

378

Petitioned for by

Rudolph Hig. et al.

Commencing on the $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec
line about 10 rods S of center of
Sec 32 where the Co road leaves
said line and extending thence
North on said line about one
fourth of a mile to where said
County road returns to said
half section line and there

Immature
THIRTY-FIVE

Petition filed April 3, 1891
Verevere appoints Jnl E page 377
Ordered Open " E 442
Platte

To the Hon ~~County~~ Court of the State of
Oregon for Union County
In the Matter of proposed
Change in the County road
petitioned for by Rudolf
King, George McDonald & James Riggs
King, in their own right

Comes now Rudolf King, George McDonald and James Riggs and represent to the Court that the said Rudolf King is the owner of the SE⁴ of the NW^{1/4} of Sec 32 and the NE⁴ of the SW^{1/4} of Sec 32 in township one North of Range 39 E. 10. M. That the said Geo McDonald is the owner of the NE⁴ of said Sec 32 and that the said Jas Riggs is the owner of the SE⁴ of said Sec 32. That the present County road runs through said lands of Rudolf King greatly to his detriment, and that petitioners desire to change said road on their own lands at their own expense as follows, Commencing on the ~~greater~~ ^{half} section line about ten rods South of the center of Section 32 where the said County road leaves said line, and extending thence North on said line about one fourth of a mile where said County road returns to said ~~greater~~ ^{half} section line and there terminate. And petitioners allege that said road would be materially shortened by said change, would be as good ground for a road, would be then entirely on the said ~~greater~~ ^{half} section line would not be detrimental to anybody who travels said road. And petitioners ask that they be permitted to make this change in the road at their own expense, the same being

1 entirely on their own lands, and all in
2 Union County Oregon, and to vacate all that part of the
3 present Cr Road between said farms.

Rudolf Houg.

James H. Briggs

GEO W C Howard

File April 3rd 1891

James Oliver

Clerk

By J.R. Oliver
Deputy

BOND OF ROAD PETITIONERS.

Know All Men by These Presents, That I, Rudolf Hug _____
 and _____, of the County of Union and State of Oregon, are held and firmly
 bound unto said County of Union in the sum of Fifty Dollars, the payment of
 which, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators firmly by these presents.

Signed this 30th day of March A. D. 1891.

Now, the condition of the above obligation is such, That, whereas the above named
 and Geo McDonald and Jas Hugg, and others, have
 petitioned the County Court of said County of Union, at the April Term, 1891, thereof for a
 County Road, commencing at a point about ten rods south of the center
 of Section 52 Twp R 39 E. N. M. Thence North about
 one quarter of a mile on said line and to locate
 all that part of the Road as at present travelled
 between said point of beginning and point of
 ending of this proposed road.

Now, if the above named Rudolf Hug and others
 shall well and truly pay, or cause to be paid, all costs and expenses that
 may be incurred by reason of the view or review of said Road, in case the prayer of said petitioners be not granted or allowed,
 then that this obligation shall be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Rudolf Hug. (L.S.)

(L.S.)

STATE OF OREGON. {
 County of Union. }

I, Rudolf Hug, and
 being duly sworn, each for himself, says, that I am worth the sum of One
 Hundred Dollars over and above all debts and liabilities and property exempt from execution; that I am a resident and householder
 in said County and State:

Rudolf Hug.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of March A. D. 1891.

Turner Oliver

County Clerk.

Jacob Hug
 Frank Cadney
 Jas Bright

In the County Court of the State
of Oregon, Clinton County.

IN THE MATTER OF THE

*Proposed
Road of
Ridgeway*

BOND OF ROAD PETITIONERS.

Approved..... 189.....

County Judge.

Filed March 30th 1891

James Oliver

County Clerk.

By.....

Deputy.


REPORT OF VIEWERS.

TO THE HONORABLE COUNTY COURT FOR UNION COUNTY, OREGON:

The undersigned viewers heretofore on the 8 day of April, 1891, appointed to view and lay out and alter the proposed county road, of which the survey hereto attached is a true and correct description, as petitioned for by Rudolf Fing, et al, beg leave to report that on the 27th day of April, 1891, in pursuance with said appointment and order, after being duly sworn to faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of said appointment, we proceeded to view out said proposed road for the whole distance thereof, and that in our opinion said proposed road should be laid out and established as a public highway of Union County, Oregon; that the same is practicable and would add to the convenience of the householders residing in the vicinity thereof. We therefore recommend that said proposed road be laid out and declared a public highway of said county.

