and therefore not applicable due to *Brentmar v. Jackson County*, 321 Or 481 (1995) (holding that counties cannot impose condition use criteria beyond the criteria provided in ORS 215.275).

2 BCZSO 305.02(D).

#### 3 **Contact Information**:

4 Same as above.

## 5 3.1.9 Malheur County Land Use Permits

6 IPC requests Council approval of the following Malheur County local land use decisions under 7 ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and governed by the site

8 certificate (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)). As discussed in more detail in Exhibit K, IPC has

9 elected to address the Council's land use standard by obtaining a determination from the

10 Council (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)).

#### 11 3.1.9.1 Land Use Decision (Utility Facility; EFU and ERU Zones)

#### 12 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

Malheur County Code (MCC) 6-3A-2(A)(14) provides that a "utility facility necessary for public 13 service" may be "permitted outright by ministerial permit" in the EFU and Exclusive Range Use 14 (ERU) zones. IPC refers to this as a land use decision for consistency with the other counties, 15 and because utility facilities necessary for public service are permitted outright in an EFU zone 16 17 under Oregon law. Exhibit K, Section 5.10.2.1, demonstrates that the Project is a "utility facility necessary for public service" where it is located in the EFU and ERU zones in Malheur County. 18 The Project will therefore require a land use decision to this effect. IPC requests Council 19 20 approval of this local land use decision under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that this approval be included in and governed by the site certificate. 21

#### 22 Legal Authority:

23 MCC 6-3A-2(A)(14).

#### 24 Contact Information:

- 25 Mr. Alvin Scott
- 26 Interim Planning Director
- 27 251 B St. West #12
- 28 Vale, Oregon 97918
- 29 (541) 473-5185

#### 30 3.1.9.2 Conditional Use Permit (Helipads; EFU and ERU Zones)

#### 31 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

32 ORS 215.283(1)(c)(A) and MCC 6-3A-2(A)(14) authorize new utility facilities in the EFU Zone.

33 Those provisions should be construed as authorizing, in addition to the transmission line,

related and supporting facilities, including the helipads. Regardless, and in the alternative, Idaho

- Power requests a Conditional Use Permit for the helipads under MCC 6-3A-4(I). In Exhibit K,
- 36 Section 5.10.2.2, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with the applicable criteria for
- 37 issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. IPC requests the Council determine under

38 ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining

the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use decisions, and that the permit be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 41 Legal Authority:

42 MCC 6-3A-4(I).

#### 43 **Contact Information:**

44 Same as above.

#### 1 3.1.10 City of North Powder

2 IPC requests Council approval of the following City of North Powder local conditional use

- 3 permits under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and
- 4 governed by the site certificate (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)). As discussed in more detail in
- 5 Exhibit K, IPC has elected to address the Council's land use standard by obtaining a
- $6 \qquad determination from the Council (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)).$

#### 7 3.1.10.1 Conditional Use Permit (Multi-Use Area; Commercial Interchange Zone)

#### 8 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 9 A conditional use permit under City of North Powder Zoning Ordinance (NPZO) 4.02(12) will be
- 10 required for the multi-use area that will be located in the commercial interchange zone. In
- 11 Exhibit K, Section 5.7.2.1, IPC provides evidence the Project can comply with the applicable
- 12 criteria for issuance of a conditional use permit for the Project. IPC requests the Council 13 determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive
- determine under ORS 469.504(1)(b) that the Project complies with the relevant substantive criteria for obtaining the Conditional Use Permit, including necessary land use decisions, and
- 15 that the permit be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 16 *Legal Authority:*

17 NPZO 3.02(9) and 4.02(12).

#### 18 Contact Information:

- 19 Beth Wendt
- 20 City Recorder
- 21 City of North Powder
- 22 635 3rd Street
- 23 PO Box 309
- 24 North Powder, Oregon 97867

## 25 3.1.11 City of Huntington

- 26 IPC requests Council approval of the following City of Huntington local conditional use permit
- under ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that these approvals be included in and governed by the
- site certificate (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)). As discussed in more detail in Exhibit K, IPC has
- elected to address the Council's land use standard by obtaining a determination from the
- 30 Council (see OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k)).

## 31 3.1.11.1 Land Use Decision (Multi-Use Area; Commercial Industrial Zone)

- 32 The only Project feature located within City of Huntington city limits is a multi-use area. Zoning
- for the multi-use area is split, with approximately 85 percent being Commercial Industrial and
- the remaining portion being Commercial Residential. City of Huntington Zoning Code (CHZO)
- 153.080 provides industrial uses are permitted outright in the Commercial Industrial Zone. The
- City indicated to IPC in a June 2, 2016, email that the multi-use area would require no City permits because it will be a temporary and not a permanent use. Regardless, or in the
- alternative, IPC requests a land use decision determining whether the multi-use area is
- considered an industrial use. In Exhibit K, Section 5.9.2.1, IPC provides evidence that the
- 40 Project is an industrial use. IPC requests Council approval of this local land use decision under
- 41 ORS 469.504(1)(b) and requests that the approval be included in and governed by the site
- 42 certificate.

## 43 Legal Authority:

44 CHZO 153.080.

#### 1 **Contact Information**:

- 2 Tracy McCue
- 3 City Recorder
- 4 PO Box 369
- 5 Huntington, OR 97907

#### 6 3.1.11.2 Land Use Decision/Temporary Use Permit (Multi-Use Area; Commercial-7 Residential Zone)

#### 8 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 9 For the portion of the multi-use area located in the Commercial-Residential Zone, CHZO
- 10 153.050 provides that commercial uses are permitted outright in the Commercial-Residential
- 11 Zone. The City indicated to IPC in a June 2, 2016 email that the multi-use area would require no
- 12 City permits because it will be a temporary and not a permanent use. Regardless, or in the
- alternative, IPC requests a land use decision determining whether the multi-use area is
- 14 considered an commercial use. Alternatively, IPC requests a temporary use permit for the multi-
- use area, and that the same be included and governed by the site certificate.

