

No

in

Circuit

Court

Mary Clark

Plaintiff

vs

Frank Clark

Defendant

Action for

Divorce

Docket

Page

A. J. Lawrence


Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

RECEIVED  
MAY 1 1900  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
NEW YORK

1895  
 Dec 14 Petition & Remonstrance, Court  
 Journal C p 452  
 July 10, Suspensions of Court  
 Journal C p 493  
 July 10 Viewers affid  
 Journal C p 495  
 Sept 9 Continuance  
 Journal C p 514  
 Nov 4 Dismissed  
 Journal C p 516  
~~Jan 10 Motion to strike out judgment~~  
~~Journal C p 517~~

Road  
 Commencing at N W cor Sec 37 T 1 S  
 R 29 E to N W cor Sec 19



DIRECTIONS—Place papers on TOP OF RUBBER  
 Fold ends first, then sides. Throw the rubber cords  
 over the ends and packets complete.

To the Honorable court, court of  
 the state of Oregon for Union County  
 The undersigned would most  
 respectfully represent that they and  
 each of them are resident house-  
 holders within Union County Oregon  
 and that they and each of them  
 reside in the vicinity of the  
 hereinafter described proposed county  
 Road. And as such resident  
 householders as aforesaid we the  
 said undersigned hereby petition the  
 said Honorable court to lay out  
 and locate as required by law  
 a county Road as follows.  
 Commencing at the north  
 west corner of Section thirty two  
 in Township No. one South of Range  
 No. thirty nine East of the  
 Willamette Meridian. Thence  
 North on the Section line which  
 divides Sections 29 & 30 of said  
 Township, and Range one mile to the  
 west  
 North corner of said Section 29 - all  
 within  
 Union County Oregon

No. 1	E. T. Knull	Wm. G. Knull	23
2	J. H. Stewart	Wm. S. Daviner	24
3	C. H. Daniel	Joseph Hoover	25
4	J. R. Stansell		
5	J. G. Briggs		
6	J. L. Roe	O. D. Carr	26
7	J. N. Smith	Frank H. Selous	27
8	J. W. Lytle	Wm. G. Knull	
9	E. H. Chover	Wm. B. Robertson	28
10	L. S. Wyzant	S. C. Byers	29
11	J. H. Knull	Jacob Collins	30
12	David Bradwell	William E. Kinchast	31
13	J. W. Garman	L. Graves	32
14	J. W. Mitchell	Wm. Woodell	33
15	Wm. E. Guertart	Wm. Rube	34
16	John M. Rae	J. W. Woodell	35
17	Wm. D. Dwell	W. H. Patton	36
18	H. P. Throg	D. Sommer	37
19	A. Shaw	B. D. Slibers	38
20	A. Hamilton	A. O. A. Myers	39
21	E. B. Morlock	A. A. Myers	40
22	J. M. Jordan	Wm. H. Biggs	41
		Jacob End	42
		J. J. Russell	43
		W. H. Russell	44
		A. W. M. Reservoir	45
		A. M. Laughlin	46
		D. J. Allen	47
		R. M. Oliver	48

Notice is hereby given to all persons concerned that at the next regular session of the County Court - of the State of Oregon for Union County to be holden in May 1855 - The undersigned Resident - householders within Union County - State of Oregon to the number of Twelve - or more and each of whom reside in the vicinity of the hereinafter described proposed County Road - and each of whom has signed the petition for the location of the said described proposed County Road will make application to the said County Court - to lay out - and locate a County Road as follows viz - Commencing at the North West corner of Section thirty two in Township No - one - south of Range No - thirty nine east of the Willamette Meridian thence North on the section line bounding Sections 29 & 30 of said Township & Range one mile to the North West corner of said section 29. all in Union County Oregon

