

No 280
in
Circuit Court

W. W. Eopy

Plaintiff

vs

R. W. Deal et al.

Defendant

Action for Money

Docket A Page 456

Eaton and Baker

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

1886
 Jan 7 Motion to strike out part of deposition
 Journal C p 591
 Jan 8 Petition see Viewers app'd
 1887
 Aug 1 Viewers app'd
 Journal D p 190
 Sept 4 Continuance
 Journal D p 192
 Sept 10 Motion to strike out
 Journal D p 208
 Sept 10 Appraisers app'd
 Journal D p 209
 Nov 9 Int. read in behalf
 Journal D p 216
 Nov 10 order on petitioners to pay charges
 1888
 Jan 6 order modified
 Journal D p 253
 Jan 6 ordered opened
 Journal D p 254
 Mar 10 Continuance
 Journal D p 316
 Apr 5 Continuance
 Journal D p 326
 May 17 Continuance
 Journal D p 340
 July 7 Continuance
 Journal D p 388
 Sept 8 Continuance
 Journal D p 419
 Nov 7 Deposition
 Journal D p 440

Road
 Commencing at NW cor Sec 32 T 16 R
 39 E thence N on sec line to NW cor of
 Sec 19

DIRECTIONS - Place papers on TOP OF RUBBER
 Fold ends first then sides. Throw the rubber cords
 over the ends and package is complete

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In the matter of
The County Road
petitioned for by
C. J. Terrier et al

Now at this time this
cause came on to be heard
upon the report of James Pain
John Wagner and Frank Tuttle
viewers heretofore appointed by
the Court to view and assess the
damages claimed by R. D. Ruckman
and M. J. Ruckman by their com-
plaints heretofore filed herein
and it appearing to the Court
from said report that said
viewers have viewed said
proposed road the whole dis-
tance through the lands
of both claimants and that
they have assessed and
determined that the premises
of complainant M. J. Ruck-
man will be rendered less
valuable by the sum of \$300⁰⁰
by the opening of said Road
and that the premises of
complainant R. D. Ruckman
will not be rendered less
valuable by the opening of the

BE IT REMEMBERED, That at a Regular Term of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Union, begun and held at the Court House in the City of Union, in said County and State, on Monday, the 13th day of February A. D. 1888, the same being the Second Monday in said Month, and the time fixed by law for holding a regular term of said Court, when were present:

The Hon. G. M. Walker Judge, Presiding;
M. D. Clifford Dist. Attorney.
A. D. Neill Clerk,
A. N. Hamilton Sheriff.

When, on Friday the 24th day of February A. D. 1888
 or the Tenth Judicial day of said Term, among others, the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

R. D. Ruckman
 vs
Union County

Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of Pet for an order sustaining his writ of Review herein, the Pet appearing by J. H. Steates & Son & J. W. Shelton his attorneys and the defendant appearing by Baker & Baker & E. H. Crawford its attorneys and after hearing arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises; It is therefore considered and ordered that said writ be and the same is hereby sustained as to all proceedings of said County Court subsequent to the filing of plaintiffs affidavit and motion for leave to prove that notices of the presentation of the petition to establish said County Roads, had not been posted as by law directed including said motion, and that said proceedings including and subsequent to the filing of said affidavit and motion, be and they are hereby reversed. And it is further ordered that said cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to said County Court for further proceedings in conformity with this judgment.

{Signed}

G. M. Walker
 Circuit Judge

STATE OF OREGON, }
COUNTY OF UNION. } ss.

A. J. Neill

I, *Account* Clerk of the
Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Union, do hereby certify
that the foregoing Copy of Journal Entry has been by me compared with the original Entry, made in the
above entitled *Matter* now remaining of record in my office; that it is a correct transcript
therefrom, and the whole of such original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the
said Court, this *9th* day of *March* A. D. 18*88*

A. J. Neill

Clerk.

In the matter of the County
Road Petitioned for by
E. J. Neville et als.

Journal Entry -

Now at this time this cause came
on to be heard upon the motion of the petitioners
to strike from the Remonstrance, herein filed,
the names herein after mentioned - appearing
on said Remonstrance, for the reason that
that each of said persons names appear
upon the petition herein and that they each
signed the same and are petitioners herein
for said proposed road, the petitioners appe-
aring by ^{Paul H. Walker} R. H. Austin & W. H. Crawford of counsel
and the Remonstrators by James H. Slower
and J. W. Shelton of counsel - and the Court
having heard the argument of counsel
and being now fully advised as to the law
and fact herein, and it appearing to the
Court that the following persons names
appear upon the remonstrance herein
and that each of said persons named
have signed and are petitioners for the
road herein petitioned for.

It is therefore ordered that said motion
be & said the same is hereby sustained -
And that the following names be and
the same are hereby stricken off of said
Remonstrance, and that said names be
not counted upon said Remonstrance,
viz. J. W. Neville - John M. D. Bland
G. P. Childers - J. C. Berry, Saml. Harmon
Wm. Lerman, R. L. McQuigg, John Slower

1 D. Sommer. J.C. Eickloff. C.A. Myers
2 W.C. McLead Lindlay. W. Kinzie. Joseph
3 Freurdall. S.C. Johnston. John McRea.
4 B.L. Myers G.P. Childers W.S. Hamilton
5 A.J. Johnston J. Reitercost J.W. Childers
6 Ben. See. A.A. Suttlesner. L.C. Clewinger
7 Thomas W. Kinzie - Jacob End.
8 Linn Tuttle. J.M. Fordie John Lewis
9 P.E. Wade A. Berrisford. R.T. Childers
10 J.W. Tuttle and W.L. Fugate.

11
12 Sept 10th 1887
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

In the matter of a County Road }
petitioned for by E. T. Neville et al }
2

3 Now at this time
4 this cause coming on to be heard
5 upon the ~~petition~~ ^{petition} of E. T. Neville and
6 others praying that a County
7 road be laid out and es-
8 tablished in Union County Oregon
9 as follows to wit, Beginning at
10 the North west corner of Sec. 32
11 Twp. 1 S. R. thirty nine East Willamette
12 Meridian, thence north on the
13 section line between sections 29 & 30
14 in said Township and Range
15 one mile to and terminating
16 at the north west corner of
17 said Sec. 29 all in Union County
18 Oregon, and it appearing
19 to the Court that said petition
20 is signed by more than twelve
21 house holders of said County
22 each of whom resides in the
23 vicinity of said proposed
24 County Road and it further
25 appearing to the Court from the
26 affidavit of J. N. Riehart that due
27 and legal notice of this application
28 has been given as required by law
29 by posting notices of this application
30 at three public places in the
31 vicinity of said proposed County
32 road and also upon door

1 of Court house at Union Union
2 County Oregon for more than thirty
3 days prior to the first day of this
4 term of Court to wit Jan 4 1886 and
5 that said notices remained posted
6 at the places aforesaid from the
7 date of posting continuously up
8 to the said 4th day of January
9 1886.

10 Therefore it is considered
11 and adjudged that O.P. Goodall
12 Benj. Brown & J.R. Kellogg be ap-
13 pointed viewers and J. K. Romig
14 Surveyor to view, survey and
15 report upon the said proposed
16 County Road and that they
17 meet at the house of E. T. Neville
18 Feb 1 1886 at 10 o'clock A.M. and
19 duly qualify before entering upon
20 the discharge their respective
21 duties.

22 (Signed) A. C. Craig
23 County Judge
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

J. J. Wade	John M. Donald
G. D. Reinhart	W. E. Woodell
E. Smith	G. R. McGuire
James G. Woodell	J. O. Morse
John MacLeod	P. R. McKenzie
W. H. Korman	B. B. Hubert
R. J. Childers	F. E. Talbot
L. B. Hestley	J. O. Bacter
J. P. Row	J. W. Lewis
J. A. McHenry	B. S. Harley
J. W. Garvin	A. J. Patton
Joseph Jones	J. G. Berry
Frank Devers	G. A. Woodell
W. E. Kinch	S. M. Quinn
Morden W. Donald	Joseph Collins
C. B. Morlock	A. D. Storie
A. Waldeck	J. A. Taylor
J. A. Popkin	J. L. Whitcomb
J. W. Chaffin	G. W. Galt
Thos. Williams	A. G. Maule
L. D. M. Dowell	J. G. Russell
J. L. M. T. ...	Wm. Mays
J. L. M. ...	M. ...
George Ott	A. ...
J. ...	John ...
H. C. ...	J. ...
Wm. ...	J. ...
John ...	H. W. ...
Wm. ...	Ernest ...

Wm. ...	George ...
Wm. B. ...	J. ...
E. S. ...	Wm. ...
Wm. ...	G. ...
W. H. ...	H. ...
J. K. ...	M. B. ...
J. O. ...	S. L. ...
Thomas ...	
P. ...	

J. ...
 J. W. ...
 O. ...

J. A. Smith
J. W. G. G. G.
O. Perin

H. G. G. G.
S. Carter
Thos. Gilman
S. S. Booth
John Van Brockland
W. G. G. G.
L. McG. G.
S. Allison
W. G. G. G.
G. W. Ladd
W. G. G. G.

John M. G. G.
Chas. G. G. G.
L. G. G. G.
Mr. Van Der Meer
Egbert van der Meulen
J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver

J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver

J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver
J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver
J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver
J. P. De Lange
R. M. Oliver

E. P. G. G.
A. P. G. G.
Chas. Dickman
L. P. G. G.
J. P. G. G.
E. J. G. G.
George Wilhelm
Wallace Fairbank
Thomas B. G. G.
S. H. G. G.
J. S. G. G.
William G. G.
W. G. G. G.

