Exhibit W Retirement and Site Restoration

Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project



Todd Adams, Project Leader (208) 388-2740 tadams@idahopower.com Zach Funkhouser, Permitting (208) 388-5375 <u>zfunkhouser@idahopower.com</u>

Preliminary Application for Site Certificate

February 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | INTF | RODUCTION | W-1 |
|-----|------|---|-----|
| 2.0 | APP | LICABLE RULES AND STATUTES | W-1 |
| | 2.1 | Requirements of Exhibit W – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) | W-1 |
| | 2.2 | Project Order Requirements | W-2 |
| 3.0 | ANA | LYSIS | W-2 |
| | 3.1 | Estimated Useful Life | W-2 |
| | 3.2 | Site Restoration Activities | W-3 |
| | 3.3 | Site Restoration Costs | W-4 |
| | 3.4 | Estimating Methods | W-4 |
| | 3.5 | Monitoring Plan for Hazardous Materials Unnecessary | W-5 |
| 4.0 | CON | ICLUSION | W-5 |
| 5.0 | SUB | MITTAL AND APPROVAL COMPLIANCE MATRIX | W-6 |
| 6.0 | RES | PONSE TO COMMENTS FROM REVIEWING AGENCIES AND THE PUBLIC. | W-7 |
| 7.0 | REF | ERENCES | W-7 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table W-1. | Submittal Requirements Matrix | . W-6 |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | - |

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment W-1. Facilities Removal and Site Restoration Cost Estimate

This page intentionally left blank.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Note: Not all acronyms and abbreviations listed will appear in this Exhibit.

| °C | degrees Celsius |
|-------------------|---|
| 4WD | 4-wheel-drive |
| A | ampere |
| A/ph | amperes/phase |
| AC | alternating current |
| ACDP | Air Contaminant Discharge Permit |
| ACEC | Area of Critical Environmental Concern |
| ACSR | aluminum conductor steel reinforced |
| AIMP | Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan |
| AMS | Analysis of the Management Situation |
| aMW | average megawatt |
| ANSI | American National Standards Institute |
| APE | Area of Potential Effect |
| APLIC | Avian Power Line Interaction Committee |
| ARPA | Archaeological Resource Protection Act |
| ASC | Application for Site Certificate |
| ASCE | American Society of Civil Engineers |
| ASP | Archaeological Survey Plan |
| AST | aboveground storage tank |
| ASTM | American Society of Testing and Materials |
| ATC | available transmission capacity |
| ATV | all-terrain vehicle |
| AUM | animal unit month |
| B2H | Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project |
| BCCP | Baker County Comprehensive Plan |
| BCZSO | Baker County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance |
| BLM | Bureau of Land Management |
| BMP | best management practice |
| BPA | Bonneville Power Administration |
| BOR | Bureau of Reclamation |
| C and D | construction and demolition |
| CAA | Clean Air Act |
| CadnaA | Computer-Aided Noise Abatement |
| CAFE | Corona and Field Effects |
| CAP | Community Advisory Process |
| CBM | capacity benefit margin |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CH | critical habitat |
| CIP | critical infrastructure protection |
| CL | centerline |
| cm | centimeter |
| cmil | circular mil |
| COA | Conservation Opportunity Area |
| CO ₂ e | carbon dioxide equivalent |

| COM Plan | Construction, Operations, and Maintenance Plan |
|-----------------|---|
| CPCN | Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity |
| cps | cycle per second |
| CRP | Conservation Reserve Program |
| | - |
| CRT | cathode-ray tube |
| CRUP | Cultural Resource Use Permit |
| CSZ | Cascadia Subduction Zone |
| CTUIR | Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation |
| CWA | Clean Water Act of 1972 |
| | |
| CWR | Critical Winter Range |
| dB | decibel |
| dBA | A-weighted decibel |
| DC | direct current |
| DoD | Department of Defense |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| | |
| DOGAMI | Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries |
| DPS | Distinct Population Segment |
| DSL | Oregon Department of State Lands |
| EA | environmental assessment |
| EDRR | Early Detection and Rapid Response |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS for Draft and FEIS |
| | for Final) |
| | , |
| EFSC or Council | Energy Facility Siting Council |
| EFU | Exclusive Farm Use |
| EHS | extra high strength |
| EMF | electric and magnetic fields |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPC | Engineer, Procure, Construct |
| EPM | environmental protection measure |
| | • |
| EPRI | Electric Power Research Institute |
| ERO | Electric Reliability Organization |
| ERU | Exclusive Range Use |
| ESA | Endangered Species Act |
| ESCP | Erosion and Sediment Control Plan |
| ESU | Evolutionarily Significant Unit |
| EU | |
| | European Union |
| FAA | Federal Aviation Administration |
| FCC | Federal Communication Commission |
| FEMA | Federal Emergency Management Agency |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| FFT | find, fix, track, and report |
| FLPMA | Federal Land Policy and Management Act |
| | |
| Forest Plan | Land and Resource Management Plan |
| FPA | Forest Practices Act |
| FSA | Farm Services Agency |
| FWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| G | gauss |
| - | 3 |

| GeoBOB | Geographic Biotic Observation |
|-----------------|---|
| GF | Grazing Farm Zone |
| GHG | greenhouse gas |
| GHz | gigahertz |
| GIL | gas insulated transmission line |
| GIS | geographic information system |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| GRMW | Grande Ronde Model Watershed |
| GRP | Grassland Reserve Program |
| HAC | Historic Archaeological Cultural |
| | - |
| HCNRA | Hells Canyon National Recreation Area |
| HPFF | high pressure fluid-filled |
| HPMP | Historic Properties Management Plan |
| HUC | Hydrologic Unit Code |
| Hz | hertz |
| I-84 | Interstate 84 |
| ICC | International Code Council |
| ICES | International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety |
| ICNIRP | International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection |
| IDAPA | Idaho Administrative Procedures Act |
| IDEQ | Idaho Department of Environmental Quality |
| IDFG | Idaho Department of Fish and Game |
| IDWR | Idaho Department of Water Resources |
| ILS | intensive-level survey |
| IM | Instructional Memorandum |
| INHP | Idaho Natural Heritage Program |
| INRMP | Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan |
| IPC | Idaho Power Company |
| IPUC | Idaho Public Utilities Commission |
| IRP | integrated resource plan |
| IRPAC | IRP Advisory Council |
| ISDA | Idaho State Department of Agriculture |
| JPA | Joint Permit Application |
| KCM | thousand circular mils |
| kHz | kilohertz |
| km | kilometer |
| KOP | Key Observation Point |
| kV | kilovolt |
| kV/m | kilovolt per meter |
| kWh | kilowatt-hour |
| | |
| L _{dn} | day-night sound level |
| L _{eq} | equivalent sound level |
| lb LODO | pound |
| LCDC | Land Conservation and Development Commission |
| LDMA | Lost Dutchman's Mining Association |
| Lidar | light detection and ranging |
| LIT | Local Implementation Team |
| | |