No	Names	Names	No
1	E. T. Karle	W. S. Darner	No 28
2	J. A. Rumbert	Joseph Oliver	29
3	W. G. Neville	E. D. Barn	30
4	G. W. Stansell	Frank H. Silvers	31
5	J. R. Stansell	Wm. J. Marshall	31
6	J. C. Bly	W. B. Hamerton	32
7	J. L. Roe	S. H. Byers	33
8	J. N. Smith	Jacob Collins	34
9	J. W. Lytle	William C. Kinchast	35
10	W. H. Oliver	J. Graves	36
11	Chas. Wyatt	Wm. Woodell	37
12	A. M. Kville	Thomas Duke	38
13	David Bradwell	J. A. Woodell	39
14	J. W. Hammond	W. H. Peter	40
15	A. J. Patton	D. Sommer	41
16	H. J. Myers	B. D. Hubers	42
17	Wm. Grigg	Jacob C. End	43
18	S. A. Allen	J. F. Russell	44
19	G. W. Mitchell	W. H. Kauer	45
20	John McRae	J. M. Laughlin	46
21	Wm. M. Dowell	W. H. Kinchast	48
22	C. P. Thre		
23	A. Shaw		
24	A. N. Hamilton		
25	E. B. Morlock		
26	J. H. Spade		
27	R. M. Oliver		

State of Oregon  
County of Union J. E. Neville  
do hereby certify that I posted the  
notice of which the within  
is a true duplicate thereof  
on the Court House door at  
Union in Union County  
State of Oregon - on the  
28<sup>th</sup> day of March 1885 - also  
three other true duplicates  
of said within notice on  
the 27<sup>th</sup> day of March 1885  
one at the beginning of a  
proposed road described in  
said notice - one at the  
terminus of said proposed  
road and one upon the  
District School House at  
the line of said proposed  
road - the said places of post-  
ing said notices being 3  
public places in the vicin-  
ity of said proposed road  
as described in said notice  
and each of them - and  
that said notices so posted  
as aforesaid - remained so  
posted in said places above.

described continuously for  
the period of 30 days or more  
immediately after said post-  
ing -

E T Nottle

Subscribed and sworn

to before me this 14

day of May 1855

B J Milrow

Clerk

To the Hon. County Court of Union County  
State of Oregon

Whereas the petition for a County  
Road signed by E. T. Neville and 47 others asking  
your Hon. body to locate a county road (way) commencing  
at the North West corner of section 32 Township one South  
Range 39 East Willamett meridian thence North on the  
dividing line between sections 29 and 30 and terminating  
at the North West corner of section 29 Township one South Range  
39 East Willamett meridian. Therefore I E. T. Neville of the  
County of Union State of Oregon upon my oath do hereby

say that I posted one notice of the above described road at  
the Court House in Union County Seat of Union County  
State of Oregon also three other notices at the most conspicuous  
places on the above described road (way) one at the beginning corner  
as above stated one at the School House on said road and one  
at the North West corner of section 29 Township one South Range  
39 Willamett meridian all of said notices were posted up thirty  
days previous to presentation at your regular Term of Court in May  
A.D. 1885 <sup>copies of</sup> said notices were tacked on boards with leather keepers  
to each tack & firmly nailed up at the above described places.

Subscribed and sworn to  
before me this 28th day of  
March 1885

E. T. Neville

Filed for record  
at 10 o'clock  
P. M.  
County Clerk



Know all Men by these Presents:

THAT J. E. T. Neville Union

and ..... of the County of Marion and  
State of Oregon, ~~are~~ held and firmly bound unto the said County of Marion in the sum of  
Two Hundred Dollars, the payment of which, well and truly  
to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators firmly by these presents.

Signed this 14th day of May A. D. 1885

Now the condition of the above obligation is such, that whereas, if the County Road petitioned  
for by E. T. Neville and others, and presented  
at the May term, 1885, of the County Court of said Marion county, commencing

at the NW. Corner of Section 32  
in T. 1 S. of R. 39 E. and running  
thence north on the section line between  
Sections 29 & 30 - to said T. & R. 1 mile to NW. Corner  
of said Section 29 - in said T. & R. all in Union  
County Oregon  
be located and established, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force  
and virtue in law.

E. T. Neville

STATE OF OREGON,  
COUNTY OF Marion ss.

I, E. T. Neville and

each for himself says that I am worth the sum  
of Two Hundred Dollars over and above all debts and liabilities and property  
exempt from execution, and ~~that~~ I am a resident and householder in said County and State.

E. T. Neville

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 14th

day of May A. D. 1885

B. P. Niland

Clerk.