25	W. C. Leonard	H. P. Thorne	26
26	D. H. Allen	M. M. Jones	28
27	W. C. Leonard	R. W. Williams	30
29	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	32
31	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	34
33	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	36
35	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	38

37	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	40
39	James P. Jones	J. P. Russell	42
41	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	44
43	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	46
45	L. M. Glavin	J. P. Russell	48
47	A. M. Mason	J. P. Russell	50
49	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	52
51	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	54
53	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	56
55	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	58
57	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	60
59	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	62
61	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	64
63	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	66
65	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	68
67	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	70
69	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	72
71	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	74
73	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	76
75	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	78
77	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	80
79	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	82
81	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	84
83	W. C. Leonard	J. P. Russell	86

86	Oliver Shier	J. W. Normal	87
88	W. C. Leonard	F. J. Tuller	89
90	J. W. G. G. G.	A. A. S. S. S.	91
92	J. K. Smith	J. T. Woodell	93
94	J. W. G. G. G.	J. W. G. G. G.	95
96	S. O. Johnson	W. M. Lewis	97
98	L. J. Childers	John M. Bland	99
100	W. C. Leonard	A. J. Gannister	101
102	J. H. Woodell	W. C. Leonard	103
104	J. W. G. G. G.	W. C. Leonard	105
106	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	107
108	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	109
109	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	110
110	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	111
111	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	112
112	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	113
113	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	114
114	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	115
115	W. C. Leonard	W. C. Leonard	116 total

Filed JAN 6 1886

at o'clock

B. J. Wilson
County Clerk

County Court
Linn County

In the matter of
a County Court
Petition for
W. B. Johnson et al
vs

Filed JAN 6 1895
at o'clock, m.
J. J. McLeod
County Clerk

W. B. + J. H. C.
for Petition

State of Oregon ss
County of Union J. A. Rinkhart
being first duly sworn say that I posted
the within notice at three public places
^{in Union County Oregon}
within the vicinity of the proposed County
road therein described on the 2nd day
of Dec- 1885 as follows, to wit; by
posting a duplicate thereof on said day
upon a board attached to a post at
the beginning of said proposed ^{road} and
one on a board attached to a
post at the beginning of said
proposed road and one on a
board attached to a post in
the public highway in front
of J. A. Rinkhart's house about
one half mile from the line
of said proposed road and
that I also posted said within
notice on the Court house
door in Union Oregon on
the 3rd day of Dec, 1885 by posting
thereon a duplicate of
said notice therein and that
said within notice remained
posted at the points and places
aforesaid continuously for
more than thirty days prior to the

the 4th day Jan. 1886

Subscribed & sworn to } J. A. Rinkhart
before me this 6th day of Jan- 1886 }
B. F. Wilson ex. clerk

Filed JAN 6 1886

at ... o'clock, ... min., ... m.

A. W. Wilson
County Clerk.

1 In the County Court of Union County
2 Oregon, Transacting County business.

3 In the matter of the }
4 petition of E. J. Nevil & }
5 others for laying out }
6 and establishing a County }
7 road }

8 P. J. Buckman would most
9 respectfully represent to the Hon County Court
10 above named, that he is a resident
11 and a landholder in said County of Union
12 Oregon. That he is the owner of the N.W. 1/4
13 of Section 29 in Township one South of Range 39
14 East of the Willamette Meridian; and that
15 the road, proposed in the petition of
16 E. J. Nevil and others praying for the
17 establishment of a County road beginning
18 at the N.W. Corner of Section 32 Township
19 one South of Range 39 East W.M. thence
20 north on the section line between sections
21 29 & 30 of said Township and Range,
22 ~~over~~ ^{over} ~~run~~ ^{run} ~~on~~ ^{on} the West line of Permonstrant
23 said described land a distance of one
24 half mile and if said road
25 shall be established the same will
26 cause them anstrant great damage
27 and inconvenience for that in case
28 of the establishment of said road your
29 Permonstrant will be compelled to

1 Construct a large amount of additional
2 fencing and ^{the establishment of said road} will condemn a portion
3 of the lands of Remonstrant to public use

4 And your Remonstrant further
5 represents to this honorable Court that
6 the Court has not assumed jurisdiction
7 to hear or determine the matters and
8 things prayed for in said petition.

9 And your Remonstrant represents to
10 the Court and alleges that notice of
11 the presentation of said petition was not
12 given, published or posted as required
13 by law. That no notice of the presenta-
14 tion of said petition was ~~was~~ posted
15 and left posted on the Court House
16 door in the Town of Union where this
17 Court is required to be holden thirty
18 days previous to the 4th day of Jan 1886
19 the day appointed by law for the con-
20 vening of the present term of said
21 Court. That there was no notice
22 of the presentation of said petition
23 posted on the door of the Court
24 House in Union Union County Oregon
25 from the 4th day of December 1885-
26 to the 21st day of said month both
27 days being included

28 And of the truth of these

1
2
3
4

representations, allegations and
animents your Demonstrator asks
you to make proof in such form
as the Court may direct.

R. D. Ruckman

State of Oregon
Union County J. P. R. D. Ruckman
being first duly sworn says that
he is the Demonstrator in the foregoing
representation and believes the
facts therein stated are believed to
be true.

Subscribed & sworn to R. D. Ruckman
to before us this 4th
day of Jan - 1886
B. J. Hilborn
do Clerk

The Wm County Court
of Hanson County
Kansas vs. Combs

In the matter of
the petition of E. J.
Stevie and others
praying for the lay-
ing out & establishment
of a County Court

Commissioners in
the jurisdiction of
the land by D. J.
& Bushnell

Filed JAN 7 1886

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.

P. F. Wilson

County Clerk.

In the County Court of the State of
Oregon for Union County
In the Matter of the County
Road Petitioned for by
C. T. Neville et al

Now comes C. T. Neville et al
Petitioners by their Attorneys W. Baker
& J. H. Crawford and moves the
Court to strike out of Remon-
strators Remonstrance to the
Jurisdiction of the Court,
1st all that portion thereof inclu-
sive of line 8 Page 11 thereof to
and inclusive of line 3 of
Page 2 thereof for the reason
that the same is frivolous
and irrelevant

2nd All that portion thereof
from and including line 4
Page 2 to and including
line 4 Page 3 thereof for
the reason that same is
shown frivolous and
irrelevant.

W. Baker and
J. H. Crawford
Attys for Petitioners

County Court
Meine County

In the matter of
County of Meigs
J. S. Morris et al
vs
Meigs County
and
J. S. Morris et al
vs
Meigs County

Filed JAN 7 1886
at ... o'clock, ... min. ... m.

[Signature]
County Clerk.

[Signature]
W. B. ...
for ...

Know all Men by these Presents:

THAT WE, E. T. Neville and John Kennedy
 and _____, of the County of Union and
 State of Oregon, are held and firmly bound unto the said County of Union in the sum of
Fifty (\$50⁰⁰) Dollars, the payment of which, well and truly
 to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators firmly by these presents.

Signed this 8th day of January A. D. 1886

Now the condition of the above obligation is such, that whereas, if the County Road petitioned
 for by E. T. Neville and others, and presented
 at the January term, 1886, of the County Court of said Union county,
 commencing at the north West corner of Sec. 32 Township
one South of Range 39 E. N. W. thence running North
one mile and terminating at the north West corner
of Sec. 29 same T. P. and Range
 be located and established, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force
 and virtue in law.

E. T. Neville
J. M. Kennedy

STATE OF OREGON,
 COUNTY OF Union } ss.

I, John Kennedy and
 _____ each for himself says that I am worth the sum
 of One Hundred Dollars over and above all debts and liabilities and property
 exempt from execution, and that I am a resident and householder in said County and State.

J. M. Kennedy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 8
 day of January A. D. 1886
B. A. Nilsen
 Clerk.

*Bond
in
S. J. Neville road*

Filed JAN 8 1886

at ... o'clock ... min ... m.

B. R. Nibral

County Clerk.

County Clerk.

at ... o'clock, ... min, ... m.

FILED JAN 1886

In the County Court for Union
County

In the matter of the
County Road Petition
for By E. H. Hume, Etal

To the Hon
County Court sitting as a board
of County Commissioners within
and for said County of Union
State of Oregon.

The petition of
W. J. Ruckman respectfully
shows

that petitioner is the owner
and in the possession of the
following described tract of
land to wit: The S $20\frac{1}{4}$ of sec 29
and the S $E\frac{1}{4}$ of sec 30 Township
One south of Range 39 East of the
Willamette Meridian, that the
proposed road prayed for in
the above entitled proceeding
if established and opened
will run an and through ^{the center of} petitioners
said land for a distance of one
half mile and will occupy and
take from said land of petitioners
a strip not less than five Rods in

width for the distance of said
One half mile making ~~four~~ ^{four} acres
of petitioners said land thus ~~afforded~~
for said road way to petitioners
damage in the sum of \$200.00
That petitioners will be specially
damaged by the Establishment
and opening of said proposed
Road through her said land
as follows,

That said land is very
valuable for Agricultural and
especially so for pasturing purposes
and that the Establishment of said
road through the same will
greatly depreciate the value of said
land for said purposes and that
the damage thereto by such
depreciation will amount to the
sum of \$1000.00

That said land
^{is 160 acres}
the portion of said land used
for pasturing purposes is supplied
with water from the portion used
for agricultural and pasturing
purposes and that said road
if established will render the
portion of said land which is
used by petitioners for pasturing

purpose of most valuable for such purposes
whereby petitioner will sustain
additional damages in a sum
of not less than \$100,000

That if said road
is established ~~in title~~ ~~on~~ petitioner
will be compelled to build
and construct additional fencing
for the protection of his grape and
fastness for a distance of one
mile and will be compelled
to lay out and expend for that
purpose not less than \$500,000

Wherefore petitioner
prays that three disinterested
householders of said County
may be appointed to view and
assess said damages for
petitioner and that said persons
proceedings to open said
road may be stayed until
such damages are reported
to this Hon. Command
said by said petitioner
for said road, and that
petitioner be allowed the sum
of \$2700.00 damages as aforesaid

Geo H. Slater Esq
and J. W. Shelton atty for petitioner

State of Oregon
County of Union W. W. Ruckman
being first duly sworn say I
am the petitioner above named
that the foregoing petition
is true as I truly believe

M. J. Ruckman
Subscribed & sworn to before me this
10th day of September 1889

N. B. Harris
Notary Public

for Oregon

County Court
Union Army

See also receipt of
the County Court
Petition for
Ed. Miller's case

Petition of Mrs.
Preston for
Dunnings

Filed Sept. 10-87
a. J. Smith
Clerk

Geo. W. H. H. H.
W. H. H. H. H. H.
W. H. H. H. H. H.