#### 16 Legal Authority:

17 CHZO 153.050.

#### 18 **Contact Information**:

19 Same as above.

## 20 3.1.12 Summary of State and Local Permits under Council Jurisdiction

21 Table E-1 lists the state and local government permits subject to EFSC jurisdiction and includes

22 cross-references to Exhibits in IPC's Amended preliminary Application for Site Certificate to

23 provide evidence to support findings by the Council that the construction and operation of the

24 Project will comply with the statutes, rules, and standards applicable to the permit.

#### 25 **Table E-1. State and Local Permits Included in and Governed by Site Certificate**

Agency	Permit	Project Feature	Land Use Zone	Location of Evidence
EFSC	Site Certificate	All Features	N/A	All Exhibits
DSL	Removal-Fill Permit	All Features	N/A	Exhibit J
ODFW	Fish Passage Plan Approval	Access Roads	N/A	Exhibit BB
Oregon Department of Agriculture	Public Land Action Permit	N/A	N/A	Exhibit Q
Morrow County	Land Use Decision	All Features	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Morrow County	Zoning Permit	All Features	General Industrial Zone	Exhibit K
Morrow County	Zoning Permit	All Features	Port Industrial Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Land Use Decision and Zoning Permit	All Features	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	Helipads	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	All Features	Grazing-Farm Zone	Exhibit K

Agency	Permit	Project Feature	Land Use Zone	Location of Evidence
EFSC	Exception to Goal 4	Transmission Line ROW Width	Umatilla County Grazing-Farm Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit and Land Use Decision	Helipads	Grazing-Farm Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	Access Roads	Grazing-Farm Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	All Features	Light Industrial Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	Batch Plant	Light Industrial Zone	Exhibit K
Umatilla County	Conditional Use Permit	Multi-use Area	Rural Tourist Commercial Zone	Exhibit K
Union County	Land Use Decision	All Features	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Union County	Conditional Use Permit and Land Use Decision	Helipads	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Union County	Land Use Decision	All Features	Agriculture- Grazing Zone	Exhibit K
Union County	Land Use Decision – Predominant Use Decision	All Features	Timber- Grazing Zone	Exhibit K
Union County	Land Use Decision	All Features	Timber- Grazing Zone, Predominantly Farmland Parcels	Exhibit K
Union County	Conditional Use Permit	All Features	Timber- Grazing Zone, Predominantly Forestland Parcels	Exhibit K
EFSC	Exception to Goal 4	Transmission Line ROW Width	Union County Timber- Grazing Zone, Predominantly Forestland Parcels	Exhibit K
Union County	Conditional Use Permit	Access Roads	Timber- Grazing Zone, Predominantly Forestland Parcels	Exhibit K
Baker County	Land Use Decision	All Project Features	EFU Zone	Exhibit K
Baker County	Conditional Use Permit	All Project Features	Rural Service Area Zone	Exhibit K

Agency	Permit	Project Feature	Land Use Zone	Location of Evidence
Malheur County	Land Use Decision	All Project Features	EFU and ERU Zones	Exhibit K
Malheur County	Conditional Use Permit	Helipads	EFU and ERU Zones	Exhibit K
City of North Powder	Conditional Use Permit	Multi-use Area	Commercial Interchange Zone	Exhibit K
City of Huntington	Land Use Decision	Multi-use Area	Commercial Industrial Zone	Exhibit K
City of Huntington	Land Use Decision/ Temporary Use Permit	Multi-use Area	Commercial Residential Zone	Exhibit K

DSL = Oregon Department of State Lands; EFSC = Energy Facility Siting Council; EFU = Exclusive Farm Use; ERU = Exclusive Rangeland Use; N/A = not applicable; ODFW = Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

1

# 3.2 Permits Outside the Council's Jurisdiction (Not Included In or Governed by Site Certificate)

4 Section 3.2 identifies the federal, state, and local permits and approvals related to the siting of 5 the Project and not included in or governed by the site certificate.

## 6 3.2.1 Bureau of Land Management Permits

## 7 3.2.1.1 Right-of-Way Grant

## 8 Permit Description and Necessity:

9 A ROW grant is an authorization to use a specific piece of public land for a certain project, such

as a transmission line. A ROW grant authorizes rights and privileges for a specific use of the
 land for a specific period of time. Generally, a Bureau of Land Management (BLM) ROW is

12 granted for a term appropriate for the life of the project. IPC submitted a revised application for a

13 special use authorization for the Project on November 21, 2011, and BLM is processing that

14 application. This permit will be obtained directly from BLM and should not be included in and

15 governed by the site certificate.

## 16 Legal Authority:

17 Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), 43 United States Code (U.S.C.)1761-1771,

18 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 2800.

## 19 Contact Information:

- 20 Mr. Donald Gonzalez
- 21 Vale District Manager
- 22 100 Oregon Street
- 23 Vale, Oregon 97918
- 24 Ms. Tamara Gertsch
- 25 BLM National Project Manager
- 26 5353 Yellowstone Road
- 27 Cheyenne, Wyoming 82009

#### 1 3.2.1.2 Permit for Archaeological Investigations

#### 2 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 3 Application for this permit is required when an individual or organization wants to perform any
- 4 archaeological testing on BLM-managed land. This permit will authorize specific testing and
- 5 data recovery work within a specific prehistoric archaeological site. Application for this permit
- 6 will require a research design, a plan of work for the project, and consultation with Native
- 7 American Tribes. This permit will be obtained directly from BLM and should not be included in
- 8 and governed by the site certificate.

#### 9 Legal Authority:

10 FPLMA (PL 94-579, 43 U.S.C. 1701).

#### 11 **Contact Information**:

12 Same as above.

#### 13 3.2.1.3 Cultural Resource Use Permit and Site-Specific Authorizations

#### 14 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 15 This is a blanket survey permit that authorizes surface-only surveys to identify, evaluate, and
- 16 record cultural resources on federally-managed public lands within the Project Area. Blanket
- 17 survey permits are for non-collection, non-testing survey only. IPC was issued a blanket survey
- 18 permit (OR-50860) which covers BLM-administered lands in Oregon. The permit expires
- 19 5/1/2018. Holders of a Cultural Resource Use Permit are required to obtain field work
- 20 authorizations from the appropriate BLM manager for major episodes of fieldwork or individual
- 21 projects. Field work authorizations are not separate permits but are authorizations to conduct
- 22 specific field work under the terms and conditions of an already approved permit. The Cultural
- Resource Use Permit and related authorizations will be obtained directly from BLM and should
   not be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 24 not be included in and governed by the si

#### 25 Legal Authority:

26 FLPMA (PL 94-579, 43 U.S.C. 1701).

#### 27 Contact Information:

28 Same as above.

#### 29 3.2.1.4 Paleontological Resources Use Permit

#### 30 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

Application for this permit is required when an individual or organization needs to perform any

- 32 paleontological surface survey/limited surface collection and/or excavation and removal on
- federally-managed public lands within the Project Area only. Application for this permit must be
- 34 made to the Bureau of Land Management State Cultural Resources Program Lead and comply
- 35 with special conditions and stipulations that are a part of or attached to the permit. The
- 36 completed application for a survey permit must be submitted at least 30 calendar days prior to
- beginning field work. The Paleontological Resources Use Permit and related authorizations will
- be obtained directly from BLM and should not be included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 40 Legal Authority:

41 FLPMA, PL 94-579, 43 U.S.C. 1701.

#### 42 **Contact Information:**

43 Same as above.

### 1 3.2.2 United States Forest Service Permits

#### 2 3.2.2.1 Special Use Authorization for Right-of-Way

#### 3 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

4 A special use authorization is a legal document that provides private individuals or entities the

- 5 right to occupancy or use of United States Forest Service (USFS) land. The authorization is
- 6 granted for a specific use of the land for a specific period of time. The Project will cross lands
- 7 under the management of the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest and will require a Special Use
- 8 Authorization Permit. IPC submitted a revised application for a special use authorization for the 9 Project on November 21, 2011, and the USFS is processing that application. The Special Use
- Authorization permit will be obtained directly from USFS and should not be included in and
- 11 governed by the site certificate.