| LMP | land management plan |
|----------------|--|
| LOLE | Loss of Load Expectation |
| LRMP | land and resource management plan |
| LUBA | Land Use Board of Appeals |
| LWD | large woody debris |
| m | meter |
| mA | milliampere |
| MA | Management Area |
| MAIFI | Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index |
| MCC | Malheur County Code |
| MCCP | Morrow County Comprehensive Plan |
| MCE | Maximum Credible Earthquake |
| MCZO | Morrow County Zoning Ordinance |
| mG | milligauss |
| MHz | megahertz |
| mm | millimeter |
| MMI | Modified Mercalli Intensity |
| | |
| MP MPE | milepost |
| | maximum probable earthquake |
| MRI | magnetic resonance imaging |
| MVAR | megavolt ampere reactive |
| Mw | mean magnitude |
| MW | megawatt |
| μV/m | microvolt per meter |
| N_2O | nitrous oxide |
| NAIP | National Agriculture Imagery Program |
| NED | National Elevation Dataset |
| NEMS | National Energy Modeling System |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 |
| NERC | North American Electric Reliability Corporation |
| NESC | National Electrical Safety Code |
| NF | National Forest |
| NFPA | National Fire Protection Association |
| NFS | National Forest System |
| NGDC | National Geophysical Data Center |
| NHD | National Hydrography Dataset |
| NHOTIC | National Historic Oregon Trail Interpretive Center |
| NHT | National Historic Trail |
| NIEHS | National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences |
| NIST | National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| NOAA Fisheries | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries |
| | Division |
| NOI | Notice of Intent to File an Application for Site Certificate |
| NOV | Notice of Violation |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| NRCS | National Politicant Discharge Emmation System |
| NIXUU | |

| NRHP | National Register of Historic Places |
|----------------|--|
| NSR | noise sensitive receptor |
| NTTG | Northern Tier Transmission Group |
| NWGAP | Northwest Regional Gap Analysis Landcover Data |
| | • • • |
| NWI | National Wetlands Inventory |
| NWPP | Northwest Power Pool |
| NWR | National Wildlife Refuge |
| NWSRS | National Wild and Scenic Rivers System |
| NWSTF | Naval Weapons Systems Training Facility |
| | |
| O ₃ | ozone |
| O&M | operation and maintenance |
| OAIN | Oregon Agricultural Information Network |
| OAR | Oregon Administrative Rules |
| OATT | Open Access Transmission Tariff |
| ODA | Oregon Department of Agriculture |
| | |
| ODEQ | Oregon Department of Environmental Quality |
| ODF | Oregon Department of Forestry |
| ODFW | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| ODOE | Oregon Department of Energy |
| ODOT | Oregon Department of Transportation |
| OHGW | overhead ground wire |
| OHV | off-highway vehicle |
| | |
| OPGW | optical ground wire |
| OPRD | Oregon Parks and Recreation Department |
| OPS | U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Pipeline Safety |
| OPUC | Public Utility Commission of Oregon |
| OR | Oregon (State) Highway |
| ORBIC | Oregon Biodiversity Information Center |
| ORS | Oregon Revised Statutes |
| ORWAP | Oregon Rapid Wetland Assessment Protocol |
| | ÷ . |
| OS | Open Space |
| OSDAM | Oregon Streamflow Duration Assessment Methodology |
| OSHA | Occupational Safety and Health Administration |
| OSSC | Oregon Structural Specialty Code |
| OSWB | Oregon State Weed Board |
| OWC | Oregon Wetland Cover |
| P | Preservation |
| PA | |
| | Programmatic Agreement |
| pASC | Preliminary Application for Site Certificate |
| PAT | Project Advisory Team |
| PCE | Primary Constituent Element |
| PEM | palustrine emergent |
| PFO | palustrine forested |
| PGA | peak ground acceleration |
| PGE | Portland General Electric |
| PGH | |
| | Preliminary General Habitats |
| Pike | Pike Energy Solutions |
| | |

| PNSN | Pacific Northwest Seismic Network |
|---------|--|
| POD | Plan of Development |
| POMU | Permit to Operate, Maintain and Use a State Highway Approach |
| PPH | Preliminary Priority Habitats |
| Project | Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project |
| PSD | Prevention of Significant Deterioration |
| PSS | - |
| | palustrine scrub-shrub |
| R | Retention |
| R-F | removal-fill |
| RCM | Reliability Centered Maintenance |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| ReGAP | Regional Gap Analysis Project |
| RFP | request for proposal |
| RLS | reconnaissance-level survey |
| RMP | resource management plan |
| ROD | Record of Decision |
| ROE | right of entry |
| RNA | research natural area |
| | |
| ROW | right-of-way |
| SAIDI | System Average Interruption Duration Index |
| SAIFI | System Average Interruption Frequency Index |
| SC | Sensitive Critical |
| SEORMP | Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan |
| SF6 | sulfur hexafluoride |
| Shaw | Shaw Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc. |
| SHPO | State Historic Preservation Office |
| SLIDO | Statewide Landslide Inventory Database for Oregon |
| SMS | Scenery Management System |
| SMU | Species Management Unit |
| SPCC | • |
| | Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasures |
| SRMA | Special Recreation Management Area |
| SRSAM | Salmon Resources and Sensitive Area Mapping |
| SSURGO | Soil Survey Geographic Database |
| STATSGO | State Soil Geographic Database |
| SUP | special-use permit |
| SV | Sensitive Vulnerable |
| SWPPP | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan |
| T/A/Y | tons/acre/year |
| TDG | Total Dissolved Gas |
| TES | threatened, endangered, and sensitive (species) |
| TG | Timber Grazing |
| TMIP | 5 |
| | Transmission Maintenance and Inspection Plan |
| TNC | The Nature Conservancy |
| tpy | tons per year |
| TSD | treatment, storage, and disposal |
| TV | television |
| TVES | Terrestrial Visual Encounter Surveys |
| | |