In the County Court for Union
County Oregon
In the matter of the
County Road ~~petition~~
petitioned for by
E. T. Mullen Etal

To the Hon County
Court sitting as a Board of
County Commissioners within
and for said County of Union
State of Oregon

The petition of
R. D. Ruckman respectfully
shows that petitioner is the
owner and in the possession
of the following described
tract of land to-wit:-
The NW¹/₄ Sect 29 T. 15 R.
39 E. W. 44. in Union County
Oregon, that the proposed road
proposed for in the above
entitled proceeding if es-
tablished and opened will
run over and through peti-
tioners said land for a
distance of one half mile
and will occupy and
take from said land of
petitioners a strip not

less than two rods in width
for said distance of one
half mile making two
acres of petitioner's said
land thus appropriated for
said roadway to petiti-
oners damage in the sum
of \$200 dollars, that pe-
titioners will be specially
damaged by the establish-
ment of said proposed road
through said lands as
follows.

That said land is
very valuable for agricul-
tural and farming pur-
poses and that the es-
tablishment of said road
through said land will
greatly depreciate ^{the value of} said
land for said purposes
for that there is now a
public highway and county
road running on and
through said land paral-
lel with said proposed
road for a distance of
one half mile, wherefor
petitioners will sustain

damages in the additional
sum of \$500 dollars.

That if said road is
established petitioner will
be compelled to build and
construct additional fencing
for the protection of his
crops and pasture on
said land for a distance
of one fourth mile and
will be compelled to lay
out and expend for
that purpose not less
than \$125, dollars,

wherefore petitioner
prays that three disinterested
residents householders of said
County may be appointed
to view and assess said
damages for petitioner and
that said proceeding to
open and establish said
road may be said with
such damages as re-
ported to the Honorable Court
and paid by said petitioner
for said road and that
petitioner be allowed the
sum of \$825, dollars damages

3)

as aforesaid.

For & Attest & sworn ^{and}
J. M. Shelton
attorney for petitioners

State of Oregon
County of Union JSS

J. R. D. Ruckman
being first duly sworn say
I see the petitioners above
named that the foregoing
petition is true as I honestly
believe

R. D. Ruckman
Subscribed and sworn to before
me this 10th day of September 1884

M. B. Harris

Notary Public
for Oregon

County Clerk
Newson County

In the matter of
The County of
Petition filed by
E. J. McElwaine

Petition of
Petitioners for
Damages

Filed Sept 25 1887

A. J. McElwaine
Clerk

Geo W. Weston
J. J. McElwaine
Attorney for Petitioner

To the Honorable County Court
 of Union County State of Oregon
 We the Appraisers
 appointed by your Honorable body
 at the September term 1887 of said
 Court to view & appraise Damages on
 the proposed ^{County} Road petitioned for by
 E. J. Nevel and others, beg leave to
 make the following Report-

We met at the beginning
 of said proposed Road on the day
 named in your order appointing
 said appraisers and proceeded to
 carefully view said proposed Road
 & we find that R. D. Ruckman would
 not be damaged in any amount by
 the location of said proposed Road
 and that Mrs M. J. Ruckman will
 be damaged in the sum of Three ~~300~~³⁰⁰
 Hundred Dollars reason of the location of said
 proposed Road - All of which is
 Respectfully submitted Frank Mitchell
 James Payne

We the undersigned being
 first duly sworn depose and say that
 the above Report is true to the best of
 our knowledge and belief

Frank Mitchell
 James Payne

State of Oregon }
 County of Union } ss


Subscribed and sworn to before
 me a Justice of the Peace, for Lane County,
 Union County, Oregon this 18th day of October
 A. D. 1887

Jasper G. Stevens
 Justice of the Peace

Sept. 20 1887

W. H. Hall

Book



I started at the N.W. Cor. of Sec. 32 T 1 S R 3 E W m By Planting a Post
 Marked R. Built mound of Earth Set out glass and dug pits as Per. Instructions
 from which I run North var. $19^{\circ} 30'$ one 320 Rods one mile Post
 from thence North var $19^{\circ} 30'$ E. 2 Rods to N.W. corner of sec. 29, T 1 S,
 Range 3 E, W. m. from which the 1 mile Post marked 1.M. Bears South var.
 $19^{\circ} 30'$ W.
 J. W. Kimbrell Deputy Co. Surveyor

I hereby Certify that the above is a correct Plat and Notes of
 the Proposed County Road of E. J. Nevil and others, ordered viewed and
 approved at the Adjourned Term of County Court of Union Co. on the 23rd August 1887
 this the 23rd Day of Aug, 1887 J. W. Kimbrell Deputy County Surveyor

We the undersigned Viewers have on this 23rd Day of Aug, 1887
 Carefully viewed and examined the above Described Proposed
 County Road and find it to be a good and practicable Road
 and indispensable for the convenience of the Neighborhood
 and would most respectfully recommend it be declared a
 Public highway.

Aug the 23rd 1887
 Viewers

John J. Reuber
 J. R. Kellogg
 Ben Brown

Dec 7 1857
A. M. Miller
& Clerk

Remonstrance

From E. J. Monte & others

Filed Apr 5 1887

E. J. Monte
Clerk

In the County Court of Union
County Oregon

To the Honorable
County Court of Union County Oregon

We the undersigned remonstrators represent to your honorable court that we are householders and reside in Union County Oregon and in the vicinity of the road prayed to be laid out and established by the petition of E. Nevil and others filed in your court on the 6th day of January 1886 praying your honorable court to lay out and establish a county road in said county of Union beginning at the NW corner Section 32 of T. 39 N. R. 39 E. W. 1/4. Thence N on sec line between Secs 29 & 30 in said Township and range one mile and terminating at NW corner of said Sec 29.

And we hereby remonstrate against the laying and establishment of said road in said petition prayed for as a county road and will in duty ever

1 In the County Court of the State
2 of Oregon for Union County.

3 In the matter of the County
4 Road Petitioned for by
5 E. T. Noice et al.

6 Now at this time come
7 the Petitioners in the above
8 entitled cause and move
9 the Court to strike from
10 the Remonstrance herein
11 filed the names of the fol-
12 lowing persons appearing
13 thereon for the reason that
14 each of said persons names
15 appear upon the Petition herein
16 and they each signed the same
17 and are each petitioners herein
18 for said proposed Road,
19 To wit;

20 (6) J. W. Noice (10) John M. D. Bland
21 (14) B. P. Childers (22) J. L. Berry
22 (27) D. Hammock (30) Wm. Brown
23 (31) R. L. McKingie (32) John Shaw
24 (37) D. Sommer (41) J. L. Cickhoff
25 (45) C. A. Myers (48) W. C. McLeod
26 (47) Finley McKingie (49) Jos. Insdall
27 (51) J. L. Johnston (53) John McRae
28 (67) B. F. Myers (71) A. P. Childers

- 1 (72) W.S. Hamilton (13) C.J. Johnston
- 2 (77) J. Pentecost (78) J. W. Childers
- 3 (77) Ben Lee (80) A.A. Sutton
- 4 (85) L. C. Levinger (78) Mrs McKingie
- 5 (111) Jacob Bird (118) Jerry Tuttle
- 6 (122) J. W. Fordice (123) John Lewis
- 7 (127) P. C. Wade (130) A. Beresford
- 8 (133) R. J. Childers (60) J. W. Tuttle
- 9 (8) W. L. Fugate.

10 And Petitioners further move
 11 that all of said named
 12 persons be counted as petitioners
 13 and they each be rejected
 14 and not counted as represen-
 15 tatives.

16 R. C. Baker +
 17 J. W. Beresford
 18 Atty for Petitioners

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

County Court
Am. S.