... ..

Road

Steville Road

Filed MAY 14 1885

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.

*W. J. Wilson*

County Clerk.

STATE OF OHIO

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

In the County Court of the  
State of Oregon for Union County  
In the Matter of the  
Road Petitioned for  
by E. A. Merville and others

Now at this time comes  
E. A. Merville and others Petiti-  
tioners and in reply to the  
Remonstrance of Martha J.  
Ruckman a R. D. Ruckman  
her husband being filed.  
Do hereby state said Martha J.  
Ruckman is a householder  
residing in the vicinity of  
said proposed road - and that  
said Martha J. Ruckman is  
a householder and all -  
Do hereby state W. M. Merville - H. W.  
Stansell - J. R. Stansell or  
S. C. Byers or either of them  
are not householders  
Do hereby state J. P. Berg - J. L. Roe  
J. U. Smith - J. W. Lytle - E. W.  
Oliver - G. H. Wyatt - David Bird-  
well - D. A. Allen - B. W. Mitchell  
D. W. E. Rinehart - John McCrae  
C. D. McDowell H. R. Plerce

A. Shaw - A.M. Hamilton -  
E.B. Mardock - J.M. Fordice -  
F.W. Hamrick - W.B. Hamilton  
Jacob Collins - W<sup>m</sup> E. Ricketts  
Henry Ruhl - J.T. Woodell -  
W.H. Patten - D. Tomner -  
D.B. Hubbs - A.J. Patten - H. J.  
Myers - W. H. Briggs - Jacob Ed  
W.J. Russell - W.H. Russell  
S.H. McKenzie - J. N. Layblin -  
Joseph Oliver or R.M. Oliver or  
all or any - or either of them  
do not reside in the vicinity  
of said proposed Road

Deming that Petitioners said  
Petition for said proposed  
Road - does not contain  
the names of twelve house-  
holders residing in the vicinity  
of said proposed road - or  
that said Petition contains  
any less number of house-  
holders residing in the vicin-  
ity of said proposed road -  
than (48) households  
residing in the vicinity  
thereof -  
Wherefore Petitioners pray as

in their Petition —

Baker & Baker

and T. H. Crawford

Attys for Petitioners —

State of Oregon  
County of Union I E. T. Neville  
being first duly sworn say  
that I am one of the Petitioners herein and that  
the foregoing reply is true  
so I verily believe

E. T. Neville

Subscribed and sworn  
to before me this 14th day  
of May 1853 —

B. J. Wilson  
Clerk

Winnick, Clerk  
Dennis Crunk

Also the matter of the  
grad Petitioned  
for by G. J. Swille  
et al

~~Amphibolites~~ 25  
Remuneration

Filed MAY 14 1885

at ... o'clock, ... min, ... m.

A. J. McLean  
County Clerk.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon  
for Union County

In the matter of the  
Roads Petitioned for  
by E. S. Cheill Estate

Now at this time Comes

Worthy R. D. Reckman & R. D. Reckman his husbands  
And remonstrate against granting an order to  
View Survey or lay out the Road prayed for in  
the petition filed herein and for cause of  
Remonstrance and objections alleg

1<sup>st</sup> That remonstrators are householders  
residing in the vicinity of said proposed  
Road and are owners of the SE 1/4 Sec 30 S 26 1/4  
R 29 T 1 S R 39 E Willamette Township

Through which said Road is proposed  
to be viewed surveyed and laid out  
and established

2<sup>nd</sup> W. G. Cheill, G. H. Sturcell, J. R. Sturcell  
& J. C. Myers are not nor is either of them  
householders, wherefore remonstrators, that

3<sup>rd</sup> the names of said above named persons  
be stricken from said petition and not  
to be accepted thereon

3<sup>rd</sup> That J. G. Berry, J. L. Rae, J. C. Smith  
J. W. Lytle, E. M. Oliver, C. G. Wyatt, <sup>and his wife</sup>  
D. A. Allen, B. H. Mitchell & H. C. Reischert  
John McKee & D. M. Danell A. P. Thoms