#### 12 Legal Authority:

13 16 U.S.C. 460I–6a, 460I–6d, 472, 551, 580d, 1134, 3210; 36 CFR 251, 261.

#### 14 **Contact Information**:

- 15 Mr. Bill Gamble
- 16 District Ranger
- 17 Wallowa-Whitman National Forest
- 18 La Grande Ranger District
- 19 3502 Highway 30
- 20 La Grande, Oregon 97850

#### 21 3.2.2.2 Special Use Authorization for Archaeological Investigations

#### 22 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 23 Under the authority of the Archaeological Resources Protection Act and NHPA, the USFS
- requires permits prior to conducting cultural/archaeological investigations. This permit
- authorizes non-collection surface survey activities including the identification, evaluation, and
- recordation on national forest service lands. Surficial and non-collecting cultural/archaeological
- 27 investigations will be conducted on all federally-managed lands as part of the Project. IPC has a
- special use permit (Permit No. LAG 2008-02) for archaeological investigations which was issued
- in September 2008 and is valid until December 31, 2013. Additional authorization may be
- required for site testing or mitigation. The archaeological investigation permit and related
- authorizations will be obtained directly from USFS and should not be included in and governed
- 32 by the site certificate.

## 33 Legal Authority:

Archaeological Resources Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 470aa-mm; National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), 16 U.S.C. 470.

## 36 Contact Information:

- 37 Mr. Bill Gamble
- 38 District Ranger
- 39 Wallowa-Whitman National Forest
- 40 La Grande Ranger District
- 41 3502 Highway 30
- 42 La Grande, Oregon 97850

## 1 3.2.3 United States Department of Navy Easement

#### 2 Permit Description and Necessity

- 3 An easement is a recordable interest in land, not revocable at will, to use or restrict the use of
- 4 real property of the owner for a specific purpose. In June 2015, IPC submitted to the
- 5 Department of the Navy a revised application for an easement to site a portion of the Project on
- 6 the Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility Boardman bombing range in Morrow County. The
- 7 easement will be obtained directly from the Department of the Navy and should not be included
- 8 in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 9 Legal Authority:

10 10 U.S.C. 2668

#### 11 Contact Information:

- 12 Kent Mathes
- 13 Range Program Manager
- 14 Naval Air Station Whidbey Island
- 15 3730 North Charles Porter Ave.
- 16 Oak Harbor, Washington 98728
- 17 (360) 257-3315

## *3.2.4 United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) CWA Section 404 Nationwide Permit 12 (Utility Line Activities)*

#### 20 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 21 Under the Clean Water Act (CWA), a Section 404 permit is required for construction of the
- 22 Project, which will include the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United
- 23 States, including wetlands. IPC anticipates that the Project will be authorized under the
- Nationwide Permit 12, which authorizes activities required for the construction, maintenance,
- repair, and removal of utility lines and associated facilities in waters of the United States,
- 26 provided the activity does not result in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United
- 27 States for each single and complete project. IPC has provided extensive information, including a
- draft Joint Permit Application, in Exhibit J. IPC will satisfy the requirements of this permit in
- direct coordination with USACE and this permit should not be included in and governed by the site certificate.
- SU SILE CETIIICALE.

#### 31 Legal Authority:

32 Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1344, 33 CFR 320, 323, 325-328, 330.

#### 33 **Contact Information**:

- 34 Mr. Peter Olmstead, Regulatory Branch Biologist
- 35 La Grande Field Office
- 36 U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
- 37 3502 Highway 30
- 38 La Grande, Oregon 97850-5628
- 39 (541) 962-0401

## 403.2.5Federal Aviation Administration Notice of Proposed Construction or41Alteration

- 43 CFR Title 14, Part 77 establishes standards and notification requirements for objects affecting
- 44 navigable airspace. Any construction activities involving cranes exceeding 200 feet above
- 45 ground level require notification to the Federal Aviation Administration. Because the Project will

- 1 require the use of cranes exceeding 200 feet in height, IPC will need to submit to the Federal
- 2 Aviation Administration a Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration.

4 CFR Title 14, Part 77

#### 5 **Contact Information:**

- 6 Gary Gates
- 7 Federal Aviation Administration
- 8 Northwest Mountain Region Airports Division, ANM-600
- 9 1601 Lind Avenue, SW, Suite 315
- 10 Renton, WA 98057-3356
- 11 (435) 227-2600

#### 12 3.2.6 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) Permits

13 3.2.6.1 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit 1200-C

#### 14 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 15 The United States (U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency has delegated authority to ODEQ to
- 16 issue NPDES Storm Water Discharge permits for construction and operation activities. IPC has
- 17 submitted a preliminary 1200-C application for the Project to ODEQ, and the draft permit has
- 18 been acknowledged by ODEQ. The letter from ODEQ acknowledging IPC's submittal of the
- draft NPDES 1200-C permit is included as an attachment to Exhibit I. IPC will satisfy the
- 20 requirements of this permit in direct coordination with ODEQ and this permit should not be
- 21 included in and governed by the site certificate.

#### 22 Legal Authority:

- ORS 468B.050, OAR Chapter 340, Division 45 (Regulations Pertaining to NPDES and Water
- 24 Pollution Control Facility Permits).

#### 25 **Contact Information**:

- 26 Jackie Ray
- 27 Eastern Regional Office DEQ
- 28 700 SE Emigrant, #330
- 29 Pendleton, Oregon 97801
- 30 (541) 276-4063
- 31 3.2.6.2 NPDES Permit 1200-C

#### 32 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 33 The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has delegated authority to ODEQ to issue NPDES
- 34 Storm Water Discharge permits for gravel mining and concrete batch plant operations. Rock
- crushing and batch plant activities may require an NPDES 1200-A permit. IPC will submit, prior
- to the Final Application for Site Certificate (ASC), a preliminary NPDES 1200-A permit
- application for the Project to ODEQ and obtain an acknowledgement from ODEQ that the
- agency received the application. Should an NPDES 1200-A permit be necessary, IPC will obtain
- it directly from ODEQ and it should not be included in or governed by the site certificate.