In the matter of
Road by E. A. Newton
vs
shabs

Newton

Filed Sept, 9 1887
A. M. [Signature]

28
27
26
25
24
23
22
21
20
19
18
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1

Page

To the Hon. County Court of Union County Oregon
We the undersigned Citizen Householders and tax payers
of the county of Union and State of Oregon do here in
respectfully represent that the one mile of road petitioned
for by E. T. Neville and 115 others is practical useful and
convenient as a North and South road connecting on a section
line with a county road running South 6 miles ~~connecting~~
commencing at the N. W. corner of Sec 32 T. one S. R. 39 ~~and~~
~~meridian~~ and ending on the county road leading from
Island ^{to the} Cove and Union Before said mile of road was
obstruced it was practice and convenient for citizens of
Indian valley and Summerville including 17 owners of land
going said road every man of them wishing the entire road
opened embracing 14 sections of land which is taxed
at about \$2000.⁰⁰/₁₀₀ dollars to the owners of said land not ~~being~~
personal property. With these ^{facts} presented before your Hon. Body
your petitioners would most earnestly ask your Hon.
Body to cause paid by Union County in behalf of Union County
and your petitioners the sum of three hundred dollars
as damage apesed on said road. Your petitioners believe
the request resonable as your petioners have paid a sum
nearly equal to 300⁰⁰/₁₀₀ dollars apesed in defending the citizens
of Union County and action of the county court against
an unlawful blockade of said county road

Named

E. T. Neville

E. D. Barn

V. S. Daviner

J. L. Roe

M. B. Mitchell

W. R. Chatter

J. P. Childers
J. P. Bonnette

File Nov 4, 1887
Asst. Mill clerk
Wm. Oliver Dwyer

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for Union County
 In the matter of the
 County Road petition
 for a road to be laid out

The undersigned by this their petition would respectfully represent and allege that they and each of them are resident householders within Union County Oregon that they and each of them reside in the vicinity of the premises described in the proposed County Road that as such resident householders as aforesaid they the said undersigned hereby petition the said Hon. County Court to cause to be laid out located and opened according to law a County Road as follows to wit commencing at the north west corner of Section Twenty-two Township one South Range thirty one East Willamette Meridian thence north on the section line between Sections 27 & 30 westward Township one Range one north to reach the north west corner of said Section 27 all in Union County Oregon the said proposed

County Road being an extension of the present County Road leading from Route one north a distance of 24 miles to point of beginning of the proposed County Road to be known the same with the present County Road extending west and north to Seamanville from the point of termination of said proposed County Road and your petitioners will ever pray

- Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of August 1901
- | | | | |
|----|---------------|----|----------------|
| 1 | E. T. ... | 2 | ... |
| 3 | ... | 4 | ... |
| 5 | J. B. Gilkham | 6 | G. M. Stansell |
| 7 | ... | 8 | ... |
| 9 | J. M. ... | 10 | ... |
| 11 | J. L. ... | 12 | H. J. ... |
| 13 | Wm. ... | 14 | W. B. Woodall |
| 15 | J. S. ... | 16 | Joseph ... |
| 17 | J. ... | 18 | Frank ... |
| 19 | W. D. ... | 20 | R. H. ... |
| 21 | J. ... | 22 | ... |
| 23 | W. E. ... | 24 | ... |
| 25 | B. A. ... | 26 | H. P. ... |
| 27 | Wm. ... | 28 | M. M. ... |
| 29 | H. B. ... | 30 | R. J. ... |
| 31 | Wm. ... | 32 | Joseph ... |
| 33 | E. K. ... | 34 | J. B. ... |
| 35 | B. ... | 36 | ... |

Notice is hereby given to all
 whom it may concern that at
 the next regular term of the County
 Court for Union County Oregon
 to be holden at Union in
 said County and State in January
 1886 the undersigned resident
 householders within Union County
 Oregon to the number of twelve
 or more and each of whom
 reside in the vicinity of the town
 after described proposed County
 Road will apply by Petition to
 said County Court to lay-out
 locate and open a County Road
 according to law as follows to
 wit: Commencing at the North West
 Corner of Section 33 Township one
 South Range 37 East Willamette
 Meridian thence north on the Section
 line between Sections 29 & 30 in
 said Township and Range one
 mile to and terminating at the
 North West corner of said Section 29
 all in Union County Oregon the
 said proposed County Road being
 one of the number of the present
 County Road leading from
 Beattie Lane north a distance
 of six miles to point of beginning
 of the proposed County Road so
 as to connect the same with the
 present County Road by turning west
 and ~~the distance between~~ from
 the point of termination of
 said proposed County Road
 thence

- | | | | |
|----|----------------|------------------|----|
| 1 | E. J. Mason | } Feet. Rinehart | 2 |
| 3 | S. W. Kenville | | 4 |
| 5 | J. B. Gilham | } G. W. Stansell | 6 |
| 7 | W. B. Smith | | 8 |
| 9 | J. Mitchell | } J. W. Williams | 10 |
| 11 | J. H. Lee | | 12 |
| 13 | Ernest Graham | } W. E. Woodell | 14 |
| 14 | J. B. Perry | | 16 |
| 17 | J. B. Perry | } Frank Sellers | 18 |
| 19 | J. B. Perry | | 20 |
| 21 | W. J. Little | } J. H. Young | 22 |
| 23 | J. W. Rinehart | | 24 |
| 25 | Dick Allen | } G. P. Throe | 26 |
| 27 | W. E. Rinehart | | 28 |
| 29 | W. B. Smith | } R. W. Williams | 30 |
| 31 | W. B. Smith | | 32 |
| 33 | J. B. Perry | } G. P. Childers | 34 |
| 35 | W. B. Perry | | 36 |
| 37 | W. B. Perry | } J. E. Mad | 38 |
| 39 | James Reggs | | 40 |
| 41 | W. B. Perry | } W. B. Perry | 42 |
| 42 | W. B. Perry | | 44 |

39	J. H. ...	J. W. ...	43
41	B. H. ...	J. W. ...	45
43	J. H. ...	J. W. ...	47
45	E. M. ...	J. W. ...	49
47	A. ...	J. W. ...	51
49	B. W. ...	J. W. ...	53
51	P. ...	J. W. ...	55
53	W. ...	J. W. ...	57
55	W. ...	J. W. ...	59
57	G. ...	J. W. ...	61
59	C. ...	J. W. ...	63
61	A. ...	J. W. ...	65
63	S. ...	J. W. ...	67
65	L. ...	J. W. ...	69
67	W. ...	J. W. ...	71
69	J. ...	J. W. ...	73
71	J. ...	J. W. ...	75
73	S. ...	J. W. ...	77
75	L. ...	J. W. ...	79
77	C. ...	J. W. ...	81
79	M. ...	J. W. ...	83

86	Oliver Schaper	J. W. ...	87
88	E. W. Oliver	J. W. ...	89
90	J. W. Lytle	A. ...	91
92	J. N. Smith	J. W. ...	93
94	J. ...	J. W. ...	95
96	J. ...	J. W. ...	97
98	J. ...	J. W. ...	99
100	W. ...	A. ...	101
102	J. ...	J. W. ...	103
104	J. ...	J. W. ...	104
106	W. L. ...	W. ...	105
108	S. E. ...	W. ...	107
109	Ben ...		
110	S. ...		
111	R. ...		
112	R. ...		
113	S. B. ...		
114	John ...		
115	J. E. ...		
116	J. ...		

So remounted

Name	Name
1 Martha Buckman	1 Pentecost
2 Samuel Barran	3 M. Medley
3 Perry Adams	4 Ben Sel
4 R. D. Buckman	5 A. A. Sotherman
5 J. A. Jones	6 W. W. Woods
6 J. McDonald	7
7 J. Dusley	8 Wm. Smith
8 W. L. Fugate	9 J. B. Foster
9 John Bugh	10 W. C. Egan
10 John D. Spruce	11 L. C. Leaming
11 John Y. Bug	12 J. M. Buckman
12 Henry Flag	13 John Whitmore
13 A. J. Baker	14 S. W. Buckman
14 J. P. Chalkley	15 Wm. Stepper
15 R. Wright	16 R. K. Spitt
16 Oliver Perrin	17 Thad. Draper
17 George Williams	18 A. Randall
18 E. D. Kessner	19 Thomas Bates
19 George Ott	20 Carl Jensen
20 Jesse Walker	21 Rudolf Hugg
21 R. C. Palmer	22 H. G. Palmer
22 J. C. Berry	23 S. M. Gunn
23 H. Carney	24 Mrs. M. Gunn
24 Jesse Tucker	25 S. A. Marwin
25 John J. Gardner	26 H. Stephens
26 J. J. Backus	27 S. P. Lagore
27 C. A. Keeler	28 John Dixon
28 Thomas West	29 E. Kainanack
29 Daniel Hammarack	30 James Hammarack
30 W. L. Semmar	31 C. W. Knapp
31 R. S. McKenzie	32 B. Davis
32 John Shaw	33 John M. Gunn
33 W. L. Semmar	34 Robert Keizer
34 Wm. York	35 C. S. Knapp
35 Wm. H. Andrews	36 Salomonson Gilbo
36 J. S. Clark	37 Jacob Card
37 D. Sommer	38 J. B. Marbin
38 Thomas Wade	39 Terry Tuttle
39 A. Waldorf	40 Jacob Berg Jun.
40 W. A. Biskandy	41 Amy J. Gous
41 R. Blackhoff	42 H. G. Gressman
42 J. A. ...	43 H. G. Gressman
43 A. ...	44 H. McDonald
44 Gustus Wade	45 A. Debra
45 C. A. ...	46 B. Barker
46 John M. ...	47 W. Russell
47 Emily ...	48 J. M. ...
48 A. ...	49 John ...
49 Joseph ...	50 J. E. Wood
50 H. D. ...	51 C. D. ...
51 S. B. ...	52 C. D. ...
52 A. ...	53 A. G. ...
53 W. ...	54 P. ...
54 B. ...	55 ...
55 ...	56 ...
56 ...	57 ...
57 ...	58 ...
58 ...	59 ...
59 ...	60 ...
60 ...	61 ...
61 ...	62 ...
62 ...	63 ...
63 ...	64 ...
64 ...	65 ...
65 ...	66 ...
66 ...	67 ...
67 ...	68 ...
68 ...	69 ...
69 ...	70 ...
70 ...	71 ...
71 ...	72 ...
72 ...	73 ...
73 ...	74 ...
74 ...	75 ...
75 ...	76 ...
76 ...	77 ...
77 ...	78 ...
78 ...	79 ...
79 ...	80 ...
80 ...	81 ...
81 ...	82 ...
82 ...	83 ...
83 ...	84 ...
84 ...	85 ...
85 ...	86 ...
86 ...	87 ...
87 ...	88 ...
88 ...	89 ...
89 ...	90 ...
90 ...	91 ...
91 ...	92 ...
92 ...	93 ...
93 ...	94 ...
94 ...	95 ...
95 ...	96 ...
96 ...	97 ...
97 ...	98 ...
98 ...	99 ...
99 ...	100 ...