A. Shaw A. C. Hamilton Esq. Moulton  
 J. M. Fardice H. W. Hammond N. B. Hamilton  
 Jacob Collins W. E. Riechert Henry Rukls  
 J. S. Wadell W. H. Patten D. Sommer  
 B. D. Hubbs A. J. Patten H. W. Myers  
 W. H. Grigg Jacob. End J. J. Russell  
 W. H. Russell <sup>W. R. Woodruff</sup> S. L. McKingie J. W. Langlin

Joseph Olmsted

R. M. Oliver ~~also~~ not reside in the  
 Vicinity of said proposed road  
 and unopposed except to said  
 names or either of them being committed  
 on said petition and prays the Court  
 that said petition may be dismissed  
 for the reason

that it does not contain the  
 names of true householders residing  
 in the vicinity of said proposed  
 Road

J. W. Shelton  
 Atty for unopposed

State of Oregon }  
 County of Union } J. R. B. Ruckman  
 being first duly sworn say I am  
 one of the unopposed above named  
 that the statements contained in the  
 foregoing unopposed are true as  
 I truly believe

R. B. Ruckman

sworn to & subscribed  
 before me this 14<sup>th</sup> day  
 of May 1885

B. F. Wilson  
 Clerk



County Court  
Union County

In the matter of the  
Estate of William  
by E. J. Powell et al

Commissaries

Filed MAY 14 1885

at ... o'clock, ... min. ... m.  
J. S. Richard  
County Clerk.

J. W. Hull et al  
for Commissaries

In the County Court of the State of  
Oregon for Union County sitting  
As a Board of Commissioners

In the matter of the }  
petition of E. J. Neville }  
et al for a county }  
road }

Now on this  
the 10th day of July 1885 this matter  
coming on to be heard upon the  
petition of E. J. Neville and others  
and upon the remonstrance of R. L.  
Ruckman and Martha J. Ruckman  
and upon the reply of petitioners to  
said remonstrance W. Baker and  
J. M. Crawford <sup>and A. Meachen</sup> of Counsel appearing  
for the petitioners and J. W. Shelton and  
J. H. Slater <sup>of counsel appearing for remonstrators</sup> and the Court having  
heard the testimony in the matter and  
the arguments of Counsel find the  
following facts:

That the following named persons are  
petitioners for said proposed road  
E. J. Neville, J. Ch. Riechark, G. W. Stansell  
D. R. <sup>his</sup> Stansell, G. G. Wyatt, C. D. McDowell  
<sup>written by E. J. Neville</sup> S. H. Neville, W. P. Groe  
J. G. Berry, David Bridwell, A. Shaw  
J. L. Roe, J. W. Harwood, A. H. Hamilton  
J. M. Smith, J. W. Mitchell, E. B. Morelock  
J. W. Pyle, W. C. Riechark, J. W. Jordice  
E. W. Oliver, John Mc Rae

1	H. J. Neville	V. J. Daviner	Joseph Oliver
2	E. P. Gam	Frank <sup>his</sup> Glover	W. B. Hamilton
3	S. G. Byers	Jacob <sup>with mark &amp; J. Neville</sup> Collins	William E. Richards
4	L. Graves	Henry Ruhl	J. J. Woodell
5	Wm Woodell	W. N. Patten	R. Sommer
6	B. W. Hubers	A. J. Patten	A. A. Myers
7	W. H. Griggs	Jacob Cud	J. J. <sup>with E. J. Neville</sup> Russell
8	W. H. Russell	S. L. McKenzie	J. W. Laughlin
9	W. A. Allen	R. M. Oliver	

10  
 11 The Court finds the following named persons  
 12 to be householders residing in the vicinity  
 13 of the proposed line of road

14	H. J. Neville	not objected to by remonstrators
15	J. H. Richards	not objected to by remonstrators
16	J. G. Berry	residing 1 1/4 miles from road
17	J. L. Roe	" 2 " " "
18	J. C. Smith	" 3 1/2 " " "
19	J. W. Sytle	" 3 3/4 " " "
20	E. H. Oliver	" 4 " " "
21	W. G. Wyatt	" 4 1/2 " " "
22	J. H. Neville	not objected to by remonstrators
23	David Bridwell	residing 1 3/4 miles from road
24	J. W. Hammond	" 3/4 " " "
25	J. W. Mitchell	" 2 1/4 " " "
26	W. W. E. Richards	" 3 1/2 " " "
27	John W. Roe	" 3 1/2 " " "
28	W. W. Mc Dowell	" 3 1/2 " " "
29	W. P. Howe	" 3 1/2 " " "
30	A. J. Haw	" 3 1/2 " " "
31	A. H. Hamilton	" 3 " " "
32	E. B. Morelock	" 3 1/2 " " "

