

No 5

in

Grant

Court

State of Oregon

Plaintiff

vs

Isaac Hageman

Defendant

Action for Larceny in a Dwelling

Docket 1

Page 368

J. L. Rand

Attorney for Plaintiff

C. H. Turner

Attorney for Defendant

RECEIVED
JAN 10 1888
CLERK'S OFFICE
COURT HOUSE
PORTLAND, OREGON

Record of the Case.

No 340

WITNESSES.

Road
petitioned for by
A R Stalker et al
From 1/4 Sec Cor between
Sec 10, 15 28 & R 46 E 11 N
Dake County road pet for
by J A Denney et al

No 6/89. De. un. ed.
Incl (5708-

DIRECTIONS—Place papers on **TOP OF RUBBER**
Fold ends first then sides. Throw the rubber cords
over the ends and package is complete

To the Honorable Board and County Commissioners
of Union County, Oregon
Gentlemen, - Your petitioners, resident householders
of Pine Valley Precinct, Union County, Oregon respectfully
represent, that a petition will be presented to your Hon-
orable body on Wednesday Nov. 6, 1889, by A. W. Stalker & others

praying the honorable Court to establish a County
road or roads described as follows to wit: -
(50 feet wide) beginning about 300 feet south of
quarter section corner between sections 10th & 15th in Township
8 S. R. 46 E. 4th M. thence running west as near as
practicable, on section line one and one half miles
thence north 25 feet thence west (25 feet wide) 225
feet thence south 25 feet, thence west about 1215 feet
terminating about 1200 feet east of the quarter section
corner between sections 8 and 17 Township 8 South of
Range 46 E. 4th M. Also a county road (50 feet wide)
beginning about 270 feet south of the N. E. corner of
the N. 1/4 of the N. E. 1/4 Section 17 in Township 8 S. R.
46 E. 4th M. thence running south to a point about 165 feet
north of the centre of the S. E. 1/4 of Sec 17 thence in a
southwesterly direction through the south half of the
S. E. 1/4 of Sec 17 and on the most practicable route
through Sec 20 and terminating on the County road of
L. A. Penney and others at or near the two mile post in
Sec 29 in Township 8 S. R. 46 E. 4th M.

We Your petitioners humbly remonstrate against
the establishment of said roads, deeming it impracticable
to establish any County road of 25 feet in width as
petitioned for in the first mentioned road and the great
damage that would be sustained by the two settlers
in Sec 20 through whose land the proposed road
must be run, would be sustained. We therefore ask
Your honorable body to deny the measure
for which we will ever pray.

Names

Edward Moxley
James Laird
William Kirk
Charles Oliver
Benny Parker
R. W. Wapinsore
Ruth W. Wapinsore
Ed. Mills

Names

Henry K. Oliver
J. H. Taylor
E. W. Hunt
H. C. Sedgwick
C. W. Haynes
John Buchanan
John Cowley
L. Laird

J. George Oliver
J. R. Smith
J. M. Muller
A. Buchanan
Jos. Bragg
A. Pearson
Em. McNeill
L. D. White
H. W. Colburn
J. B. Chad
Calhoun Mosby
Robert McFarland
J. D. Demery
Henry J. Baker
William Smith

R. M. Pindell
Willard May
J. R. Smith
Joseph Jubin
Trit Koopman
E. L. Lee
John W. McFadden
W. H. Spruell
James Mc Nutt
E. Estes
J. H. Holston
W. F. Buchanan
Thomas Purser
Wm. Spence

Filed Nov 6th 1889
At New York
Superior Oliver & Co

Petition for County Road

TO THE HONORABLE COUNTY COURT FOR Union COUNTY OREGON

The undersigned Taxpayers of Union County Oregon residing in the vicinity where the

hereinafter described roads proposed to be respectfully petition your Honorable body to lay out alter and establish County roads within said County on the following line or route to wit

50 ft wide beginning about 300 ft south of the $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec corner between Sec 10 & 15 in Township 8 S, R 46 E N M thence running west as near as practicable on Sec line one and one half miles thence north 25 ft thence west (25 ft wide) 225 ft thence south 25 ft thence west about 1215 ft and terminating about 1200 ft East of the $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec corner between Sec 8 & 17 Township 8 S, R 46 E N M

also a County Road 30 ft wide beginning about 270 feet south of the N E corner of the N W $\frac{1}{4}$ of the N E $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 17 in Township 8 S R 46 E N M thence running South to a point about 165 ft north of the centre of the S E $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 17 thence in a Southwesterly direction through the South half of S E $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 17 and on the most practicable route through Sec 20 and terminating on the County Road of J A Denney & others at or near the two mile east on Sec 29 in T 8 S R 46 E W in all the above points and places being in Union County State of Oregon, and in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray

Names

Names

A R Stacker
 T N Proffitt
 J H Lewis
 J A Denney
 E Leep
 J Hewitt
 Florin Garter

George Rexroth
 M A Settle
 B N Parvack
 D J Lurry
 A P Stevens
 Louis Japh
 B A Leep
 H J Sandman
 R A Lloyd
 B R Smith
 Benny Parker
 John Morrison
 E Leep
 Henry Clark
 J W Leep
 E Mills
 L W Haynes
 John W. Messer
 A J Leep
 Isaac Curry
 A Chapman

Feb 26th 1889
A. M. Well Clerk
Bay View - Ocean City

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Nov 6th 1889

In the matter of Reports
of Road Supervisors

It appearing to the Court that some of the tools belonging to the Road Districts of this County have been lost through carelessness thus putting the County to expense in replacing them which ought to be saved, It is therefore hereby ordered that hereafter the various road supervisors of this County be required to show in their annual reports to this Court, ^{at the end of each year.} a list of all tools, plows, scrapers &c belonging to their respective road districts and which have come into their possession as such supervisors, And a list of the tools plows scrapers &c which have been broken or worn out during their terms of office, And that when their successors are appointed that they turn over to their said successors all such tools and property belonging to their respective road districts, and take at least receipts for the same and file said receipts with the County Clerk. It is further ordered that a copy of this order be sent to each Road Supervisor in Union County and that said Road Supervisors govern themselves accordingly.

In the matter of the
Road petitioned for
by A. R. Stalker et al

This matter came on regularly to be heard and it appearing to the Court that said petition is signed by 28 householders living in the vicinity of the proposed road and that 46 householders living in the vicinity of said proposed road have filed their remonstrance against said proposed road and that there are more remonstrators than petitioners, It is therefore ordered that said petition and all proceedings herein be dismissed.

Thereupon it was ordered that court be now adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.