## 40 Legal Authority:

- 41 ORS 468B.050, OAR Chapter 340, Division 45 (Regulations Pertaining to NPDES and Water
- 42 Pollution Control Facility Permits).

## 43 **Contact Information**:

44 Same as above.

#### 1 3.2.6.3 CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification

#### 2 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 3 The CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification process may be triggered during the USACE
- 4 CWA Section 404 permitting process. However, IPC anticipates obtaining a Nationwide 12
- 5 permit that has previously received water quality certification.

#### 6 Legal Authority:

- 7 CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1341, OAR Chapter 340, Division 48 (Certification of Compliance with Water
- 8 Quality Requirements and Standards)

#### 9 **Contact Information**:

10 Same as above.

#### 11 3.2.6.4 Air Contaminant Discharge Permit

#### 12 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

13 Any operation that can be considered an air contaminant source by the state of Oregon must

- 14 obtain an Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP) prior to operation. Rock crushing and
- batch plant activities may fall under the Basic Air Contaminant Discharge Permit. IPC will
- submit, prior to the Final ASC, a preliminary ACDP application for the Project to ODEQ and
- 17 obtain an acknowledgement from ODEQ that the agency received the application. Should an
- ACDP be necessary, IPC will obtain it directly from ODEQ and it should not be included in or
- 19 governed by the site certificate.

#### 20 Legal Authority:

ORS 468A.040-468A.060, OAR Chapter 340, Division 216 (Air Contaminant Discharge Permits).

#### 23 **Contact Information**:

24 Same as above.

## 25 3.2.7 Oregon Parks and Recreation Department – State Historic Preservation 26 Office Permits

27 3.2.7.1 Archaeological Excavation Permit

#### 28 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 29 No entity can excavate or alter an archaeological site on public lands, make an exploratory
- 30 excavation on public lands to determine the presence of an archaeological site, or remove from
- 31 public lands any material of an archaeological, historical, prehistorical, or anthropological nature
- 32 without first obtaining a permit issued by the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department State
- 33 Historic Preservation Office. It is likely that an excavation permit(s) will be required for test
- excavations or data recovery of sites that cannot be avoided. Should IPC need archaeological
- 35 permits for preconstruction surveys, it will obtain the permits directly from State Historic
- 36 Preservation Office.

#### 37 Legal Authority:

ORS 97.745, ORS 358.920, ORS 390.235, OAR Chapter 736, Division 51 (Archeological
 Permits).

#### 40 **Contact Information**:

- 41 Mr. Dennis Griffin
- 42 State Archaeologist
- 43 Oregon Parks and Recreation Department State Historic Preservation Office

- 1 725 Summer St NE, Ste. C
- 2 Salem, Oregon 97301
- 3 (503) 986-0674

## 4 *3.2.8* Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)

3.2.8.1 Permit to Construct a State Highway Approach; Permit to Operate, Maintain,
 and Use a State Highway Approach

#### 7 Permit Description and Necessity:

8 There are two components to state highway approach permitting process—the Permit to

- 9 Construct a State Highway Approach and the Permit to Operate, Maintain and Use a State
- 10 Highway Approach. The Project will require construction and operational access off of state
- 11 highways and IPC will need to obtain both permits mentioned above. IPC will work with ODOT
- 12 directly to obtain the Permit to Construct a State Highway Approach and the Permit to Operate,
- 13 Maintain and Use a State Highway Approach, as these approvals involve design and
- 14 operational issues and are not directly related to siting the facility<sup>7</sup>

#### 15 Legal Authority:

- 16 ORS Chapter 374 (Control of Access to Public Highways); OAR Chapter 734, Division 51
- 17 (Highway Approaches, Access Control, Spacing Standards and Medians).

#### 18 **Contact Information**:

- 19 Oregon Department of Transportation Region 5
- 20 3012 Island Avenue
- 21 La Grande, Oregon 97850
- 22 (541) 963-3177

#### 23 3.2.8.2 Oversize Load Movement Permit/Load Registration

#### 24 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 25 This permit/registration applies to the operation of vehicles transporting loads that exceed legal
- limits and is issued for vehicles or loads having weight or dimension greater than that allowed
- by statute. Movement of construction cranes and other equipment and materials may require
- that IPC obtain this permit. If necessary, IPC will obtain this permit directly from ODOT. This
- 29 permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's
- 30 operation of vehicles during construction and not to siting.

## 31 Legal Authority:

OAR Chapter 734, Division 82 (Variance Permits Issued for Non-Divisible Loads and Road Use
 Assessment Fees).

## 34 Contact Information:

- 35 Same as above.
- 36 3.2.8.3 Permit to Occupy or Perform Operations Upon a State Highway

- 38 Utility installations within the ROW of a state highway in Oregon require a permit issued by the
- 39 ODOT. No utilities may be installed within an interstate highway ROW. Utilities may cross an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> IPC recognizes that the ODOT state highway approach permits could, in the context of a different facility, properly viewed as "related to siting"; for example, where construction of a generation facility hinged on ODOT's approval of a particular state highway approach. For the Project, however, IPC anticipates that even if ODOT were to impose conditions or design changes on IPC's proposed highway approach locations, such minor modifications would not have an impact on the siting of the facility.

- 1 interstate highway but may not be sited longitudinally within the operating interstate highway
- 2 ROW. The Site Boundary for the Project boundary includes crossing the ROW of state and
- 3 interstate highways, but will not include transmission line structures within highway ROWs. IPC
- 4 will work with ODOT to obtain both permits. This permit should not be included in and governed
- 5 by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a highway ROW during
- 6 construction and not to siting.8

- 8 ORS Chapter 374 (Control of Access to Public Highways); OAR Chapter 734, Division 55 (Pole
- 9 Lines, Buried Cables, Pipe Lines, Signs, Miscellaneous Facilities and Miscellaneous
- 10 Operations).