1	J. W. Fordice	residing	3 1/2	miles	from	road
2	C. D. Gann	not objected by remonstrators				
3	Frank Jones	" " " "				
4	W. B. Hamilton	residing	3	miles	from	road
5	Jacob Collins	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
6	William T. Richark	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
7	L. Graves	not objected to by remonstrators				
8	William Woodell	residing	2	miles	from	road
9	Henry Puhl	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
10	J. T. Woodell	"	1 1/2	"	"	"
11	W. H. Patten	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
12	Sam Jones	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
13	B. D. Hubers	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
14	A. J. Patten	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
15	H. A. Myers	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
16	W. H. Briggs	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
17	Jacob Eud	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
18	T. J. Russell	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
19	W. H. Russell	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
20	J. L. Mc Kenzie	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
21	J. N. Laughlin	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
22	D. A. Allen	"	3 1/2	"	"	"
23	R. W. Oliver	"	2 3/4	"	"	"

24  
 25 The court finds that the following names  
 26 petitioners are not householders residing  
 27 in the vicinity of the proposed road  
 28 J. R. Stausell not a householder  
 29 J. W. Stausell " " "  
 30 S. L. Byers " " "  
 31 W. G. Wille " " "

The Court finds as a conclusion of law upon the facts found, that more than twelve to-wit: forty four of the petitioners for the proposed County road are legal householders residing in the vicinity of the <sup>said</sup> proposed road. And therefore the prayer of the petitioners will be granted and it is so ordered.

A. Craig Judge presiding  
John DeGlanville Commissioner  
George A. Miles "

Dated this July 10th 1885

In the County Court  
Union County Oregon

On the matter of the proposed road petitioned for by E. S. Beville et al  
Findings of the Court

Filed JUL 10 1885  
at ... o'clock, ... min. ... m.  
P. J. McFarland  
County Clerk

In the Matter of  
the Road Petition  
for by E. S. O'Keefe et al

Wesley Hammond a witness called  
by petitioners was asked on Cross  
Examination if he has ever seen  
road. to which question petitioners  
counsel objected which objection was  
by the Court sustained. to which  
ruling of the Court in sustaining  
said objection Counsel for respondents  
thereat then excepted. which  
Exception was then and there allowed  
by the Court  
et al

Albany  
George Stokes

John S. Standley

~~State of New York is not a road on all  
sides of the track of said road running  
across~~

what additional Commission will  
this proposed road be to you which  
you do not now enjoy  
to which question petitioners  
counsel objected which objection  
is by the Court sustained. and  
Counsel for respondents excepted to the  
ruling of the Court in sustaining

FILED JUL 11 1895

at o'clock, min. a.m.

R. J. Wilcox  
County Clerk

FILED JUL 11 1895

at o'clock, min. a.m.

R. J. Wilcox  
County Clerk

Said objection which Exception is allowed

A. C. Craig

George H. Hester

John L. Standley

County Commissioners

32 State if there is now a road on all sides of the tract of land embracing Section 30 and the W/4 of Section 29 of Township 1 South of Range 39 East and if so State if such road was in existence at the time you began this petition & which fund ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> used in going to the County Seat or to mill or to market or other place for your <sup>own</sup> convenience - to which question petitioners Counsel object which objection is by the Court and remonstrators Counsel except which Exception is allowed by the Court

A. C. Craig

George H. Hester

John L. Standley

County Commissioners

24. Now a witness called on the part of petitioners was an Cross Examination asked the following question: Do you live on any road to which question petitioners Counsel object which objection is by the Court sustained

Filed JUL 11 1896

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.

B. F. Hibbard

County Clerk

Filed JUL 11 1896

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.