| TVMP UBAR UBWC UCDC UCZPSO UDP U.S. USACE U.S.C. USDA USFS USGS UWIN V/C V VAHP VMS VQO VAHP VMS VQO VRM WAGS WCU WECC WHO WMA WOS WOUS WOUS WPCF WR WRCC WRD WRP WWE | Transmission Vegetation Management Program Umatilla Basin Aquifer Restoration Umatilla Basin Water Commission Umatilla County Development Code Union County Zoning, Partition and Subdivision Ordinance Unanticipated Discovery Plan United States U.S. Army Corps of Engineers United States Code U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service U.S. Geological Survey Utah Wildlife in Need volume to capacity volt Visual Assessment of Historic Properties Visual Management System Visual Quality Objective Visual Resource Management Washington ground squirrel Wilderness Characteristic Unit Western Electricity Coordinating Council World Health Organization Wildlife Management Area waters of the state waters of the United States Water Pollution Control Facility winter range Western Regional Climate Center (Oregon) Water Resources Division Wetland Reserve Program West-wide Energy |
|--|---|
| XLPE | cross-linked polyethylene |
| | |

1 Exhibit W

2 **Retirement and Site Restoration**

3 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Exhibit W demonstrates that the Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project (the
Project) complies with the approval standard for facility retirement, in accordance with Oregon
Administrative Rule (OAR) 345-022-0050(1), based on information provided pursuant to OAR
345-021-0010(1)(w), paragraphs (A) through (E). Specifically, Exhibit W demonstrates that
Idaho Power Company (IPC) can adequately restore the Project site to a useful non-hazardous
condition in the unlikely event that the facility is retired.

The useful life of a 500-kilovolt (kV) transmission line is indefinite, and they are designed and maintained to remain in service in perpetuity. For this reason, it is highly unlikely that the Project would ever be retired. Nevertheless, in compliance with Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC or Council) rules, this Exhibit describes the steps that would be necessary in the unlikely event that the Project were to be retired. These steps include both the specific actions that would be required to remove the Project's structures and the steps necessary to restore the site to a useful condition. This exhibit also includes a detailed financial analysis of the costs that would

be associated with facility retirement and site restoration.

18 **2.0 APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES**

19 EFSC's facility retirement standard is set forth in OAR 345-022-0050. This standard requires 20 that, to issue a site certificate, the Council must find that:

The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, nonhazardous condition following permanent cessation of construction or operation of the facility.

24 2.1 Requirements of Exhibit W – OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)

- To demonstrate compliance with the facility retirement and site restoration standard, and in accordance with OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w), Exhibit W must include the following:
- 27 (A) The estimated useful life of the proposed facility.
- 28 (B) Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.
- (C) An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring the site to a
 useful, non-hazardous condition.
- (D) A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used to estimate site
 restoration costs.
- 33 (E) For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous materials, a 34 proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental site assessment and
- 35 reporting, or an explanation why a monitoring plan is unnecessary.

1 2.2 Project Order Requirements

The Project Order states that all paragraphs of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) apply. Additionally, the Project Order includes the following requirements:

| 4 | The Department's Facility Retirement Cost Estimating Guide (2005) was recently |
|----|---|
| 5 | updated with an example calculation for determining the decommissioning costs of a |
| 6 | transmission line (which has been provided to the applicant). Should the applicant elect |
| 7 | to apply a different cost estimate methodology it must discuss the method with the |
| 8 | Department prior to submitting its application. The Council's Retirement Standard |
| 9 | requires a reasonable engineering estimate of the cost to retire the facility. To that end, |
| 10 | the application should explain and justify the methodology used to estimate retirement |
| 11 | costs, including (but not limited to) pertinent information related to facility components. |
| 12 | The information regarding these factors can be placed in Exhibit B or in Exhibit W of the |
| 13 | application, but should be clear enough for ODOE staff to review it. At a minimum, |
| 14 | facility information should include quantities and detailed proposed unit costs for the |
| 15 | following: |
| 16 | • Types and sizes of transmission line support structures, including height, width, and |
| 17 | weight of steel in the structures; |
| 18 | Amount of concrete above three feet below grade included in transmission line |

- Amount of concrete above three feet below grade included in transmissi support structure foundations;
 - Spacing of transmission line support structures;
 - Number of conductors to be mounted on the transmission line support structures;
 - Length, width, and surfacing of new (or modifications to existing) access roads;
 - Scope, size, and types of related or supporting facilities;
- Estimated area of temporary disturbance during construction of the proposed facility;
 and
 - Estimated area of permanent disturbance during operation of the proposed facility.
- The Council's Retirement Standard also requires evidence that the site can be restored
 following facility retirement to a useful, non-hazardous condition consistent with site's
 zoning. For example, where the proposed transmission line is sited on Exclusive Farm
 Use land, the application must include evidence that the site can be restored to a
 condition suitable for the agricultural use prevalent in the surrounding vicinity.
- As documented in Table W-1 (Submittal Requirements Matrix), IPC has drafted Exhibit W to respond to each paragraph of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) described above, as well as the additional requirements set forth in the Project Order.

35 **3.0 ANALYSIS**

19

20

21

22

23

26

36 **3.1 Estimated Useful Life**

37 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(A)

- 38 The applicant shall include: the estimated useful life of the proposed facility.
- 39 IPC estimates that the Project and associated substations will remain in service indefinitely.
- 40 As, a general matter, IPC designs, constructs, and operates its transmission system on the
- assumption that the system's transmission lines will not be retired. Over time, and as necessary,
- 42 portions of its transmission lines will be rebuilt using new materials and hardware; however, the

- 1 lines themselves will remain in operation, in order to provide reliable and safe transmission
- 2 capacity in perpetuity.
- 3 Similarly, the substations on both ends of its transmission line are necessary to ensure the
- 4 continued operation of the line—and other lines as well. For this reason, these substations
 5 likewise are designed to have an indefinite useful life.
- 6 IPC's assumptions regarding the indefinite life of the Project are based on its own experience,
- 7 as well as informal research regarding other utilities. IPC itself has never retired any
- 8 transmission line. Moreover, it has found that such retirements are, in general, extremely rare-
- 9 occurring only when a line is rerouted. Given the limited number of transmission facilities, the
- 10 demand for transmission services, the high cost of building new transmission lines and the
- intrinsic value of transmission rights-of-way, it virtually never makes sense for a retirement to
 occur.
- 13 Notwithstanding the foregoing, to the extent the Council's rule requires an applicant to provide
- 14 an estimated useful life of the proposed facility, IPC estimates that useful life of the facility will
- 15 be in excess of 100 years.