#### 11 **Contact Information**:

12 Same as above.

## 13 3.2.9 Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF)

14 3.2.9.1 Permit to Operate Power Driven Machinery

#### 15 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 16 Operations inside or within one-eighth of one mile of a forest protection district that uses fire in
- 17 any form or power driven machinery requires a Permit to Operate Power Driven Machinery (see
- 18 ORS 477.625). Here, the Project will involve forest clearing and road construction activities in
- 19 forest lands that will require the operation of power driven machinery. IPC will submit its
- 20 application for a Permit to Operate Power Driven Machinery to ODF at least 15 days prior to
- 21 commencing timber harvesting or road construction operations in forest lands.<sup>9</sup> This permit
- should not be included in and governed by the site certificate because it relates to IPC's forestry
- 23 operations during construction and not to siting.<sup>10</sup>

#### 24 Legal Authority:

25 ORS 477.625 (Permit to Operate Power Driven Machinery).

#### 26 Contact Information:

- 27 Rick Wagner, Stewardship Forester
- 28 Oregon Department of Forestry
- 29 611 20th Street
- 30 La Grande, Oregon 97850
- 31 (541) 963-3168

#### 32 John Tokarczyk – Forest Resources Planning

- 33 Oregon Department of Forestry
- 34 Salem Headquarters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> IPC recognizes that the ODOT permit to occupy a state highway ROW could, in the context of a different facility, properly viewed as "related to siting"; for example, where construction of a transmission line facility hinged on ODOT's approval of location of the transmission line longitudinally within the state highway ROW. For the Project, however, IPC anticipates that even if ODOT were to impose conditions or design changes on IPC's proposed highway spanning locations, such minor modifications would not have an impact on the siting of the facility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ODF provides a single form that comprises both: (a) an application for a Permit to Operate Power Driven Machinery; and (b) a Notification of Operation required to operate in forest lands (see Exhibit E, Section 3.2.9.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> IPC recognizes that this Oregon Forest Practices Act notice and prior approval could, in the context of a different facility, be properly viewed as "related to siting"; for example, where construction of a generation facility hinged on ODF's approval of certain clearing activities. For the Project, however, IPC anticipates that even if ODF were to impose conditions or design changes on IPC's proposed, such minor modifications would not have an impact on the siting of the facility.

- 1 2600 State Street
- 2 Salem, Oregon 97310
- 3 (503) 945-7414
- 4 3.2.9.2 Notification of Operation

#### 5 *Permit Description and Necessity:*

6 Under the Oregon Forest Practices Act, individuals or entities must notify the ODF before

7 beginning certain activities on forest lands, including timber harvesting and road construction,

8 and obtain prior approval from ODF for those activities. The notification is not a permit. It is only

9 information to ODF of an operator's intent. On state and private land, IPC plans to contract with

a qualified timber operator to perform timber removal as needed for the Project. After the

construction contractor finalizes the Project design and at least 15 days prior to commencing
 forestry activities, IPC or its timber contractor will submit the Notification of Operation to ODF.

13 The USFS will manage timber removal on federal lands. The notification should not be included

14 in and governed by the site certificate because it is not a permit and because it relates to IPC's

15 forestry operations during construction and not to siting.<sup>11</sup>

#### 16 Legal Authority:

17 ORS 527.670 (Notification of Operation).

#### 18 **Contact Information**:

19 Same as above.

#### 20 3.2.10 Morrow County

21 3.2.10.1 Utility Crossing Permit

#### 22 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 23 This permit is required any time a utility is constructed within or across a public ROW. The
- 24 Project will cross county ROWs and IPC will apply for a Utility Crossing Permit. IPC will obtain
- any necessary utility crossing permits directly from the Morrow County Public Works
- 26 Department. This permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate because
- it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not to siting.

#### 28 Legal Authority:

29 ORS 374.305, MCZO 4.010(B).

#### 30 Contact Information:

- 31 Mr. Bob Nairns
- 32 PO Box 428
- 33 Lexington, Oregon 97839
- 34 (541) 989-8584

#### 35 3.2.10.2 Access Approach Site Permit

- 37 The Access Approach Site Permit will be required if the Project access roads intersect with
- public roads, or if necessary upgrades to existing access roads affect a public road. IPC intends
- to develop access roads that will intersect with public roads, and IPC will obtain any necessary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> IPC recognizes that this Oregon Forest Practices Act notice and prior approval could, in the context of a different facility, be properly viewed as "related to siting"; for example, where construction of a generation facility hinged on ODF's approval of certain clearing activities. For the Project, however, IPC anticipates that even if ODF were to impose conditions or design changes on IPC's proposed, such minor modifications would not have an impact on the siting of the facility.

- 1 Access Approach Site Permits directly from the Morrow County Public Works Department. This
- 2 permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's
- 3 work within a road ROW during construction and not to siting.

5 ORS 374.305, MCZO 4.010(B).

#### 6 Contact Information:

7 Same as above.

#### 8 3.2.10.3 Construction Permit to Build on Right-of-Way

#### 9 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 10 A construction permit is required to make improvements to access roads that intersect with
- 11 county road ROWs. Project access roads will intersect with public roads and IPC will obtain any
- 12 necessary a Construction Permit to Build on Right-of-Way directly from the Morrow County
- 13 Public Works Department. This permit should not be included in and governed by the site
- 14 certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not to
- 15 siting.

#### 16 Legal Authority:

17 ORS 374.305, MCZO Section 4.010(B).

#### 18 **Contact Information**:

- 19 Same as above.
- 20 3.2.10.4 Flood Plain Development Permit

#### 21 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 22 Under MCZO 3.100, construction activity within a Special Flood Hazard Area in Morrow County
- 23 requires a Flood Plain Development Permit. To the extent the Project will include construction
- 24 activities within a Special Flood Hazard Area, IPC will obtain any necessary Flood Plain
- 25 Development Permit directly from the Morrow County. This permit should not be included in and
- 26 governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a certain flood plain area
- 27 during construction and not to siting.

#### 28 Legal Authority:

29 MCZO 3.100(4).

#### 30 Contact Information:

- 31 Ms. Carla McLane
- 32 Planning Director
- 33 205 NE Third St.
- 34 Irrigon, Oregon 97844
- 35 (541) 922-4624

#### 36 3.2.11 Umatilla County

37 3.2.11.1 Installation of Utilities on County and Public Roads Permit (Utilities Permit)

- 39 Umatilla County requires a utilities permit for any activity that involves having the utility cross a
- 40 county or state road, pipelines, or installations adjacent to roadway and requiring traffic control.
- 41 The Project will cross roads and pipelines and IPC will apply for a utilities permit directly from
- 42 Umatilla County. This permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate
- 43 because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not to siting.