James H. Bright
Jacob Fing,
Frank Farney
VIEWERS.

T. 7. N. Range 39. East



True Meridian
Base 20° East
Scale 3 miles to the mile.

True Notes of the Survey of a change
in County Road from Rudolf Hwy Date Mar 27th 1891
Commencing about 10 Rods south of
the centre of Sec 32 T. 1 North Range 39 East and
running thence north

19.25 Chms to the terminus of the proposed change of road
where it intersects the present trans. Road

J L Brink

378

Med May 2nd 1971
Janice Oliver
Derrick

ETT
Date M

First Judicial Day

Wednesday April 8th 1891

duly signed by the petitioners, and that a bond for the cost of this proceeding has been duly given. It is therefore ordered that Robert Gibson, C. J. Wise and Charles Howell be appointed viewers of said road and that J. L. Curtis be appointed Surveyor of said road, and that they meet at New Bridge on the 18th day of April 1891 at the hour of 10 o'clock a.m. and after qualifying, to view, survey and lay out said road according to law.

In the Matter of Proposed
Change in the County Road per
petitioned for by Randolph Heng
George McDonald & Jas Riggs
in their own right

Come now Randolph Heng, George McDonald and James Rigg and present to the Court that the said Randolph Heng is the owner of the SE⁴ of NW^{1/4} Sec 32 NE^{1/4} SW^{1/4} Sec 32 T 1 N R 39 E W M. That the said George McDonald is the owner of the NE^{1/4} Sec 32 T 1 N R 39 E & that Jas Rigg is the owner of the SE^{1/4} Sec 32 T 1 N R 39 E W M. That the present County road runs through said lands of Randolph Heng greatly to his detriment and that petitioners desire to change said road on their own lands at their own expense as follows: commencing on the half section line about ten rods south of the center of Section 32 whence the said County road leaves said line and extending thence North on said line about one fourth of a mile to where said County road returne to said half section line and there terminate. And petitioners allege that said road would be materially shortened by said change, would be on just as good ground for a road, would be then entirely on the said half section line, would not be detrimental to any body who travels said road. And petitioners ask that they be permitted to make the change in the Co road at their own expense the same being entirely on their own lands and all in Union County Oregon and to vacate all that part of the present Co Road between said points, and it appearing to the Court that a good and sufficient Bond for all costs and expenses that may be incurred by reason of the view or review of said Road has been filed herein. It is therefore

First Judicial Day.

Wednesday April 8th 1891

ordered that Jacob Heng, Frank Earney and Jas Bright be appointed Viewers of said road, and that J. L. Curtis be appointed Surveyor of said road, and that they meet at the Home of Rudolph Heng on the 27th day of April 1891 at the hour of 10 o'clock A.M. and after qualifying, to view, survey and lay out said road according to law

In the Matter of the Proposed
Road petitioned for by J. W. Kimball et al

This Matter came on to be heard at this time on the Motion of J. H. Crawford Esq Atty for Remonstrators to set aside the order of the Court heretofore made overruling the remonstrance filed herein and after argument of Counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises it is considered and ordered that said former order be set aside and that said remonstrance be reinstated, that the same may be again heard at this term.

In the Matter of the Road
petitioned for by J. E. Howell et al

This Matter came on to be heard upon the report of Viewers filed herein and it appearing from said report that the Viewers reported unfavorable herein, and no motion having been filed showing why said report should not be allowed, it is therefore ordered that said report be approved in all respects and said petition be dismissed

In the Matter of the Road
petitioned for by J. W. Kimball et al

This Matter came on to be heard at this time on the report of Viewers for the first reading and said report having been read in open Court as required by law it is ordered that said report be held for a second reading on some other day of this term of Court

First Judicial Day

Wednesday May 6th 1891

that license issue to said Dill and Brown authorizing them to sell spirituous, malt and vinous liquors in the Precinct of Cornucopia for a period of six months from May 14th 1891.

In the Matter of the
Petition of Cunningham and
Gallagher for Liquor license

This Matter came on to be heard upon the petition of Cunningham and Gallagher presented to the Court at this time for a license to sell spirituous malt and vinous liquors in less quantities than one gallon in Cornucopia Precinct for the period of three months, and it appearing to the Court that said petition is signed by an actual majority of all the legal voters in said Precinct, that due notice of the pendency of this proceeding has been given by publication of said petition as required by law, proof of which has been filed herein, that said petitioners have paid into the County Treasury the sum of One Hundred Dollars being the price required by law therefor and have produced and had filed with the Clerk their receipt for the same and have filed a bond as required by law to the approval of this Court and no remonstrance having been filed herein or cause shown why said petition should not be granted It is therefore considered and ordered that said petition be granted and that license issue to said Cunningham and Gallagher authorizing them to sell spirituous malt and vinous liquors in the Precinct of Cornucopia for a period of three months from this date.