16 **3.2 Site Restoration Activities**

17 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(B)

18 Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

In the unlikely event that IPC is required to retire the transmission line, it will do so in
accordance with an EFSC-approved retirement plan, as required by OAR 345-027-0020(9) and
OAR 345-027-0110. To restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition, IPC or its
contractors will take the following basic steps:

- Removal of all facilities. For the transmission line, these facilities include all support structures, conductors, overhead shield wires, and communication sites. For the substation, these facilities include an interconnecting bus system, switches, breakers, and instrumentation for the control and protection of the equipment.
- Removal of the foundations for each support structure to a depth of one (1) foot below
 grade, depending on ground slope. Any foundations in EFU ground would be removed to
 a depth three feet below grade.
- Restoration of all structure locations and access roads to a useful, non-hazardous
 condition consistent with site zoning, including Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zoning. See
 Exhibit K, Attachment K-1, Appendix B for Agricultural Impacts Mitigation Plan.

IPC will provide a final retirement plan to EFSC for approval prior to the start of retirement activities. IPC's plan will address all requirements necessary to protect the public heath, and the environment, in addition to other permitting requirements. The retirement plan will include a complete description of all actions necessary to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition suitable for uses comparable with the surrounding land uses, intended land use, and then-current technologies. The plan will also describe the funds that will be available for completion of retirement activities which may include a specified OPUC jurisdictional decommissioning toriff for the recovery of decommissioning costs from curtemers.

40 decommissioning tariff for the recovery of decommissioning costs from customers.

1 **3.3 Site Restoration Costs**

2 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(C)

- An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition.
- 5 IPC estimates that the total costs of restoring the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition is
- 6 \$139,956,176 in second quarter 2012 dollars. A copy of the analysis supporting this calculation
- 7 is attached as Attachment W-1.

8 **3.4 Estimating Methods**

9 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(D)

10 A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used to estimate site restoration costs.

To develop the site restoration cost estimate, IPC used ODOE's 2005 Facility Retirement Cost
 Estimating Guide for Transmission Lines. Projected site restoration costs are summarized in
 Attachment W-1, based on the following assumptions¹:

- Mobilization and demobilization costs will be 5% of the overall contractor's costs to remove wire, towers, foundations and complete project restoration.
- Unit costs were developed by determining a loaded crew rate per hour for the given activity. Loaded crew rates include wages and benefits, per diem, equipment rates, contractor overheads and profit. Hours or days per removal of a given unit were then established for the removal of wire, structures, and foundations. Skilled laborer wages were based on 2012 Oregon BOLI prevailing wage rates.
- Regarding access roads, the majority of IPC's access roads will be primitive (non-21 graveled) overland travel roads, and the restoration cost estimate assumes that, over the 22 life of the Project, the access roads will see a regrowth of vegetation adjacent to and 23 within the traveled way. As needed, vegetation management of these roads may include 24 mowing with a brush hog or similar piece of equipment to reduce fire danger. Existing 25 drainages may be altered and new drainages may develop depending on the location 26 and construction of the roads. IPC's analysis assumes that re-grading or reshaping of 27 the roads to match previous land contours would create much more impact than leaving 28 them as they have existed throughout the service life of the transmission line. For these 29 30 reasons, the restoration costs for the access roads includes reseeding of the roads. The estimated cost of \$2,000 per acre for restoration and reseeding on rolling terrain was 31 received from a national right-of-way clearing, road building, and restoration contractor. 32
- Graveled access roads will be built only where soil and/or moisture conditions warrant construction of a more robust road section, such as access roads to communication stations. The estimated decommissioning cost for graveled access roads is \$5,700 per acre.
- Roads would be restored pursuant to the EFSC-approved retirement plan so that they
 become a part of the natural surroundings and are no longer recognizable or usable as a
 road.
- Costs associated with contractor staff are included in Attachment W-1, under "Project
 Overhead." This analysis assumes there would be two supervisors and two clerical staff

¹ Where IPC used assumptions that differed from the assumptions contained in ODOE's Cost Estimating Guide for Transmission Lines workbook, IPC noted the assumptions in the "Methods/Assumptions" column.

working full time during the retirement/restoration project. The analysis also includes
 security guard services at three different material yards where scrap materials would be
 stored until hauled away. Job trailers and utilities are also included.

- Several other miscellaneous costs have been approximated, including permits,
 engineering, signage, fencing, traffic control, communication station removal, utility
 disconnects, etc. In the context of the overall estimate, these are incidental costs making
 up less than 2 percent of the total.
- The overhead and profit adders, 10 percent and 15 percent, respectively, have been included in loaded crew rates and are consistent with current transmission contractor overheads and profits.
- Lastly, the decommissioning estimate does not include the removal or restoration of the Hemingway Substation or the double-circuit 138/69-kV line segment, because each is part of the larger transmission grid still required for normal transmission operations even if the Project were to be removed from service.

3.5 Monitoring Plan for Hazardous Materials Unnecessary

16 OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w)(E)

For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous materials, a proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental site assessment and reporting, or an explanation why a

19 monitoring plan is unnecessary.

For facilities that "might produce site contamination by hazardous materials," the Council may require periodic monitoring or environmental site assessment in order to ensure that the contamination issues do not develop. For the reasons described in greater detail in in Exhibit G, the Project is not a facility likely to produce site contamination by hazardous materials. Accordingly, IPC requests that the Council find that, in the unlikely event of retirement of the

25 Project, IPC will be able to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition.

The Project is not likely to cause site contamination by hazardous materials because the 26 hazardous materials to be employed during Project construction and operation are limited to oils 27 in transformers at the substation, propane tanks at communication sites, and small quantities of 28 29 lubricants, vehicle fuels, and herbicides used during Project construction and maintenance. A Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasures Plan (SPCCP) will be developed by the 30 EPC contractor and submitted to ODOE prior to commencing construction of the Project. The 31 SPCCP is developed to prevent and address any leakage or spills of these materials that may 32 occur during construction and operations of the Project. Additionally IPC will fully comply with 33 34 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality requirements for storage of hazardous materials and cleanup and disposal of hazardous waste on all lands associated with the Project. Given 35 the limited quantities of hazardous materials that will be used for the Project, site contamination 36

is highly unlikely and therefore a monitoring plan is unnecessary.

38 4.0 CONCLUSION

39 IPC's Exhibit W fulfills the requirements of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w), and establishes that the

site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately to a useful, non-hazardous

condition following permanent cessation of construction or operations of the facility. IPC has

42 demonstrated that it complies with the retirement and site restoration approval standard that is

43 set forth in OAR 345-022-0050(1).