2 ORS 374.305-374.325.

#### 3 **Contact Information:**

- 4 Tom Fellows
- 5 Department of Public Works
- 6 Umatilla County Road Department
- 7 3920 Westgate Street
- 8 Pendleton, Oregon 97801
- 9 (541) 278-5424

## 10 3.2.11.2 Road Approach and Crossing Permit

#### 11 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 12 A Road Approach and Crossing Permit from Umatilla County will be required to make
- 13 improvements to access roads that intersect with county road ROWs. Project access roads will
- 14 intersect with public roads and IPC will obtain any necessary Road Approach and Crossing
- 15 Permit directly from Umatilla County. This permit should not be included in and governed by the
- 16 Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not 17 to siting.

## 18 Legal Authority:

19 ORS 374.305.

#### 20 Contact Information:

- 21 Same as above.
- 22 3.2.11.3 Flood Plain Development Permit

#### 23 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 24 Under UCDC 152.353(D), construction activity within an Area of Special Flood Hazard in
- 25 Umatilla County requires a Flood Plain Development Permit. To the extent the Project will
- 26 include construction activities within an Area of Special Flood Hazard, IPC will obtain any
- 27 necessary Flood Plain Development Permit directly from the Umatilla County. This permit
- should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work
- 29 within a certain flood plain area during construction and not to siting.

## 30 Legal Authority:

31 UCDC 152.353.

## 32 Contact Information:

- 33 Ms. Tamra J. Mabbott
- 34 Planning Director
- 35 Department of Land Use Planning
- 36 216 SE 4th Street Room 104
- 37 Pendleton, Oregon 97801
- 38 (541) 278-6252

## 39 **3.2.12 Union County**

40 3.2.12.1 Union County Public Works Department – Road Approach Permit

- 42 A Road Approach Permit from Union County will be required to make improvements to access
- roads that intersect with county road ROWs. Project access roads will intersect with public

- 1 roads and IPC will obtain any necessary Road Approach Permit directly from Union County.
- 2 This permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to
- 3 IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not to siting.

5 ORS 374.305.

#### 6 **Contact Information**:

- 7 Doug Wright
- 8 Union County Public Works Department
- 9 P.O. Box 1103
- 10 La Grande, Oregon 97850
- 11 (541) 963-1014
- 12 3.2.12.2 Work in County Right-of-Way Permit

#### 13 Permit Description and Necessity:

14 If any activity takes place in a county road ROW within Union County, an application must be

- submitted for approval by the county prior to the activity being conducted. IPC will apply for this
- 16 permit directly from Union County. This permit should not be included in and governed by the
- 17 Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not
- 18 to siting.

#### 19 Legal Authority:

- 20 ORS 374.305.
- 21 Contact Information:
- 22 Same as above.
- 23 3.2.12.3 Flood Plain Development Permit

#### 24 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 25 Under UCZSPO Article 17.00, construction activity within a Special Flood Hazard Area in Union
- 26 County requires a Flood Plain Development Permit. To the extent the Project will include
- 27 construction activities within a Special Flood Hazard Area, IPC will obtain any necessary Flood
- 28 Plain Development Permit directly from the Union County. This permit should not be included in
- and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a certain flood plain
- 30 area during construction and not to siting.

## 31 Legal Authority:

32 UCZSPO Article 17.00.

## 33 Contact Information:

- 34 Scott Hartell
- 35 Planning Department
- 36 1001 Fourth Street, Suite C
- 37 La Grande, Oregon 97850
- 38 (541) 963-1014

#### 1 **3.2.13 Baker County**

#### 2 3.2.13.1 Right-of-Way Activities Authorization

#### 3 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 4 If any activity takes place in a ROW within Baker County, an application must be submitted for
- 5 approval by the county prior to the activity being conducted. Construction of the Project may
- 6 require modifications within existing Baker County road ROWs, including improvements to
- 7 county roads that may be used as access roads. IPC will apply for this permit directly from
- 8 Baker County. This permit should not be included in and governed by the Site Certificate
- 9 because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not to siting.

#### 10 Legal Authority:

11 ORS 374.305.

#### 12 **Contact Information:**

- 13 Mr. Jeff Smith
- 14 Roadmaster
- 15 Baker County Road Department
- 16 3050 E Street
- 17 Baker City, Oregon 97814
- 18 (541) 523-6417

#### 19 3.2.13.2 Flood Plain Development Permit

#### 20 **Permit Description and Necessity:**

- 21 Under the BCZSO 410, construction activity within a Special Flood Hazard Area in Baker
- 22 County requires review and approval. To the extent the Project will include construction
- activities within a Special Flood Hazard Area, IPC will obtain any necessary Flood Plain
- 24 Development Permit directly from Baker County. This permit should not be included in and
- 25 governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a certain flood plain area
- 26 during construction and not to siting.

#### 27 Legal Authority:

28 BCZSO 410.

#### 29 Contact Information:

- 30 Ms. Holly Kerns
- 31 Interim Planning Director
- 32 Baker County Planning Department
- 33 1995 Third Street
- 34 Baker City, Oregon 97814
- 35 (541) 523-8219

## 36 **3.2.14 Malheur County**

#### 37 3.2.14.1 Permit to Occupy or Perform Operations upon Public Roads

- If any activity takes place in a ROW within Malheur County, an application must be submitted for
- approval by the county prior to the activity being conducted. Construction of the Project may
- require modifications within existing Malheur County road ROWs, including improvements to
- 42 county roads that may be used as access roads and installation of distribution lines across or
- 43 within a county ROW. IPC will apply for a Permit to Occupy or Perform Operations upon Public
- Roads directly from Malheur County. This permit should not be included in and governed by the

- 1 Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a road ROW during construction and not
- 2 to siting.
- 3 Legal Authority:
- 4 ORS 374.305.
- 5 **Contact Information**:
- 6 Mr. Richard Moulton
- 7 Road Master
- 8 251 B St. West #8
- 9 Vale, OR 97918
- 10 (541) 473-5191
- 11 3.2.14.2 Flood Plain Development Permit

#### 12 Permit Description and Necessity:

- 13 Under MCC 3K-3, MCC 5-1-2, and MCC 5-2-4, construction of structures or development
- 14 involving fill and related activities within a Flood Plain Management Zone in Malheur County
- 15 requires a Flood Plain Development Permit. To the extent the Project will include construction of
- 16 a structure or fill activities within a Flood Plain Management Zone, IPC will obtain any necessary
- 17 Flood Plain Development Permit directly from Malheur County. This permit should not be
- included in and governed by the Site Certificate because it relates to IPC's work within a certain
- 19 flood plain area during construction and not to siting..