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76

Wm. W. Russell
St. H. E. Wood 722 J. M. Jordan
Joseph Strassdack 723 John Low Jr.
M. F. ... 124 J. E. Woods
S. B. Johnston 125 C. B. York
G. H. ... 126 W. H. Staelty
A. M. ... 127 R. ...
R. ... 128 ...
J. S. ... 129 ...
W. S. ... 130 Adam Beresford
J. ...
J. ... 131 ...
G. ... 132 W. R. ...
W. ... 133 R. J. ...
S. ...
S. ...
H. ...
C. ...
J. ...
A. ...
R. ...
L. ...
J. ...
J. ...
J. ...
M. ...
W. ...
M. ...
M. ...

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for Union County
 In the matter of the
 County Road petition
 for by W. I. Miller et al.

The undersigned by this their Petition would most respectfully represent and allege
 That they and each of them are resident householders within Union County Oregon
 That they and each of them reside in the vicinity of the line after division proposed County Road
 That as such resident householders as aforesaid they the said undersigned hereby petition the said Hon. County Court cause to be laid out located and opened according to Law a County Road as follows to wit, commencing at the north west corner of Section Thirty-two Township one South Range thirty nine East Willamette Meridian thence north on the section line between sections 27 & 30 in said Township and Range one mile to and terminating at the north west corner of said section 27 all in Union County Oregon the said proposed

County Road being an extension of the present County Road leading from Route Lane north a distance of six miles to point of beginning of the proposed County Road so as to connect the same with the present County Road extending west and north west to Seamanville from the point of termination of said proposed County Road and your Petitioners will ever pray.

- | | | | |
|----|------------------|----------------|----|
| 1 | E. J. ... | John P. ... | 2 |
| 2 | ... | ... | 4 |
| 5 | J. B. Gilham | G. W. Stansell | 6 |
| 7 | ... | J. R. Stansell | 8 |
| 9 | J. ... | J. W. ... | 10 |
| 11 | J. ... | J. J. ... | 12 |
| 13 | ... | W. B. Woodell | 14 |
| 15 | J. ... | Joseph ... | 16 |
| 17 | J. ... | Frank ... | 18 |
| 19 | ... | R. B. ... | 20 |
| 21 | J. ... | ... | 22 |
| 23 | W. E. Reinhardt | ... | 24 |
| 25 | B. H. Allen | H. P. ... | 26 |
| 27 | Wm. E. Reinhardt | M. A. ... | 28 |
| 29 | W. B. Harris | R. M. ... | 30 |
| 31 | Wm. McParr | Joseph ... | 32 |
| 33 | E. B. ... | J. B. ... | 34 |
| 35 | B. H. ... | W. A. ... | 36 |

Notice is hereby given to all whom it may concern that at the next regular term of the County Court for Decemr. County Oregon to be holden at Decemr. in said County and State in January A.D. 1880 the undersigned resident householders within Union County Oregon to the number of twelve or more and each of whom reside in the vicinity of the hereafter described proposed County Road will apply by Petition to said County Court to lay out locate and open a County Road according to law as follows to wit: Commencing at the North West Corner of Section 33 Township one South Range 37 East Willamette Meridian thence north on the Section line between Sections 27 & 30 in said Township and Range one mile to and terminating at the North West corner of said Section 27 all in Union County Oregon the said proposed County Road being an extension of the present County Road leading from Bertha Lane north a distance of six miles to point of beginning

of the proposed County Road so as to connect the same with the present County Road by leading west and north to Succowville from the point of termination of said proposed County Road

No.	Name	Name	No.
1	E. T. ...	Geo. K. Pinehurst	2
3	S. M. ...	David ...	4
5	J. B. Gilham	G. M. Stansel	6
7	W. G. ...	H. R. Starnell	8
9	J. ...	J. M. ...	10
11	J. ...	J. J. ...	12
13	Exas. ...	W. E. Woodell	14
14	J. ...	Joseph ...	16
17	J. B. ...	Frank ...	18
19	W. ...	R. M. ...	20
21	W. ...	J. ...	22
23	W. ...	J. ...	24
25	W. ...	G. P. ...	26
27	W. ...	M. W. ...	28
29	W. ...	R. M. ...	30
31	W. ...	Joseph ...	32
33	W. ...	J. P. ...	34
35	W. ...	J. G. ...	36
37	W. ...	J. P. ...	38
39	W. ...	James ...	40
41	W. ...	J. ...	42
43	W. ...	Wm. ...	44

39	James Pegg	James S. ...	40
41	B. ...	J. ...	42
43	G. ...	John ...	44
45	S. McKenzie	W. H. Russell	46
47	A. Meacham	...	48
49	L. McDowell	...	50
51	Prochick	52
53	W. Kimball	...	54
55	Thomas Patten	...	56
57	Geoffrey ...	John ...	58
59	S. Sumner	...	60
61	A.	62
62	J. C. Kimball	...	64
63	W. ...	J. P. ...	66
64	68
65	70
66	72
67	74
68	76
69	78
70	80
71	82
72	84
73	85

86	Oliver Shoji	J. W. ...	87
88	W.	89
90	91
92	J. N. Smith	J. W. Woodell	93
94	Jeanne Moss	...	95
96	S. Johnson	...	97
98	L. ...	John M. ...	99
100	W. ...	A. ...	101
102	103
104	105
106	107
108	109
110	111
112	113
114	115

So remembrance

	Name	Name
1	Martha Buckman	1 Pentecost
2	Samuel Carran	18 of M. Medley
3	George Stearns	19 Ben. Sel
4	W. K. Kitchman	20 J. A. S. S. S. S. S. S.
5	J. A. H. H. H.	21 W. W. Woods
6	J. M. Donald	
7	J. D. D. D.	22 W. W. G. G.
8	J. L. L. L.	23 J. L. L. L.
9	John Pugh	24 W. C. C. C.
10	John M. D. D.	25 J. C. C. C.
11	John Y. Y. Y.	26 J. M. M. M.
12	Henry H. H.	27 J. H. H. H.
13	A. J. J. J.	28 J. W. W. W.
14	J. B. B. B.	29 J. K. K. K.
15	J. W. W. W.	30 J. H. H. H.
16	O. P. P. P.	31 J. A. A. A.
17	G. M. M. M.	32 J. R. R. R.
18	E. D. D. D.	33 J. S. S. S.
19	G. O. O. O.	34 J. G. G. G.
20	J. W. W. W.	35 J. M. M. M.
21	R. C. C. C.	36 J. H. H. H.
22	J. C. C. C.	37 J. A. A. A.
23	J. C. C. C.	38 J. S. S. S.
24	J. S. S. S.	39 J. P. P. P.
25	J. J. J. J.	40 J. H. H. H.
26	J. J. J. J.	41 J. W. W. W.
27	J. A. A. A.	42 J. S. S. S.
28	J. S. S. S.	43 J. H. H. H.
29	J. H. H. H.	44 J. A. A. A.
30	J. W. W. W.	45 J. S. S. S.
31	R. S. S. S.	46 J. H. H. H.
32	J. S. S. S.	47 J. W. W. W.
33	J. H. H. H.	48 J. A. A. A.
34	J. W. W. W.	49 J. S. S. S.
35	J. S. S. S.	50 J. H. H. H.
36	J. H. H. H.	51 J. W. W. W.
37	J. A. A. A.	52 J. S. S. S.
38	J. S. S. S.	53 J. H. H. H.
39	J. H. H. H.	54 J. W. W. W.
40	J. W. W. W.	55 J. A. A. A.
41	J. S. S. S.	56 J. H. H. H.
42	J. H. H. H.	57 J. W. W. W.
43	J. A. A. A.	58 J. S. S. S.
44	J. S. S. S.	59 J. H. H. H.
45	J. H. H. H.	60 J. W. W. W.
46	J. W. W. W.	61 J. A. A. A.
47	J. S. S. S.	62 J. H. H. H.
48	J. H. H. H.	63 J. W. W. W.
49	J. A. A. A.	64 J. S. S. S.
50	J. S. S. S.	65 J. H. H. H.
51	J. H. H. H.	66 J. W. W. W.
52	J. W. W. W.	67 J. A. A. A.
53	J. S. S. S.	68 J. H. H. H.
54	J. H. H. H.	69 J. W. W. W.

46	John de Good	120	Baker
47	Finlay Mackenzie	121	W. Russell
48	A. H. Good	122	J. M. Goodie
49	Joseph Truesdale	123	John Lewis Jr
50		124	J. E. Woods
51	S. B. ...	125	B. D. ...
52		126	A. G. Galety
53		127	R. Wade
54	...	128	William Holloway
55	A. S. ...	129	S. A. ...
56	P. S. ...	130	Adam Beresford
57	John ...		
58	J. ...	131	...
59	G. P. Henderson	132	W. R. ...
60	W. ...	133	P. J. ...
61	S. ...		
62			
63	F. Slack		
64	S. W. Hamilton		
65	J. ...		
66	J. ...		
67	R. ...		
68	S. ...		
69			
70	J. ...		
71	P. ...		
72	M. ...		
73	W. ...		
74	W. ...		
75			
76	M. ...		