In the Matter of the proposed
County Road petitioned for by Rudolph
Hug et al

This Matter came on to be heard

First Judicial Day

Wednesday May 6th 1891

at this time on the Viewers report for the first reading and said report having been read in open Court as required by law It is ordered that said report be held for a second reading on some other day of this term of Court

In the Matter of the proposed County

Road petitioned for by Joseph Hartley et al

This Matter came on to be heard at this time on the Report of Viewers for the first reading and said report having been read in open Court as required by law It is ordered that said report be held for a second reading on some other day of this term of Court

In the Matter of the proposed change

in County Road petitioned for by Lou McHaffey

This Matter came on to be heard at this time on the Report of Viewers for the first reading and said report having been read in open Court as required by law It is ordered that said report be held for a second reading on some other day of this term of Court

In the Matter of the Road peti-

tioned for by Joe Huffman et al

This Matter came on to be heard at this time on the Report of Viewers for the first reading and said Report having been read in open Court as required by law It is ordered that said Report be held for a second reading on some other day of this term of Court

In the Matter of the proposed

County Road petitioned for by C.B. Laps et al

Come now C.B. Laps one of the petitioners for the above named proposed County road and present to the Court

Second Judicial Day

Thursday May 7th 1891

In the Matter of the proposed County
Road petitioned for by Rudolph Heng
et al in their own right

This Matter came on to be heard
at this time on the reports of the Viewers and Surveyor heretofore
appointed, herein for a second reading as provided by law and
the Report of Viewers being in words following, to wit:

Report of Viewers

To the Honorable County Court for Union County Oregon:
The undersigned viewers heretofore on the 8th day of April
1891 appointed to view and lay out and alter the proposed County
road of which the survey hereto attached is a true and correct de-
scription, as petitioned for by Rudolph Heng et al beg leave to
report that on the 27th day of April 1891 in pursuance with
said appointment and order, after being duly sworn to faithfully
and impartially discharge the duties of said appointment we
proceeded to view out said proposed road for the whole distance
thereof, and that in our opinion said proposed road should
be laid out and established as a public highway of Union
County Oregon. That the same is practicable and would add to the
convenience of the householders residing in the vicinity thereof, we therefore
recommend that said proposed road be laid out and declared a public highway
of said county.

James W Bright

Jacob Heng

Frank Earney

And said report of the viewers and the report of the surveyors having
been now publicly read in open court, on two several days of the present term
of the court, and it appearing to the court that said viewers report favor-
ably thereon, and recommend that said proposed road be established as a
County road and be declared a public highway of Union County, Oregon,
and all the proceedings herein having been regular and according to law
and no remonstrance or claim for damages having been filed herein

Second Judicial Day

Thursday May 7th 1891.

and this being a proceeding to change the Co. road on petitioners land and at the expense of petitioners and there having been no cause shown why this proposed road should not be declared a public highway and ordered open according to law and the court being satisfied from the report of the viewers that such road will be of public utility It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that said reports of the viewers and surveyor be approved and adopted by the court and that said proposed road be declared a public highway of Union Co., Oregon when petitioners shall have placed the same in as good repair for travel as the old road sought to be vacated It is further ordered that the report of the viewers be placed on record in this journal and that the surveyor's plat of the proposed road and his field notes of the survey thereof, be placed on record in the book of Plats of County roads of Union County, Oregon, and that Jacob Heng Road Supervisor of road District No 14 the same being the road district in which said road is located, be furnished with a copy of the petition herein, and a copy of this decree and ordered to allow the petitioners to open up said road and place the same in repair for travel And when this has been done by petitioners at their own expense, to then allow the old road to be closed

In the Matter of the proposed County
Road petitioned for by Joseph Hartley et al.

This matter came to be heard at this time on the reports of the Viewers and Surveyor heretofore appointed, herein for a second reading as provided by law, and the Report of Viewers being in words following, to wit:

Report of Viewers

To the Honorable County Court for Union County, Oregon:

The undersigned viewers heretofore on the 8th day of April 1891 appointed to view and lay out and alter the proposed County road, of which the survey hereto attached is a true and correct description as petitioned for by Joseph Hartley et al., beg leave to report that on the