SUBMITTAL AND APPROVAL COMPLIANCE MATRIX 5.0 1

Table W-1 provides cross references between exhibit submittal requirements of OAR 345-021-0010 and where discussion can be found in this Exhibit. 2

3

Table W-1. Submittal Requirements Matrix 4

| Table w-1. Submittal Requirements Matrix | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Requirement | Location | | |
| OAR 345-021-0010(1)(w) | | | |
| (w) Exhibit W. Information about site restoration, providing evidence to | | | |
| support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0050(1). | | | |
| The applicant shall include: | | | |
| (A) The estimated useful life of the proposed facility. | Section 3.1 | | |
| (B) Specific actions and tasks to restore the site to a useful, non- | Section 3.2 | | |
| hazardous condition. | | | |
| (C) An estimate, in current dollars, of the total and unit costs of restoring | Section 3.3 | | |
| the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. | | | |
| (D) A discussion and justification of the methods and assumptions used | Section 3.4, | | |
| to estimate site restoration costs. | Attachment W-1 | | |
| (E) For facilities that might produce site contamination by hazardous | Section 3.5 | | |
| materials, a proposed monitoring plan, such as periodic environmental | | | |
| site assessment and reporting, or an explanation why a monitoring plan | | | |
| is unnecessary. | | | |
| OAR 345-022-0050 | | | |
| (1) The site, taking into account mitigation, can be restored adequately | Throughout Exhibit | | |
| to a useful, non-hazardous condition following permanent cessation of | W; see also Exhibits | | |
| construction or operation of the facility. | B and M. | | |
| Project Order | | | |
| The Department's Facility Retirement Cost Estimating Guide (2005) was | Exhibit B | | |
| recently updated with an example calculation for determining the | | | |
| decommissioning costs of a transmission line (which has been provided | | | |
| to the applicant). Should the applicant elect to apply a different cost | | | |
| estimate methodology it must discuss the method with the Department | | | |
| prior to submitting its application. The Council's Retirement Standard | | | |
| requires a reasonable engineering estimate of the cost to retire the | | | |
| facility. To that end, the application should explain and justify the | | | |
| methodology used to estimate retirement costs, including (but not limited | | | |
| to) pertinent information related to facility components. The information | | | |
| regarding these factors can be placed in Exhibit B or in Exhibit W of the | | | |
| application, but should be clear enough for ODOE staff to review it. At a | | | |
| minimum, facility information should include quantities and detailed | | | |
| proposed unit costs for the following: | | | |
| • Types and sizes of transmission line support structures, including | | | |
| height, width, and weight of steel in the structures; | | | |
| Amount of concrete above three feet below grade included in | | | |
| transmission line support structure foundations; | | | |
| Spacing of transmission line support structures; | | | |
| Number of conductors to be mounted on the transmission line | | | |
| support structures; Length, width, and surfacing of new (or | | | |
| modifications to existing) access roads; | | | |

1 **Table W-1.** Submittal Requirements Matrix (continued)

| Requirement | Location |
|--|---------------------------|
| Scope, size, and types of related or supporting facilities; | Exhibit B (cont.) |
| Estimated area of temporary disturbance during construction of the proposed facility; and | |
| Estimated area of permanent disturbance during operation of the proposed facility. | |
| The Council's Retirement Standard also requires evidence that the site can be restored – following facility retirement – to a useful, non- hazardous condition consistent with site's zoning. For example, where the proposed transmission line is sited on Exclusive Farm Use land, the application must include evidence that the site can be restored to a condition suitable for the agricultural use prevalent in the surrounding vicinity. | Section 3.2; Exhibit K |

2

6.0 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM REVIEWING AGENCIES AND 4 THE PUBLIC

5 There were no comments from reviewing agencies and the public regarding Exhibit W.

6 7.0 REFERENCES

7 None.

8

ATTACHMENT W-1 FACILITIES REMOVAL AND SITE RESTORATION COST ESTIMATE

| Tab 01 - Summary Estimating Template | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Task Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | Comments | Methods/Assumptions |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. GENERAL COSTS | | | | | | |
| A. PERMITS | | | | | | |
| 1. DEMOLITION | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | All permits included in line item cost below | |
| 2. STREET USE | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | All permits included in line item | |
| 3. UTILITIES | EA | 0 | * 0.00 | * ^ | cost below | |
| 3. UTILITIES | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | All permits included in line item cost below | |
| 4. EPA ASBESTOS NOTICE | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Not applicable | |
| 5. PERMITS (ALL) Task Subtotal | LS | 1 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000 \$25,000 | | Estimated cost to obtain necessary permits. |
| | | | | +==,=== | 1 | |
| 3. MOBILIZATION & DEMOBILIZATION 1. LABOR | LS | 1 | \$2,500,000.00 | \$2,500,000 | A construction project of this size | Estimator assumes ~2.5% of total construction cos |
| I. LADOK | LS | 1 | \$2,500,000.00 | \$2,500,000 | A construction project of this size is expected to have mobilization costs of approximately 5% of the overall Project Cost | before contingency, ODOE adders |
| 2. EQUIPMENT | LS | 1 | \$2,500,000.00 | \$2,500,000 | A construction project of this size is expected to have mobilization costs of approximately 5% of the overall Project Cost | Estimator assumes ~2.5% of total construction cos before contingency, ODOE adders |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$5,000,000 | | |
| | | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 0 | |
| C. ENGINEERING 1. ENGINEERING | HR | 1000 | \$120.00 | \$120,000 | Engineering subcontracted by Owner to develop SOW, removal | Estimator assumes 1,000 hours of engineering time at average rate of \$120/hr. |
| | | | | | specifications and support Owner during decommissioning. | |
| 2. LAYOUT / TESTING | LS | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | |
| 3. CUSTOM TOOLS & EQUIP Task Subtotal | LS | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 \$120,000 | N/A | |
| Task Sublolai | | | | φ120,000 | | |
| D. PROJECT OVERHEAD | | | | | 0 | |
| 1. SUPERVISION | HR | 7800 | \$110.00 | \$858,000 | Owner's on-site supervision and inspection during decommissioning. | Estimator assumes \$110/hr per supervisor for burdened wages, vehicle & materials. Assume 2 supervisors, 50 hrs/wk, 78 week duration. |
| 2. FOREMAN 3. GUARD SERVICE | HR WK | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Supervision included above | Estimates and a state for 70 mode |
| 3. GUARD SERVICE | WK | 234 | \$3,000.00 | \$702,000 | Third party guard service for equipment and materials at projec salvage yards. | Estimator assumes 3 guarded sites for 78 weeks. t Night and weekend service at \$3,000/wk. |
| 4. CLERICAL | HR | 6240 | \$30.00 | \$187,200 | Office staff assistant. One per Owner supervisor. | Estimator assumes \$30/hr for burdened wages and equipment. Assume 2 clerical support staff, 50 hr/wk, 78 week duration. |
| 5. JOBSITE OFFICE | MO | 57 | \$800.00 | \$45,600 | Jobsite office to house temporary demolition services personnel. | Estimator assumes rental cost of \$800/month. 3 trailers for 19 mo duration. |
| 6. TEMP. UTILITIES | WK | 234 | \$75.00 | \$17,550 | Jobsite temporary utilities during decommissioning. | Jobsite temporary utilities during decommissioning Estimator assumes cost of \$75/wk for 3 job trailers for 78 week duration. |
| 7. SPECIAL INSURANCE | LS | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Included in Contractor Overheads | |
| 8. SUBSISTENCE | WK | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Included in burdened labor costs | |
| Task Subtotal | W ix | v | φ0.00 | \$1,810,350 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ASBESTOS ABATEMENT | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | No hazardous materials expected | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. LEAD | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | No hazardous materials expected | |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. SIGNS | LS | 1 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000 | Nominal Amount for Signage | |
| 2. FENCES 3. PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY | LS LF | 3 | \$20,000.00 \$0.00 | \$60,000 | | Estimator assume \$20K in fencing per storage yar for 3 yards |
| 4. SCAFFOLDING | SF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 | N/A N/A | |
| 5. SHORING | SF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 \$25.000 | N/A Nominal Amount for Troffic Contro | 1 |
| 6. FLAGGING | LS | 1 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000 | Nominal Amount for Traffic Contro | 1 |
| 7. TOOLS AND CONSUMABLES | LS | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Included in burdened labor costs | |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$110,000 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. SITE CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | |
| A. UTILITY DISCONNECTS | | | | | | |
| 1. POWER | EA | 9 | \$2,500.00 | \$22,500 | Disconnect costs from local utility. | Estimator assumes \$2,500 disconnect cost from local distribution utility for 9 communication station |
| Took Subtotal | | | | ¢00 500 | | |