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Jan-6-1886

Therefore Court adjourned till tomorrow morning at nine O'clock

Second Judicial Day, Thursday Jan-7-1886

Court met pursuant to adjournment present the same Judge Commissioner and officers as on yesterday

Due proclamation of the opening of Court having been made the Journal of yesterday's proceeding was read and approved and is now here signed

A. G. Lewis
County Judge

On the matter of a proposed County road petitioned for by E. T. Neville et al

Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of petitioners herein filed to strike out portions of the remonstrance of R. D. Ruckman to the jurisdiction of the Court in the matter of said County road petitioned for as aforesaid the petitioners appearing by W. Baker and J. H. Crawford of Counsel and the remonstrator in person as well as by Shelton and Hardisty and Jas H. Slats of Counsel and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel and being now fully advised in the premises. Therefore it is considered and adjudged that said motion to strike out be and the same is hereby sustained.

Therefore Court adjourned till tomorrow morning at nine O'clock

Third judicial Day

Friday Jan 8th 1886

In the matter of a
County road petitioned }
for by E. P. Neville et al } 3

Now at this time
this cause coming on to be heard upon
the petition of E. P. Neville and 116 others
praying that a county road be laid out
and established in Union County Oregon
as follows to wit: Commencing at the
North West corner of Section thirty two town-
ship one south Range thirty nine East
Willamette Meridian thence North on the
section line between sections 29 & 30 in said
township, and Range one mile to and
terminating at the North West corner of
said section 29, all in Union County
Oregon. And it appearing to the Court
that said petition is signed by more
than twelve householders of said county
each of whom resides in the vicinity
of said proposed county road and it
further appearing to the Court from the
affidavit of J. W. Richart that due and
legal notice of this application has been
given as required by law, by posting
notices of this application at three public
places in the vicinity of said proposed
county road and also upon door
of Court House at Union Union Co.
Oregon for more than thirty days prior
to the 1st day of this term of Court to wit:
Jan 4th 1886 and that said notices
remained posted at the places aforesaid
from the date of posting, continuously
up to the said 4th day of Jan 1886

Therefore it is considered and adjudged
that O. P. Goodall, Ben. Brown and
J. B. Kellogg, be appointed viewers and
J. H. Corning, Surveyor to view survey
and report upon the said proposed

Third Judicial Day Friday Jan 8th 1886

county road and that they meet at the house of E. J. Neville on the first day of February A. D. 1886. At 10 O'clock A. M. and duly qualify before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf.

thereupon Court adjourned till tomorrow morning at nine O'clock

Fourth Judicial Day Saturday Jan 9-1886

Court met pursuant to adjournment present the same judge, commissioner and officers as on yesterday.

Due proclamation of the opening of Court having been made the Journal of yesterday's proceedings were read and approved and is now here signed.

A. G. Craig
County Judge

thereupon the following proceedings were had to wit:

thereupon Court adjourned till Monday morning at nine O'clock.

Fifth Judicial Day Monday Jan 11-1886

Court met pursuant to adjournment present the same judge, commissioner and officers as on yesterday.

First Judicial Day

Monday Aug 1st 1887

In the Matter of }
 Proposed County }
 Road petitioned for }
 by E. S. Neville et al }

At this time this matter comes on to be heard on the County road proposed and petitioned for by E. S. Neville as follows to-wit: Commencing at the North West corner of Section Thirtytwo Township one South Range Thirty-nine E. W. M. Thence North on the Sec line between Secs 29 & 30 in said Township and range one mile to and terminating at the NW. corner of said Sec 29 all in Union County Oregon And it appearing to the court that said proposed road was petitioned for by E. S. Neville and 115 others at the term of County Court held in January 1886 and that viewers and surveyor were appointed at that time, But that one R. D. Ruckman sued out a Writ of Review alleging that certain errors were committed by this Court, which stopped further proceedings at that time. It further appears that said Writ of Review was dismissed in Circuit Court and an appeal taken to the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon in which court the appeal was dismissed and the decision of the lower court affirmed and judgment ordered entered accordingly in the Circuit Court Journal of said Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Union County and on July 23rd 1887 said Circuit Court remanded this cause back to this Court for further proceedings. It is now therefore ordered that J. R. Kellogg, Ben Brown and John J. Pabler be and they are hereby appointed viewers and Moses Austin Surveyor to view survey and report on said proposed road and that they meet at the house of E. S. Neville on Saturday August 13th 1887 at 10 o'clock A.M. and duly qualify before they proceed with their labors in this behalf.

Thereupon it was ordered by the Court that Court be now adjourned till the next Regular Term.

O P Engel all
 County Judge

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Sept 7th 1887

be damaged by the construction of said road, as claimed. It is ordered by the Court that said report be approved and placed on records. The said report is in words and figures as follows, viz:-

Report of Appraisers.

In the Hon Co Court Union Co. Oregon:-

In the Matter of the Proposed
County Road petitioned
for by Wm McKinnell et al

Whereas on July 6th 1887, Wm Nash, Eli
Chandler and Louis Ferguson were appointed to view and
appraise damages in the claims of Samuel Painter, Samuel
Perry and A.W. Motley by reason of the ^{said} road.

The viewers report that they met and proceeded to view the
route of said road and are of opinion that the premises of
the said Samuel Painter, Samuel Perry and A.W. Motley are not
lessened in value by reason of said road

Dated Aug 17, 1887

W. M. Nash
Eli Chandler
Louis Ferguson

Appraisers

Wm Nash, Eli Chandler and Louis Ferguson being first duly
sworn depose and say each for himself, the above is my
report as appraisers, in the ~~Wm~~ McKinnell road, and that the
facts set forth therein are true to the best of my knowledge
and belief. So help me God.

W. M. Nash
Eli Chandler
Louis Ferguson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of August 1887

C. D. Wise
Justice of the Peace for Lower
Eagle Precinct Union Co. Oregon

In the Matter of the Proposed
County Road petitioned
for by E. D. Neville et al

At this time is presented to the Court
the reports of viewers and surveyor on the road petitioned for
by E. D. Neville et al and the reports having been read in open
Court for the first time are laid over for a second reading
on some other day of this term. By agreement of council

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Sept 7th 1887

it is ordered by the court that this matter be set for hearing on Friday Sept 9th at 1 o'clock P.M.

In the Matter of the Proposed }
County road petitioned for }
by J. M. McKusick et al. }

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the reports of viewers and surveyor heretofore appointed herein, and the reports having been read in open court for the first time are laid over for a second reading on some other day of this term.

In the Matter of the Appointment }
of }
Justice of the Peace for Lalsrande Precinct }

At this time is presented to the Court the petition of citizens of Lalsrande Precinct for the appointment of D.C. Kelly as Justice of the Peace for said precinct, and it appearing to the Court from said petition that the Precinct of Lalsrande is large and has over one thousand population, that there is one justice of the peace in said precinct elected by the people, but that conflicting interests and elements make the precinct greatly in need of another Justice of the Peace, and that D.C. Kelly is a suitable and competent person for such office, It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that said D.C. Kelly be and he is hereby appointed Justice of the Peace for said precinct of Lalsrande, to serve until his successor is duly elected and qualified.

Whereupon it was ordered by the Court that Court be now adjourned till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

South Judicial Day

Saturday Sept 10th 1887

Court met pursuant to adjournment the same officers being present as on yesterday.

Due proclamation of the opening of court having been made the Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read, approved, and is here now signed

O. P. Goodall
County Judge

Thereupon the following proceedings were had to-wit:-

In the matter of the
County Road petitioned
for by E. D. Neville et al

Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the petitioners to strike from the Remonstrance herein filed the names hereinafter mentioned appearing on said Remonstrance for the reason that each of said persons names appears upon the petition herein and that they each signed the same and are petitioners herein for said proposed road, the petitioners appearing by Baker & Baker, R. Eakin & D. W. Crawford of counsel and the Remonstrators by James H. Slater and J. W. Shelton of counsel, and the court having heard the arguments of counsel and being now fully advised as to the law and facts herein, and it appearing to the court that the following persons names appear upon the remonstrance herein and that each of said persons named have signed and are petitioners for the road herein petitioned for. It is therefore ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained and that the following names be and the same are hereby stricken off of said Remonstrance, viz:- J. W. Nowille, John M. D. Island G. P. Childers, J. C. Berry, Dan Hammock, W. L. German, R. L. McKenzie, John Shaw, D. Sommer, F. B. Eickhoff, C. A. Myers W. C. McLeod, Dindley McKenzie, Joseph Goodall, S. C. Johnston John McRea, B. D. Myers, G. P. Childers, W. S. Hamilton, A. G. Johnson, J. Pentecost, J. W. Childers, Ben See, A. A. Settlemier, L. C. Blevinger, Thomas McKenzie, Jacob End, Derry Duttle, J. M. Forder, John Lewis, P. C. Wade, A. Bereford, R. C. Childers, J. W. Duttle and N. L. Gygate.

Fourth Judicial Day

Saturday Sept 10th 1887

In the Matter of the Proposed }
 County Road petitioned for }
 by J. Korpanen et al

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the motion of J. Shephard and Ole Deidrickson to dismiss proceedings herein for the reason that the Court has not acquired Jurisdiction herein, Baker Shelton & Baker appearing for the motion and after argument of counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises It is ordered and adjudged that said ^{motion} be and the same is hereby overruled and denied and this matter is continued until the next regular Term of this Court to enable petitioners herein to amend their proof of posting notices. It is also ordered that the consideration of the petitions of J. Shephard and Ole Deidrickson for damages filed herein be and the same is hereby continued till the next regular Term of this Court.