B. F. Hibbard

County Clerk

And remonstrates Council Except  
which Exception is by the Court  
Allowed

A. Craig  
George W. H. S.

John G. Standley

County Commissioners

state that if any convenience the  
opening of the proposed road will  
be begun. to which question petitioner  
Council objected which objection  
is by the Court sustained and  
remonstrates Council Excepted which  
Exception is by the Court allowed

A. Craig  
George W. H. S.

John G. Standley

County Commissioners

1 John Berry a witness on the part of  
petitioner on Cross Examination was  
asked the following question-

There was a road running east and  
west just north of the premises on  
which you resided when you  
signed the petition which connected  
with the County road from Summerville  
to Union & with a public road from  
Island City to Summerville was there  
not which question petitioner

FILED JUL 11 1895

at o'clock min. m.

P. J. Wilson

County Clerk.

FILED JUL 11 1895

at o'clock min. m.

P. J. Wilson

County Clerk.



Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... o'clock, min. / m.

B. J. Wood  
County Clerk

Counsel objected, which objection is by the Court sustained which remonstrates Counsel except which Exception is by the Court allowed

A. Craig  
George Apple

John C. Stanley

County Commissioners  
2<sup>nd</sup> Will the opening of the proposed road in any respect afford for any Commission which for do not now enjoy to which question utilities Counsel objected to which objection is by the Court sustained and remonstrates Counsel except which Exception is by the Court allowed

A. Craig  
George Apple

John C. Stanley

County Commissioners

3<sup>rd</sup> State of them is not a road and by the public mining north and south through the middle of section 29 T 1 South of Range 39 East and from the NE<sup>th</sup> corner of W 1/2 of said section to the north west quarter corner of section 30 of said

Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... o'clock, ... min. ... m.

*B. J. Mitchell*

County Clerk.

Lyman & Runge parties on  
the line of said lot named  
said section, hence east to  
the day's east corner of said  
west half of section 29 to which  
petition petitioners Counsel object  
which objection is by the Court sustained  
and remonstrated Counsel except  
which Exception is by the Court  
Allowed

*Albion*  
George H. H. H.

John C. Standley

County Commissioner

J. W. Mitchell a witness on the  
part of petitioners was an expert  
Examination asked the following  
question

Will the opening of the proposed  
road furnish you any Commission  
of travel which you do not now  
have to which question petitioners  
Counsel object which objection  
is by the Court sustained and  
remonstrated Counsel except  
which Exception is by the Court  
Allowed

Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... o'clock, ... min. ... m.

*B. J. Mitchell*

County Clerk.

*Albion*  
George H. H. H.

FILED JUL 11 1885

at ... o'clock ... min. P.M.

B. J. Nelson

County Clerk

John C. Standley

County Commissioner

2<sup>nd</sup> State of in connection with the  
 road from Union to Sumnerville  
 which you now do live on if  
 there is not a road used by the  
 public and fenced into a lane  
 entirely around Section 30 & the  
 west half of section 29 & 1 south  
 of Range 39 East. to which  
 question petitioners caused an  
 objection which objection is by  
 the Court sustained and  
 unannulable around except  
 which exception is by the Court  
 allowed

Albraig

George Akles

John C. Standley

County Commissioner

Wm. Woodall a witness on the  
 part of petitioners was asked  
 the following question  
 State do you live on any  
 road - to which question petitioners  
 caused objection which objection is  
 by the Court sustained and  
 unannulable around except  
 which exception is by the Court  
 allowed

FILED JUL 11 1885

at ... o'clock ... min. P.M.

B. J. Nelson

County Clerk

Allowed

A. Craig  
George Acker

John C. Standley

County Commissioner

2<sup>nd</sup> do you not live on a road  
public road leading from  
north from Island City to  
Sumnerville and on the west  
side of your road. To which  
question Petitioner Counsel <sup>objected</sup> ~~objected~~  
which ~~objection~~ <sup>objection</sup> is by the Court  
sustained and remonstrated  
Counsel except which Exception  
is by the Court allowed

A. Craig  
George Acker

John C. Standley

County Commissioner

3<sup>rd</sup> would the proposed road  
frustrate any business or  
travel which you do not now have  
to which question Petitioner  
Counsel objected which objection  
is by the Court sustained  
and remonstrated Counsel  
except which Exception is  
by the Court allowed

Filed JUL 11 1895  
at ... o'clock  
P. H. McLeod  
County Clerk.