\$22,500

| | 1 Junit | 0 | | and the second second | Commente | Mathada/Accumuticum |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Task Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total | Comments | Methods/Assumptions |
| 1. FENCE/GATE REMOVAL | EA | 9 | \$5,000.00 | \$45,000 | Removal of existing facility fencing and gates. | Estimator assumes removal of fencing around 9 communication stations. Approximately \$5,000 pr |
| 2. SAW CUTTING, ETC. | LF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | site. |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$45,000 | | |
| SITE GRADING | | | | | | |
| 0. ACCESS ROAD RESTORATION - OVERLAND TRAVEL ROADS AND TOWER PADS. | AC | 536 | \$2,000.00 | | Restoration includes scarifying road bed, minimal re-grading, re- seeding. | Estimator assumes 202 miles of overland travel roads restored @ 15' width; 33 miles of bladed roads on <10% sideslopes restored @ 23' width; 27.6 miles of bladed roads on >30% slopes resto at @3' width; and 1410 acres of tower pad restoration (same work as on overland travel road) |
| 0A. ACCESS ROAD RESTORATION - BUILT UP ALL-WEATHER ROADS. | AC | 198 | \$5,700.00 | | Restoration includes full restoration of built-up all-weather road. Removal of gravel, re- grading as necessary for restoration of natural contours, re- seeding. | Estimator assumes all communication station roa are included, 62 miles of new bladed roads on 10 30% side slopes. |
| 1. ROADWAY REMOVAL (GRAVEL) | AC | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Included in 0A. | |
| 2. SITE PREPARATION (TOPSOIL) | AC | 365 | \$8,650.00 | | Topsoil preservation and prep for tower pads | Estimator assumes 2 man crew can complete topsoil prep at one site per day (~0.3 acres). Cre rate is \$260/hour. |
| 3. SEEDING | AC | 0 | \$0.00 | | Included in 0 and 0A. | |
| 4. MASS EXCAVATION ONSITE 4A. MASS EXCAVATION OFFSITE | CY CY | 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | | N/A N/A | |
| 5. MASS BACKFILL ONSITE 5A. MASS BACKFILL IMPORT | CY CY | 0 2281200 | \$0.00 \$6.00 | \$0 \$13,687,200 | N/A Backfill required to restore tower benched ares to natural contours. | Estimator assumes 1,000 CY for tower benches |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$19,045,050 | | |
| | | | | \$19,045,050 | | |
| UNDERGROUND UTILITY REMOVAL 1. ELECTRICAL DUCT BANK | EA | 9 | \$2,200.00 | \$19,800 | Remove and backfill underground ducts at communicaton sites. | Estimator assumes 50' of ug duct at 9 comm stations. 4 Man Crew will complete in 5 hours pe site. Burdened labor cost of \$110/hour incl equipment. |
| 2. MH/CB/VAULT REMOVAL | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | equipment. |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$19,800 | | |
| CONCRETE WRECKING | | | | | | [Imported from Tab 3] |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE | | | | | | |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE | EA | 9 | \$5,000.00 | | Each communication station will have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 slaper day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. |
| 2. MINOR FOOTINGS | CY | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl. per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE | | | | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE | CY CY | 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal | CY CY | 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 si per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN | CY CY CY CY | 0 0 7530 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS | CY CY CY CY LF | 0 0 7530 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN | CY CY CY CY | 0 0 7530 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 si per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE | CY CY CY CY LF CY | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal | CY CY CY LF CY EA | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin | CY CY CY LF CY EA | 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 si per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal | CY CY CY LF CY EA | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC | CY CY CY LF CY EA | 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING | CY CY CY LF CY EA Bg assum | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC Task Subtotal | CY CY CY LF CY EA B B B SF | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$25,000.00 \$25,000.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC Task Subtotal | CY CY CY LF CY EA B B B SF | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$25,000.00 \$25,000.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day) Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from buidling in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including equipment. [Imported from Tab 5] Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 tow 5 days. Loaded crew rate is \$830/hour including |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC Task Subtotal STEEL WRECKING (All steel wrecking assunce the trace of t | CY CY CY LF CY EA g assun EA SF | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00\$0 \$0 \$0.000\$00 \$0.000\$000\$ | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day). Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including equipment. Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 tow 5 days. Loaded crew rate is \$830/hour including equipment. Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 alternate structure in 2 days. Loaded crew rate is |
| REINFORCED CONCRETE 1. SLAB ON GRADE 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC Task Subtotal STEEL WRECKING (All steel wrecking assuter data on tab "05 Steel Wrecking." 1. 500-kV LATTICE TOWERS 2. 500-kV ALTERNATE STRUCTURES | CY CY CY LF EA g assun EA SF EA EA EA | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$25,000.00 \$25,000.00 \$25,000.00 \$41,500.00 \$16,600.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sla per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day). Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three day Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including equipment. [Imported from Tab 5] Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 tow 5 days. Loaded crew rate is \$830/hour including equipment. |
| 2. MINOR FOOTINGS 3. MASS FOUNDATIONS 4. TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS Task Subtotal NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE/OTHER 1. DEAD MEN 2. SECURITY RAILS 3. CONCRETE RECYCLE 4. PILING Task Subtotal BUILDING WRECKING (All building wreckin 1. COMMUNICATION STATION CONTROL BUILDING 2. ELECTRICAL/MCC Task Subtotal STEEL WRECKING (All steel wrecking assumer data on tab "05 Steel Wrecking." 1. 500-kV LATTICE TOWERS | CY CY CY LF CY EA g assun EA SF EA | 0 0 7530 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$339.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 \$2,552,670 \$2,597,670 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | have one slab for removal. Includes removal, haul and disposal. N/A N/A Foundation removal to 1' below grade, includes haul and disposal. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | Estimator assumes 4 man crew can remove 1 sl per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour includes equipment. Estimator assumes 5 man crew can remove foundations at 3 structures per day (~18 cy/day). Loaded crew rate is \$610/hour. Estimator assumes 4-man crew will remove salvageable equipment from building in three da Building wrecking, hauling and disposal will take days. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour including equipment. Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 tow 5 days. Loaded crew rate is \$830/hour including equipment. Estimator assumes 7 man crew to remove 1 alternate structure in 2 days. Loaded crew rate is |