In the Matter of the County }
 Road. petitioned for by }
 E. J. Neville et al

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the ~~the~~ petitions of R. D. Ruckman and M. J. Ruckman for damages on account of the proposed establishment of the County Road petitioned for by E. J. Neville et al described as follows to-wit: Commencing at the North West corner of Section 32 21st R 39th N. Thence North on the Section line between Secs 29 & 30 in said Tp Range one mile to and terminating at the N.W. corner of said Sec 29. And said R. D. Ruckman having claimed damages as follows viz. For land appropriated by said proposed road - 2 acres \$200, Depreciation in value of land described in petition on account of said road \$500, and Cost of building ^{additional} fence \$125 - making a total claim of \$825 damages, and M. J. Ruckman having claimed damages as follows viz. For 2 acres of land appropriated, \$200⁰⁰, Depreciation in value of land described in petition on account of said Road \$1,000⁰⁰, Damages to said 160 acres of land on account of the proposed road separating the pasture land from a certain spring \$1000⁰⁰, and for the necessary construction of fence \$500, making a total claim of \$2700 by Mrs M. J. Ruckman for damages so caused and a Grand total of \$3525⁰⁰ damages claimed by R. D. Ruckman and M. J. Ruckman his wife on account of the Establishment

Fourth Judicial Day

Saturday Sept 10th 1887

of the proposed County Road aforesaid. It is therefore ordered by the Court that Frank Mitchell, John Waggoner and James Payne be appointed to view said premises of R. D. Ruckman and M. J. Ruckman and the proposed County Road aforesaid and report to this Court at its next regular term to be held the first week in November how much less valuable the premises of said Ruckmans will be rendered by the construction and establishment of the proposed road aforesaid, And that said appraisers meet at the North West corner of Section 32 Δ 1st R 39th E. N. W. on Saturday the 24th day of September 1887 at 10 o'clock, and then and then proceed to view, assess, and report as aforesaid. And it is ordered by the Court that all further proceedings herein be continued till said Regular term of this Court.

In the Matter of }
County Poor

This matter coming on to be heard at this time on the various propositions made for the keeping of the County poor, and it appearing to the Court that it would be very expensive to purchase and keep up a poor farm and that the number of paupers now on the County would not justify such expenditure, and it further appearing to the Court that the County paupers are well cared for at present and are well satisfied with their treatment, and the present contractor having offered to keep the paupers for the ensuing year at \$7.50 per week for each pauper counting Armenta Gardner and her child $1\frac{1}{2}$ persons, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the contract for the year beginning Sept 8th 1887 and ending Sept 8th 1888 for keeping all County State and Orancient paupers, supplying them with the necessary food, clothing and funeral expenses of any in case of death, and nursing those who are sick and helpless be given to L. J. Broth Sr. for \$7.50 per week for each pauper, counting Armenta Gardner and child the same as $1\frac{1}{2}$ persons.

Be it remembered that at a regular term of the County Court held at the Court house in the City of Union on Wednesday the 9th day of November A.D. 1887 when were present:

Hon. O. D. Goodall,	County Judge.
John F. Christian	Commissioner
Eller Leep.	"
As. Neill	Clerk
A. M. Hamilton.	Sheriff.

Due Proclamation of the opening of Court having been made the following proceedings were had, to wit:

In the Matter of Settlement
with O. D. Thonlinson
County Assessor

At this time this matter comes on to be heard, and it appearing to the Court that the whole number of days taken by the Assessor and his deputies in assessing the County was 200 which at the price provided by law would amount to \$1200 as assessors fees for the year 1887.

That the assessor has collected 655 polls at one dollar each and applied to his own use and that the balance still due said O. D. Thonlinson as such assessor is \$545. It is therefore ordered by the Court that said assessor have credit on his account for such fees, to wit: \$1200. That he be charged with said polls, to wit: \$655, and that the clerk be ordered to issue warrants on the Treasurer for the balance, to wit: \$545.

In the Matter of Road
petitioned for by E. D.
Neville and others

This matter comes on now to be heard upon the report of appraisers heretofore appointed by the Court to appraise the damages that would accrue to R. D. M. J. Ruckman on account of the building of the proposed County road, and the said report having been read in open Court for the first time it is laid over for a second reading on another day of this term.

Second Judicial Day

Thursday Nov 10th 1887

Court met pursuant to adjournment, the same officers being present as on yesterday.

After proclamation of the opening of court having been made, the Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read, approved and is here now signed

C. P. Sovell

County Judge

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

In the Matter of the
County Road, petitioned
for by E. J. Neville et al.

Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the report of James Payne, John Wagner and Frank Mitchell, viewers heretofore appointed by the Court to view and assess the damages claimed by R. D. Ruckman and M. J. Ruckman by their complaints, ^{heretofore} filed herein and it appearing to the Court from said report that said viewers have viewed said proposed road the whole distance through the lands of both claimants and that they have assessed and determined that the premises of Complainant M. J. Ruckman will be rendered less valuable by the sum of \$300⁰⁰ by the opening of said Road and that the premises of Complainant R. D. Ruckman will not be rendered less valuable by the opening of the same and the Court being satisfied that said assessment of damages is just and equitable and that said proposed road is not of sufficient importance to the Public because said damages or any part thereof so assessed to be paid by the County. It is therefore ordered that the petitioners for said Road, to-wit: E. J. Neville et al. pay or cause to be paid to the Clerk of this Court the said sum of \$300⁰⁰ damages to be by said Clerk paid over to said M. J. Ruckman, and that in default of such payment on or before the first day of the next regular term of this Court, this Court will refuse to establish said road; And it is further ordered that this cause be continued for the term -

Third Judicial Day

Friday Jan'y 6th 1888

Court met pursuant to adjournment, the same officers being present as on yesterday.

Due proclamation of the opening of court having been made, the Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read, approved and is here now signed

O. P. Swadall

County Judge

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

In the matter of the
County Road petitioned
for by E. J. Neville et al.


Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of E. J. Neville et al. petitioners to modify the order of this court made at the last term thereof directing among other things that the petitioners pay to the clerk of this court for the use of M. J. Ruckman the sum of \$300⁰⁰, damages heretofore assessed to her by the viewrs appointed by the court for such purpose and the court having reconsidered said matter and upon a more careful consideration it appearing to the court that said road is of sufficient importance to the public to cause one half of said damages so assessed to be paid by the county, therefore it is hereby ordered that said petitioners pay one half of said damage, to-wit: the sum of \$150⁰⁰ forthwith to the clerk of this court for the use of said M. J. Ruckman and that the County of Union pay the other one half thereof and the clerk is hereby ordered to draw a warrant on the Treasurer in favor of said M. J. Ruckman for said sum of \$150⁰⁰ in payment of one half of said damages and the said order of this court made at the last term thereof is hereby modified accordingly.

Third Judicial Day

Friday Jan'y 6th 1888

In the matter of the }
County Road petitioned }
for by E. J. Neville et al

The report of the viewers appointed to view and lay out the above entitled Road having been received and read on two different days at a regular term of this Court and the said cause having been continued until the present term of Court for the adjustment and payment of the damages assessed to M. J. Ruckman by the laying out and opening of the same and it now appearing to the Court that no remonstrance with a greater number of remonstrators than these are names on the petition has been presented and that the damages assessed to M. J. Ruckman had been paid and the Court being satisfied that said road will be of public utility, It is therefore ordered that the plat of said Road, submitted with the report of the Viewers be recorded and the said road is hereby declared to be a public highway and the same is hereby ordered opened.



I started at the NW corner of Sec 32 31 S R 39 E. N. M. by planting a post marked R built mound of Earth, deposit glass and dug pits as per instructions. From which I run North Var. 19° 30' one 320 rods, one mile post from thence North Var 19° 30' East 2 rods to NW corner of Sec 29, 31 S Range 39 E. N. M. from which the 1 mile post, marked 1 M bears South var 19° 30' N.

J. N. Kimbrell Deputy
County Surveyor

I hereby certify that the above is a correct plat and notes of the proposed County Road, of E. J. Nevil and others ordered viewed and surveyed at the adjourned term of County Court of Union County Oregon in August 1887. This the 23rd day of Aug 1887

J. N. Kimbrell, Deputy County Surveyor.

Third Judicial Day

Friday Jan'y 6th 1888

We the undersigned Viewers have on this 23rd day of Aug 1887 carefully viewed and examined the above described proposed County Road and find it to be a good and practicable route and indispensable for the convenience of the Neighborhood, and would most respectfully recommend it be declared a public highway.

Aug the 23rd 1887

John J. Peebler }
 J. R. Kellogg } Viewers
 Ben Brown }

In the Matter of a }
 Liquor License petitioned }
 for by Chas W. Ladd }

At this time is presented to the Court the petition of Chas W. Ladd for a License to sell spirituous, malt and vinous liquors in quantities less than one quart for the period of six months in the precinct of Indian valley, and it appearing to the Court from proof filed herein that due and legal notice of the pendency of this proceeding has been given, that a good and sufficient undertaking having been filed herein, it further appearing that the petitioner herein has paid to the County Clerk the sum of \$50.00 to be paid by him to the County Treasurer, said Treasurer not being in his office today; that being the price required by law therefor, and petitioner having in all things else complied with the law herein, and no remonstrance having been filed herein or cause shown why such license should not issue, It is therefore ordered and decreed that that a License issue to said Chas W. Ladd authorizing and empowering him to keep and sell spirituous, malt, and Vinous Liquors in the Precinct of Indian Valley for the period of six months from the date hereof.