Filed JUL 11 1895  
at ... o'clock  
P. H. McLeod  
County Clerk.

Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... o'clock, m.  
B. P. Mahan  
County Clerk

Albraig  
George Apple  
John C. Standley

County Commissioners  
 R. O. Oliver a witness called on the  
 part of petitioners was on cross examination  
 asked the following question  
 you live on the road from  
 Union to Summerville do you not?  
 to which question petitioners counsel  
 objected, which objection was by the  
 Court sustained with the sustaining of  
 which objection removals counsel  
 excepted which exception was by  
 the Court allowed

Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... o'clock, m.  
B. P. Mahan  
County Clerk

Albraig  
George Apple  
John C. Standley

County Commissioners  
 2<sup>nd</sup> will the opening of this road from  
 you any assurance of title which  
 you do not now have to which question  
 petitioners counsel objected which  
 objection was by the Court sustained  
 with the sustaining of which objection  
 removals counsel excepted which  
 exception is by the Court allowed

Albraig  
George Apple

John C. Stuedley

County Commissioner  
Wm. G. Orrell a witness called  
as the part of petitioner testified  
as follows. I am a son of petitioner  
E. F. Orrell. I regard the petition  
I have been named & am a widow  
now my wife died while I was building  
a house. I had bought furniture  
for my house. Am the owner of  
a portion of this furniture yet. I  
own land where my house is situated  
since my wife died I had lived  
with my father & have helped furnish  
the living for his family. I never lived  
in my house. I have my own  
household effects independent of my  
father I am 31 years old  
whereupon ~~My~~ ~~uncle's~~ ~~Counsel~~  
moved to Exclude said testimony  
from the consideration of the Court  
for the reason that said testimony  
was incompetent irrelevant and  
immaterial which motion was by  
Court overruled to which ruling  
of the Court ~~My~~ ~~uncle's~~ ~~Counsel~~  
at the time Excepted which  
Exception is by the Court allowed.

Filed JUL 11 1895  
at ... o'clock, min. p.m.

R. D. Redmon  
County Clerk

County Commissioners  
E. W. Dumbler Called on the part of  
petitioners on his Cross-examination  
was asked the following question.  
Is not the present road from  
Summersville to Union referred to in  
the two last questions and answers  
as convenient for the people of  
traveling thereon between Summersville  
and Union as the proposed road  
would be - to which question  
petitioners answer object which  
objection is by the Court sustained  
to which ruling of the Court in  
sustaining said objection  
Union voters answer except  
which exception is by the Court  
Allowed

Albraig  
George Apple  
John C. Standley

Revised County Commissioners  
R. D. Redmon & Union Called  
on part of Union voters that  
asked the following question  
Is there or not a public road  
running from what is known

ph

Filed JUL 11 1895

at ... of ...

as to Booth Lane at the Township  
 Corner on the South line of  
 Township 2 South of Range  
 38 & 39 East through on the  
 Township line between said  
 townships and between Townships  
 South of said Range intersecting  
 the County road leading from  
 Quimmerville to Union near  
 the half mile corner on the  
 East line of section 13 & 14  
 of R. 38 & West line of section  
 18 of T 1 S of 39 E. having been  
 extensively traveled for the past  
 five or 10 years & now used in  
 a lane almost the entire length  
 said lane being about 60 feet in  
 length width and now used  
 as a public highway. That all  
 or nearly all the land between  
 Quimmerville & Island City &  
 La Grande passes over said  
 line of road that the U.S. Mail  
 between said points passes over  
 said road. And that there is  
 a road used in a lane  
 about 60 feet in width running  
 from the South line of on the



West side of T 10 of R 39 E  
on the Section line between  
Sections 30 & 31 in said Township  
Thence East to the half mile Corner  
State on the south line of section  
29 in the same township  
intersecting at the last named  
point the County road leading  
from Sumnerville, Wisconsin. That  
said Road has been used  
and traveled for about 10 years  
was fenced and is used as a  
public highway.