#### 20 Legal Authority:

21 MCC 3K-3; MCC 5-1-2; MCC 5-2-4.

#### 22 **Contact Information**:

- 23 Mr. Alvin Scott
- 24 Interim Planning Director
- 25 251 B St. West #12
- 26 Vale, Oregon 97918
- 27 (541) 473-5185

## 28 **3.3 Federally Delegated Permits**

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(D): For federally-delegated permit applications, evidence that the responsible agency has received a permit application and the estimated date when the

- 31 responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision.
- The Council does not determine compliance for permits that are federally delegated. IPC will coordinate the submission of applications for federally-delegated permits with the National

34 Environmental Policy Act process currently underway in support of IPC's ROW application on

federally managed lands. Table E-2, below, provides a summary of application dates and permit

36 status for federally delegated permits.

## 37 Table E-2. Federally Delegated Permit Application Status

Permit	Application Submitted	When Permit Will be Issued
Air Contaminant	After issuance of the Record	Prior to ground-disturbing activities
Discharge Permit	of Decision	
NPDES 1200-A	Preliminary permit	Per consultation with ODEQ, permit
	application will be submitted	may be issued two to three weeks
	prior to Final ASC	following issuance of the site certificate
Clean Water Act	Triggered by Section 404	Prior to ground-disturbing activities

Permit	Application Submitted	When Permit Will be Issued
Section 401 Water	permit	
Quality Certification		
NPDES 1200-C	Preliminary permit	Per consultation with ODEQ, permit
	application submitted on	may be issued two to three weeks
	May 3, 2012	following issuance of the site certificate

NPDES = National Pollution Discharge Elimination System; ODEQ = Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

## 1 3.4 Third-Party Permits

2 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(E): If the applicant relies on a state or local government permit or approval issued to a third party, identification of any such third-party permit and for each: (i) 3 Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or 4 other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by 5 that permit. (ii) Evidence that the third party has, or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining, 6 the necessary permit. (iii) An assessment of the impact of the proposed facility on any 7 permits that a third party has obtained and on which the applicant relies to comply with any 8 applicable Council standard. 9

OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(F): If the applicant relies on a federally-delegated permit issued to a third party, identification of any such third-party permit and for each: (i) Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by that permit. (ii) Evidence that the responsible agency has received a permit application. (iii) The estimated the date when the responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision.

16 3.4.1 State or Local Third-Party Permits

## 17 3.4.1.1 Identification of State or Local Third-Party Permits

IPC will rely on Umatilla Electric Co-Op, Pacific Power, and Oregon Trail Electric Cooperative to
 install the distribution lines serving the communication stations (see Exhibit A, Section 3.2). The
 distribution lines may require the following local permits or approvals depending on the final
 design and alignment of the distribution lines:

• Communication Station MO-01, Morrow County, EFU Zone, Utility Crossing Permit

Communication station MO-01 will be located in the EFU Zone in Morrow County. Utility
 lines not exceeding 200 feet in height are permitted outright in EFU lands in Morrow
 County. However, a Utility Crossing Permit is required any time a utility is constructed
 within or across a public ROW in Morrow County. If the distribution lines serving
 Communication Station MO-01 cross or are located in a public ROW in Morrow County,
 the third-party local service provider will obtain any necessary Utility Crossing Permit
 from the Morrow County Public Works Department.

## Communication Station UM-01 and UM-02, EFU Zone, Umatilla County, Utilities Permit

Communication stations UM-01 and UM-02 will be located in the EFU Zone in Umatilla County. Utility lines that are located on one or more of the following are permitted outright in EFU: (1) a public ROW; (2) land immediately adjacent to a public ROW, provided the written consent of all adjacent property owners has been obtained; or (3) the property to be served by the utility. However, a Utilities Permit is required if a utility line does not meet those criteria and is constructed within or across a public ROW in Umatilla County. If the distribution lines servicing communication stations UM-01 and
 UM02 require a Utilities Permit, the third-party local service provider will obtain any
 necessary Utilities Permit from Umatilla County.

## Communication Stations UN-01 and UN-01 ALT, Timber-Grazing Zone, Union County, Work in County Right-of-Way Permit

6 Communication stations UN-01 and UN-01 ALT will be located in the Timber-Grazing Zone in Union County. Local distribution lines and accessory equipment are permitted 7 8 outright in Timber-Grazing Zone in Union County. However, if any activity will take place in a county road ROW within Union County, an application must be submitted for 9 10 approval by the county prior to the activity being conducted. If the distribution lines serving communication stations UN-01 and UN-01 ALT cross or are located in a public 11 ROW in Union County, the third-party local service provider will obtain any necessary 12 Work in County Right-of-Way Permit from Union County. 13

## Communication Station UN-02, Agriculture-Grazing Zone, Union County, Land Use Decision and Work in County Right-of-Way Permit

16 Communication station UN-02 will be located in the Agriculture-Grazing Zone in Union County. Utility facilities may be established in an Agricultural-Grazing Zone subject to a 17 review process. Additionally, if any activity will take place in a county road ROW within 18 Union County, an application must be submitted for approval by the county prior to the 19 activity being conducted. If the distribution lines serving communication station UN-02 20 crosses or is located in a public ROW in Union County, the third-party local service 21 provider will obtain any necessary Land Use Decision and Work in County Right-of-Way 22 23 Permit from Union County.

## Communication Stations BA-01 and BA-02, EFU Zone, Baker County, Right Of Way Activities Permit

Communication stations BA-01 and BA-02 will be located in the EFU Zone in Baker County. Local distribution utility facilities are permitted outright in EFU Zone in Baker County. However, if any activity takes place in a ROW within Baker County, an application must be submitted for approval by the county prior to the activity being conducted. If the distribution lines serving communication stations BA-01 and BA-02 cross or are located in a public ROW in Baker County, the third-party local service provider will obtain any necessary Right of Way Activities Permit from Baker County.

Other than the local or state permits or authorizations set forth above, IPC will not rely on any
 state or local permits issued to a third-party.<sup>12</sup>

Table E-3 summarizes the permitting requirements for the distribution lines in Morrow, Umatilla, Union, and Baker counties.

#### **Table E-3. Communication Station Distribution Line Permitting**

Communication Station	County	Zones or Public Right-of-Way Impacts	Relevant Legal Authority	Permit Requirement
CS MO-01	Morrow	Exclusive Farm Use	Morrow County Dev.	None

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In some circumstances, IPC's construction contractor may obtain permits on behalf of IPC. However, because the construction contractor will obtain these permits as an agent of IPC under IPC's control and direction, such permits are not considered third-party permits for purposes of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(E) or (F).