South Judicial Day

Saturday March 10th 1888.

and surveyor, for alleged informalities, and the Court having heard the arguments of Counsel in support of said motions and being fully advised in the premises It is ordered by the Court that both of said motions be and the same are hereby overruled and denied. And thereupon the said Thomas McCConnell files with the Court a claim for damages by reason of said proposed road running through the lands of Petitioner, to-wit: the whole of sec 20. and the south half of sec 17 in T. 3. S. of R. 39. E. W. M. claiming as such damages the sum of \$480⁰⁰. - And also at the same time comes Oliver Shaper and files with the Court a petition & claim for damages by reason of said proposed road, claiming damages in the sum of \$100. -

It is therefore ordered by the Court that three disinterested householders of Union County Oregon, to-wit: George Gray, George Wilhelm and Jackson Wright be and they are hereby appointed to view said proposed County road the whole distance through the lands of said petitioners, Thomas McCConnell and Oliver Shaper as above set forth and assess and determine how much less valuable the lands of said petitioners will be rendered by the opening of said road and report the same in writing to this Court at the next term thereof. That said Appraisers meet at the house of Mr. L. Baxter on the 19th day of March 1888 at 10 of Lock Ave. and that before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf they and each of them be duly sworn to perform their duty faithfully and impartially. -

In the matter of a proposed
County road petitioned for
by E. J. Nevills & others. }

Now at this time is presented to the Court a Certified copy of the order of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Union County made and entered on July 21, 1885 in a certain proceeding by writ of Review from this Court in the matter of said proposed road, sued out by R. D. Ruckman, from which it appears that said Circuit Court sustained said writ as to all proceedings of this Court subsequent to the filing of plaintiffs affidavit and motion for leave to prove that notices of the presentation of the petition to establish said County road had not been posted as by law directed including said motion and that said proceedings including and subsequent to the filing of said affidavit & motion were reversed, and said matter was remanded to this Court for further proceedings in conformity with said judgment. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the further consideration of this matter be continued until the adjourned term of this Court in April next.

Eighth Judicial Day

Thursday April 5th 1888

In the matter of the

Proposed County

Road petitioned for

by E. D. Neville et al.

Consideration of this matter be continued till the next regu-

lar term of this court.

At this time it is ordered that the further

In the matter of the

Proposed County Road

petitioned for by Chas

Goodstrong et al.

At this time by order of the court the papers

are allowed to be withdrawn by the petitioners from the files

of this office, and thereupon they were taken by J. L. Carvers.

At this time by order of the court the papers

In the matter of a

Road graded as

At this time it is ordered by the court that

the County Judge be instructed to purchase for the benefit of

the County one Boss Road graded and one good road flow.

At this time it is ordered by the court that

In the matter of the

County Road petitioned

for by J. L. Hindman et al.

At this time is presented to the court

the petition of J. L. Hindman and 12 others praying for

the establishment of a county road described as follows,

to-wit: Commencing at the S.W. corner of the N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 16

Tp 1 N R 40 E. T. M. and after that part of the County road which runs

thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile and thence N $\frac{1}{4}$ mile and connect the same & hereafter be

as follows, to-wit: Commencing at the above described starting point

and running thence N $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, again connecting

with the County road. And from this point we ask that you lay out

the following described County road, Commencing at the N.W. corner

of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 16 Tp 1 N. R. 40 E. T. M. and running thence E $\frac{1}{4}$

miles to S.E. corner of Sec 10 same Twp and R. Thence S $\frac{1}{2}$ mile,

thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, thence S $\frac{1}{4}$ mile. Thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile to the N.E. corner

of S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 14 same Twp and R. Thence in a direction

about S. by E a distance of about $\frac{7}{8}$ of a mile to a certain

At this time is presented to the court

the petition of J. L. Hindman and 12 others praying for

the establishment of a county road described as follows,

to-wit: Commencing at the S.W. corner of the N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 16

Tp 1 N R 40 E. T. M. and after that part of the County road which runs

thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile and thence N $\frac{1}{4}$ mile and connect the same & hereafter be

as follows, to-wit: Commencing at the above described starting point

and running thence N $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, again connecting

with the County road. And from this point we ask that you lay out

the following described County road, Commencing at the N.W. corner

of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 16 Tp 1 N. R. 40 E. T. M. and running thence E $\frac{1}{4}$

miles to S.E. corner of Sec 10 same Twp and R. Thence S $\frac{1}{2}$ mile,

thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile, thence S $\frac{1}{4}$ mile. Thence E $\frac{1}{4}$ mile to the N.E. corner

of S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 14 same Twp and R. Thence in a direction

about S. by E a distance of about $\frac{7}{8}$ of a mile to a certain

Second Judicial Day

Thursday May 17th 1888

In the Matter of the County
Road petitioned for by E. D.
Neville and others

This matter came on & was heard at this time and it appearing to the court that D. H. Crawford Esq. atty for the petitioners, is absent from town and can not be in attendance at this term of court It is ordered that this cause be continued until the next regular term of this court.

In the Matter of the
Matter of the proposed
County Road petitioned
for by J. N. Smith et al

At this time is presented to the court the petition of J. N. Smith and 13 others for a County road described as follows, viz: Commencing at the N.W. corner of Sec 20 T2 S. R39 E. N.M. Thence East 80 rods, thence North 160 rods to the County road at the N.E. corner of N.W. 1/4 of N.W. 1/4 Sec 17 same Township and Range. And that the following piece of road, viz: Commencing at said N.W. corner of section 20 T2 S. R39 E. N.M. Thence North 160 rods, thence East 80 rods to said N.E. corner of N.W. 1/4 of N.W. 1/4 Sec 17 T2 S. R39 E. N.M. be vacated. And it appearing to the court, ^{from proof filed herein} that due and legal notice of the pendency of this proceeding has been given, that more than 12 of said petitioners are householders living in the vicinity of the proposed road, that a good and sufficient undertaking has been filed herein and no remonstrance has been filed herein, ^{because} shown why such petition be not granted. It is therefore considered and ordered that

In the Matter of the
proposed County road
petitioned for by J. N.
Smith et al

This cause came on for hearing at this time on the reports of viewers and surveyors heretofore appointed herein for a second reading, and it appearing to the court that such reports are favorable and having been read on two different days of this term and no remonstrance having been filed or cause shown why such reports be not accepted and the road ordered opened.

Third Judicial Day

Saturday July 7th 1888

In the matter of the }
Proposed County Road }
petitions for by E. D. }
Neville et al

This matter came on for hearing and it appearing that the parties interested are not ready to proceed further at this time It is ordered by the Court that this matter be continued till the next regular term of this Court.

In the Matter of the }
Application of S. S. Apple }
for a Liquor License

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the application of S. S. Apple for a License to sell spirituous liquors in quantities less than one quart in the Precinct of Kilgard And it appears from proof filed herein that Petitioner had complied with all the requirements of the law herein, but a Remonstrance having been filed herein having more names than on the petition, It is ordered by the Court that the petition be overruled and denied.

In the Matter of County }
Commissioners Casting }
lots for the long and the }
short term

At this time this matter came on for action under the provisions of an Act of the Legislature approved February 21st 1887 therein it is provided: "That there shall be elected, at the first general election occurring after the passage of this act, two County Commissioners; one of such County Commissioners shall hold his office for two years and the other for four years, and said two Commissioners elected at such first occurring general election, shall draw lots for the short and long terms; and thereafter at each general election one County Commissioner shall be elected who shall hold his office for the term of four years and until his successor is elected and qualified." And in appearing to the Court that at the last general election held on June 4th 1888, the same being the "first general election held after the passage of the act" above set out, there were elected two County

Fourth Judicial Day

Saturday Sept 9th 1888

Court met pursuant to adjournment.

The same officers were present as on yesterday

Due proclamation of the opening of court having been made, the Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read approved and is here now signed.

O. P. Goodall
County Judge

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to wit:--

In the Matter of the Proposed }
County Road petitioned for }
by G. D. Neville et als. }

This matter came on regularly to be heard, and on agreement of counsel it is ordered that the same be continued till the next regular term of this court.

In the matter of }
Samuel Perry a }
County charge }

This matter came on to be heard, and it appearing to the court that Samuel Perry, who has been a county charge for several months with an allowance of \$20.00 per month is very low with pulmonary disease and himself and family very destitute, and that the allowance of \$20.00 per month is not enough to supply them with the necessaries of life and care, and medical attendance, It is therefore ordered by the court that said allowance be increased to \$30.00 per month and the clerk is instructed to ~~draw~~ warrant on the Treasurer for said amount of thirty dollars at the beginning of each month and send the same to said Samuel Perry at Halfway Union County Oregon.

In the Matter of the }
Proposed County Road }
petitioned for by G. M. }
Richey and others }

Now comes G. M. Richey one of the petitioners for the above named proposed County Road and presents to the court the

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Nov 7th 1888

In the Matter of the
Granting of a liquor
License to Gallagher
& Cunningham

This matter came on to be heard upon the petition of Gallagher & Cunningham for a license to sell spirituous malt and vinous liquors in quantities less than one quart in the Precinct of Cornucopia for the period of six months. And it appearing to the Court from proof filed herein that due and legal notice has been given of the pendency of this proceeding, and that the petitioners have paid into the County treasury the sum of fifty Dollars, the price required by law therefor, and has produced and filed the Treasurer's receipt for the same, that they have filed a good and sufficient undertaking herein and in all things else complied with the law and no remonstrances having been filed herein or cause shown why said petition be not granted. It is therefore considered and ordered that a license issue to said Gallagher & Cunningham, authorizing and empowering them to keep and offer for sale spirituous, malt and vinous liquors in quantities less than one quart in the Precinct of Cornucopia for the period of six months from the date hereof.

In the Matter of the
Proposed Road
petitioned for by
C. W. Hamilton et al

This cause came on to be heard on the Report of the Appraisers heretofore appointed by the Court to assess the damages that would accrue to J. L. A. Richardson, J. M. Jones and Jackson Wright on account of the construction of said proposed road. And it appearing to the Court that the damages so awarded by said appraisers is excessive and unreasonable and that neither the County nor the petitioners would be justified in paying the same, and that the road would not be of sufficient utility to warrant either the County or petitioners in paying said award of damages. It is considered and ordered by the Court that all the proceedings in said matter be, and they are hereby dismissed.

In the Matter of the Road
petitioned for by C. W. Hamilton et al

This cause having been continued from term to term, and it appearing that the petitioners do not desire to prosecute the cause further, It is ordered dismissed