| | | | b 01 - Summ | - | | |
|--|--------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Task Description | Unit | Quantity | Unit | Total | Comments | Methods/Assumptions |
| TIMBER WRECKING (All timber wrecking as | ssumes | material is knoo | Cost ked down and p | ut into stockp | ile for sorting). | [Imported from Tab 6] |
| ter data on tab "06 Timber Wrecking." | | | • | • | 0, | |
| 1. 230-kV TIMBER TOWER | MBF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | |
| 2. 115-kV TIMBER TOWER | MBF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ELECTRICAL WRECKING | | | | | | [Imported from Tab 16] |
| ter data on tab "16 Electrical Wrecking." 1. TRANSFORMERS | | | ¢0.00 | ¢o | N1/A | |
| 2. MOTOR CONTROL CENTER | EA EA | 0 | \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0 \$0 | N/A N/A | |
| 3. WIRING | LF | 0 | \$0.00 | | N/A N/A | |
| 4. SWITCH YARD | SF | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | |
| 5. SWITCH YARD TOWERS | EA | 0 | \$0.00 | | N/A | |
| 6. GROUNDING | LF | 120,460 | \$0.00 | | Included in tower removal costs | |
| 7. TRANSMISSION CONDUCTOR - 500 kV | MI | 278 | \$78,000.00 | | Removal, loading and hauling of | Estimator assumes 13 man crew to remove one r |
| | | | , | | triple bundle 1272 ACSR Conductor, Dampers, OHGW and OPGW. Includes guard structures. Unit is circuit-mile. | in 6 days. Loaded crew rate is \$1300/hour. |
| 8. INSULATOR STRINGS | EA | 10,890 | \$0.00 | \$0 | Included in tower removal costs | |
| 9. TRANSMISSION LINE WIRING EQUIPME | | 0 | \$0.00 | | N/A | |
| Task Subtotal | - 1911 | 0 | ψ0.00 | \$21,684,000 | IWA | |
| | | | | | | |
| LOAD & HAUL | | | | | | |
| 1. LOAD & HAUL - STRUCTURE STEEL | LD | 1,216 | \$5,000.00 | \$6,080,000 | Loading and hauling of tower steel to laydown/salvage yard. | Estimator assumes 4 man crew to load/haul one structure per day. Loaded crew rate is \$500/hour |
| 2. DISPOSAL - DEBRIS | LD | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | Assume steel will be salvaged w/o disposal fee. |
| 3. LOAD & HAUL CONC. | LD | 0 | \$0.00 | | Included in Concrete Wrecking | |
| 4. DISPOSAL - CONCRETE | LD | 0 | \$0.00 | | Included in Concrete Wrecking | |
| 5. SCRAP STEEL | LD | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | N/A | |
| Task Subtotal | | | | \$6,080,000 | | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | \$106,177,670 | | Sum of all task subtotals. |
| | | | | • | | |
| OVERHEAD @ COSTS + OVERHEAD | 0.0% | | | \$0 106,177,670\$ | | Contractor overhead built into loaded labor costs |
| PROFIT @ COSTS + OVERHEAD + PROFIT | 0.0% | | | \$0 \$106,177,670 | | Contractor profit built into loaded labor costs |
| INSURANCE @ | 0.0% | | | \$0 | | Contractor insurance built into overhead costs |
| COSTS + OVERHEAD + PROFIT + INSURA | NCE | | | \$106,177,670 | | |
| . SCRAP CREDIT (Currently not allowed by | EFSC.) | | | \$24,549 | | [Imported from Tab 18] |
| SUBTOTAL (if scrap credit given) | | | | \$106,153,121 | | |
| SUBTOTAL (including specialty contracts) | | | | \$415,000 \$106,592,670 | | [Imported from Tab 19] |
| GROSS COST | | | | \$106,592,670 | | |
| ADDERS | | | | · · · · | | |
| PERFORMANCE BOND | | | | | | |
| @ | 1% | | | \$1,065,927 | | |
| GROSS COST (ADJUSTED) | | | | \$107,658,597 | | |
| ADMINISTRATION AND PROJECT MANAG | EMENI | | | \$10,765,860 | | |
| FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS CONTINGENC | | | | \$21,531,719 | | |
| | | NCENCY | | ΨΖΙ, JOI, / 19 | | |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT | LS | NGENCY | | \$0 | | Per Cost Estimating Guide, this line item intended for gas-fired energy facilities and LNG facilities. Potentially hazardous materials present on transmission line facilities are much lower. Assun contingency would be used to pay for any remediation during restoration. |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL SITE RESTORATION COST (not ad | iusted) | | | \$139,956,176 | | |