		Zones or Public		_
Communication Station	County	Right-of-Way Impacts	Relevant Legal Authority	Permit Requirement
		(EFU)	Code § 3.10.C.16: Utility and transmission lines not exceeding 200 feet in height are permitted outright in EFU	
		If crossing or located within a public right- of-way	Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) § 374.305	Utility Crossing Permit
CS UM-01 CS UM-02 CS UM-02 ALT	Umatilla	EFU	Umatilla County Dev. Code § 152.056: Utility facility service lines that are located on one or more of the following are permitted outright in EFU: (1) a public right of way; (2) land immediately adjacent to a public right of way, provided the written consent of all adjacent property owners has been obtained; or (3) the property to be served by the utility.	None
		If crossing or located within a public right- of-way and is not permitted outright	ORS §§ 374.305	Utilities Permit
CS UN-01 CS UN-01 ALT	Union	Timber-Grazing Use A-1	Union County Dev. Code § 5.02.10: Local distribution lines and accessory equipment are permitted outright in Timber-Grazing Zone	None
		If crossing or located within a public right- of-way	ORS § 374.305	Work in County Right- of-Way Permit

Communication Station	County	Zones or Public Right-of-Way Impacts	Relevant Legal Authority	Permit Requirement
CS UN-02	Union	Agricultural-Grazing Use A-2	Union County Dev. Code § 3.03.7: Utility facilities may be established in an Agricultural-Grazing Zone subject to a review process (Planning Director Land Use Decision)	Land Use Decision
		If crossing or located within a public right- of-way	ORS § 374.305	Work in County Right- of-Way Permit
CS BA-01 CS BA-02	Baker	EFU	Baker County Dev. Code § 301.01.C: Local distribution utility facilities are permitted outright in EFU	None
		If crossing or located within a public right- of-way	ORS § 374.305	Right of Way Activities Permit

13.4.1.2Likelihood Idaho Power Company Will Be Able to Enter into an Agreement2with the Local Service Provider

3 Under Oregon law, a local electrical service provider generally must provide service to

4 requesting customers within the utility's service territory (see In re Columbia Basin Elec. Coop.,

*Inc. v. PacifiCorp et al.*, Docket No. UM 1670, Order No. 15-110 at 6 (April 10, 2015) ("When an
entire load is located within the service territory of a single utility, that utility has the right and
obligation to serve that load.")). Because Umatilla Electric Co-Op, Pacific Power, and Oregon
Trail Electric Cooperative are required to provide electrical service to IPC for use at the

9 communication stations, IPC has a reasonable likelihood of entering into a contract or

agreement with each of them for the installation of the distribution lines.

## 11 3.4.1.3 Likelihood the Local Service Provider Will Be Able to Obtain Necessary 12 Permits

13 The Morrow, Umatilla, and Union County planning departments have indicated to IPC that there

14 is a high likelihood that the local service providers will be able to obtain any necessary

authorizations to install the Project's communication station distribution lines in those counties.

## 16 3.4.1.4 Impact of Third-Party Permits on Council Standard Compliance

17 At this time, no third party has obtained any permits related to the Project. Further, IPC will not

rely on any third-party permits to comply with any applicable Council standard.

## 19 *3.4.2 Federally-Delegated Permits*

20 IPC will not rely on any federally-delegated permits issued to a third party.

#### **Monitoring Program** 3.5 1

2 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(G): The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for 3 compliance with permit conditions.

To the extent that monitoring may be required for any permit conditions, monitoring programs 4

5 are discussed in the Exhibit in which the permit is more fully discussed. For example, monitoring

for compliance with removal-fill permit conditions is discussed in Exhibit J. For additional 6

7 information regarding the location of discussion of monitoring programs, see the cross-

references to ASC Exhibits provided in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. 8

#### 4.0 **COMPLIANCE CROSS-REFERENCES** 9

10 Table E-4 provides cross references between Exhibit submittal requirements of OAR 345-021-

0010 and the Council's Approval standards of OAR 345-022-0000 and where discussion can be 11 found in the Exhibit.

12

#### Table E-4. Compliance Requirements and Relevant Cross-References 13

Requirement	Location			
OAR 345-0210-0010(1)(e)				
Exhibit E. Information about permits needed for construction and operation of the facility, including:				
(A) Identification of all federal, state and local government permits related to the siting of the proposed facility, a legal citation of the statute, rule or ordinance governing each permit, and the name, mailing address, email address and telephone number of the agency or office responsible for each permit.	Exhibit E, Section 3.1 and Section 3.2			
(B) A description of each permit, the reasons the permit is needed for construction or operation of the facility and the applicant's analysis of whether the permit should or should not be included in and governed by the site certificate.	Exhibit E, Section 3.1 and Section 3.2			
<ul> <li>(C) For any state or local government agency permits, licenses or certificates that are proposed to be included in and governed by the site certificate, evidence to support findings by the Council that construction and operation of the proposed facility will comply with the statutes, rules and standards applicable to the permit. The applicant may show this evidence:</li> <li>(i) In Exhibit J for permits related to wetlands.</li> <li>(ii) In Exhibit O for permits related to water rights.</li> </ul>	Exhibit E, Section 3.1			
(D) For federally-delegated permit applications, evidence that the responsible agency has received a permit application and the estimated date when the responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision.	Exhibit E, Section 3.3			
<ul> <li>(E) If the applicant relies on a state or local government permit or approval issued to a third party, identification of any such third-party permit and for each:</li> <li>(i) Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by that permit.</li> <li>(ii) Evidence that the third party has, or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining, the necessary permit.</li> </ul>	Exhibit E, Section 3.4			

Requirement	Location
OAR 345-0210-0010(1)(e)	
(iii) An assessment of the impact of the proposed facility on any permits that a third party has obtained and on which the applicant relies to comply with any applicable Council standard.	
<ul> <li>(F) If the applicant relies on a federally-delegated permit issued to a third party, identification of any such third-party permit and for each:</li> <li>(i) Evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by that permit.</li> <li>(ii) Evidence that the responsible agency has received a permit application.</li> <li>(iii) The estimated the date when the responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision.</li> </ul>	Exhibit E, Section 3.4
(G) The applicant's proposed monitoring program, if any, for compliance with permit conditions.	Exhibit E, Section 3.5
Amended Project Order Requirements State permits within the Council's jurisdiction, and permits that are necessary but outside the Council's jurisdiction, should be described and discussed separately. Although the Council does not review for compliance with federal permits, the application should describe federal permits particularly as federal permitting requirements are often relied on as evidence of compliance with EFSC or local standards.	Exhibit E, Section 3.1 and Section 3.2
Ensure that Exhibit E identifies and discusses all the possible permits listed in Section I of this project order.	Exhibit E, Section 3.1, Section 3.2, Section 3.3, and Section 3.4