COST ESTIMATE FOR FACILITY SITE RESTORATION

(2nd Quarter 2012 Dollars)

Adjustment Factor: GDP Index 2nd Quarter 2012:

GDP Index 2nd Quarter 2012 GDP Index Current Quarter:



1

http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/OEA/economic.shtml

| General Costs | * • -- ••• |
|--|--------------------------|
| A. PERMITS | \$25,000 |
| B. MOBILIZATION | \$5,000,000 |
| C. ENGINEERING | \$120,000 |
| D. PROJECT OVERHEAD | \$1,810,350 |
| E. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS INSPECTIONS | \$0 |
| F. PROTECTION | \$110,000 |
| General Costs Subtotal | \$7,065,350 |
| Site Construction | |
| A. UTILITY DISCONNECTS | \$22,500 |
| B. PRELIMINARY WORK | \$45,000 |
| C. SITE GRADING | \$19,045,050 |
| D. UNDERGROUND UTILITY REMOVAL | \$19,800 |
| Site Construction Subtotal | \$19,132,350 |
| Concrete Wrecking | |
| A. REINFORCED CONCRETE | \$2,597,670 |
| B. NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE | \$0 |
| Concrete Wrecking Subtotal | \$2,597,670 |
| Building Wrecking | \$225,000 |
| Steel Wrecking | \$49,393,300 |
| Timber Wrecking | \$0 |
| Electrical Wrecking | \$21,684,000 |
| Load & Haul | \$6,080,000 |
| Costs Subtotal | \$106,177,670 |
| Overhead @ 0% | \$0 |
| Profit @ 0% | \$0 |
| Insurance @ 0% | \$0 |
| Specialty Contracts (subcontracted work) | \$415,000 |
| Subtotal | \$106,592,670 |
| Subtotal Adjusted to Current Dollars | \$106,592,670 |
| Performance Bond @ 1% | \$1,065,927 |
| Gross Cost (Adjusted) | \$107,658,597 |
| Administration and Project Management @ 10% | \$10,765,860 |
| Future Developments Contingency @ 20% | \$21,531,719 |
| Hazardous Materials Management Contingency | \$0 |
| Total Site Restoration Cost (current dollars) | \$139,956,176 |
| Total Site Restoration Cost (rounded to nearest \$1,000) | \$139,956,000 |

Tab 03 - Concrete Wrecking

A. Reinforced Concrete

| 1 | Slab on Grade (CY) | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | Communication Station Pads (8 ea) | 61 |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 61 |

| 0 | Minor Easting (O) | |
|---|---------------------|----------|
| 2 | Minor Footings (CY) | |
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 3 | Mass Footings (CY) | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | See below | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 4 | Transmission Foundations (CY) | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | Remove 500 kV Tower Fdns | 7206 |
| 2 | Remove 500 kV Alternate Fdns | 324 |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 7530 |

Tab 03 - Concrete Wrecking

B. Non-Reinforced Concrete

| 1 | Dead Men (CY) | |
|---|---------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 2 | Security Rails (LF) | |
|---|---------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 3 | Concrete Recycle (CY) | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 4 | Piling (EA) | |
|---|-------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

Tab 05 - Steel Wrecking

| 1 | 1 500-kV Towers (EA) | | |
|----|-----------------------------|----------|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | |
| 1 | 500 kV Steel Lattice Towers | 1173 | |
| 2 | 500 kV Alternate Structures | 43 | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| | Total | 1216 | |

| 2 138/69-kV Monopole Structures (EA) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 3 115-kV Towers (TN) | | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 4 Sort/Clean (EA) | | |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 5 | 5 Labor (EA) | | |
|---|--------------|----------|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | |
| 1 | N/A | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| | Total | 0 | |

| 6 | Equipment (EA) | |
|---|----------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

Tab 06 - Timber Wrecking

| 1 230-kV Towers (MBF) | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 2 | 115-kV Towers (MBF) | |
|---|---------------------|------------|
| | Work Ite | m Quantity |
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

Tab 16 - Electrical Wrecking

| 1 Transformers (EA) | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 2 | Motor Control Center (EA) | |
|---|---------------------------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 3 Wiring (LF) | | |
|---------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

| 4 Switch Yard (SF) | | |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Work Item | Quantity |
| 1 | N/A | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| | Total | 0 |

Tab 16 - Electrical Wrecking

| 5 | 5 Switch Yard \Towers (EA) | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | | | |
| 1 | N/A | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| | Total | 0 | | | |

| 6 Grounding (LF) | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | | |
| 1 | Copper ground wire (incl. all str's) | 120,460 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| | Total | 120460 | | |

| 7 Transmission Line Wiring (MI) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | | |
| 1 | 1272 ACSR Conductor (500 kV wire) | 278 | | |
| 2 | Steel Overhead Ground Wire | 278 | | |
| 3 | 48-strand Optical Ground Wire | 278 | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| | Total | 834 | | |

| 8 Breaker/Insulator/Misc (EA) | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | | |
| 1 | Transmission Insulator Strings (all volt | 10890 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| | Total | 10890 | | |

| 9 Transmission Line Wiring Equipment (MI) | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | Work Item | Quantity | | |
| 1 | N/A | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| | Total | 0 | | |

| Scrap Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Rate | Value |
|-------------------|----------|------|-----------|-------|
| Scrap Iron | 0.0 | TN | | 0 |
| Pile | 0.0 | EA | | 0 |
| Stainless Steel | 0.0 | TN | | 0 |
| Copper | 7,350.0 | LB | \$3.34 | 24549 |
| | | | | 0 |
| | | | | 0 |
| | | | | 0 |
| | | | | 0 |
| Equip Scrap Value | | | | 0 |
| Total | | | | 24549 |

Tab 18 - Scrap

| Subcontractor | Quantity | Unit | Unit Rate | Value |
|---------------------|----------|------|-----------|--------|
| Lot Rentals | 1 | LS | 60,000 | 60000 |
| Port-a-John Rentals | 1 | LS | 25,000 | 25000 |
| Dumpster Rentals | 1 | LS | 30,000 | 30000 |
| LIDAR Survey | 300 | MI | 1,000 | 300000 |
| | | LS | | 0 |
| Total | | | | 415000 |

Tab 19 - Separate Specialty Contracts