And that there is a  
road leading from the Township  
line on the west side of T 10 of R  
39 East beginning at the section  
Corner between Sections 19 & 30  
of said Township. Thence East  
on the Section line, intersecting  
the County road leading from  
Sumnerville, Wisconsin  
That said road has been  
traveled some seven or  
eight years and has been  
fenced in a lane about five  
or six years and has been and  
is now used as a public highway

And said questions are by  
Consent of Counsel for petitioners  
and respondents considered as  
formally asked and objected  
to. which objection is by the  
Court sustained with which ruling  
of the Court is sustained said  
objection respondents Counsel  
except which Exception is by  
the Court allowed

Albion  
George Miller  
John C. Sturtevant  
County Commissioners

JUL 11 1895

Wm. J. Wilson  
County Clerk

And that the  
 Unmonstrous Council also  
 offered to prove by the records  
 of the County Court of Union  
 County Oregon & showing  
 that prior to the signing of  
 said petition there was laid  
 out and established as a County  
 road from Summer ville to Union  
 Flat said road was ordered  
 opened and has not been located  
 that the line of said Road  
 makes a point at the half mile  
 corner on the south line of  
 Section 19 in T 10 of R 39 East  
 and runs thence <sup>South</sup> ~~South~~  $2\frac{1}{4}$  miles  
 thence South <sup>East</sup>  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile thence  
 thence South <sup>West</sup>  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile <sup>East</sup>  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile  
 to the introduction of  
 which testimony the said petitioners  
 have objected which objection  
 is by the Court sustained  
 and the ruling of the Court  
 in sustaining said objection  
 Unmonstrous Council except  
 which exception is by the Court allowed

A. Craig  
 George Wiley  
 John H. Stuedley  
 County Commissioners

In the County Court of the State of  
Oregon for Union County

In the matter of the  
Road petitioned  
for by E. S. Stenverat

Be it remembered  
that at the trial of the above  
entitled proceedings on the  
petition and remonstrance therein  
the following proceedings were had  
to-wit:

Wesley Hammock a  
witness called and sworn on  
the part of petitioners testified  
as follows:

I Am the Wesley Hammock  
who signed the Road petitioned  
for in this proceeding. I  
reside at the place where my  
name appears on this plat as  
petitioners Exhibit "A".

Whereupon Counsel for respondents  
A. C. Cross examination asked  
said witness the following  
questions-

1<sup>st</sup> Do you live on any road.  
to which question Counsel  
for petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained. To which ruling of

the Court in sustaining said  
objection Counsel for respondents  
excepted which objection was  
by the Court at the time allowed.  
2<sup>d</sup> What additional Amencines  
will this proposed road let to  
you than which you do not  
now enjoy. - to which question  
Counsel for petitioners objected  
which objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling  
of the Court in sustaining said  
objection Counsel for respondents  
at the time excepted which  
objection was by the Court allowed

3<sup>d</sup> State of there is not a road on  
All sides of the tract of land  
embracing Section 30 & the W<sup>1/2</sup>  
of Section 29 of Township 1. South  
of Range 39 East & if so state if  
such road was in existence  
at the time you signed this  
petition & which you can  
travel in going to the County  
seat or to mill or to market  
or other place for your own  
own Amencines to which  
question Counsel for respondents  
petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court

sustained to which (nothing  
of the Court in Sustaining said  
objection Counsel for Unsubstantiated  
excepted which objection was by  
the Court at the time allowed)

J. L. Roe a witness called and  
sworn on the part of petitioners  
testified as follows

I am the J. L. Roe who  
signed the petition for the road  
asked for in this proceeding.

I reside on the land when  
my name appears on this  
Exhibit "A"

Whereupon witness was asked  
by Unsubstantiated Counsel upon  
Cross Examination the following  
questions

1<sup>st</sup> Do you live on any road  
to which petition Counsel for  
petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained to the which  
ruling of the Court in Sustaining  
said objection Counsel for  
Unsubstantiated Excepted at  
the time Excepted which  
objection was by the Court allowed

2<sup>nd</sup> State what if any witnesses

the opening of the proposed road  
will be to Jan. to which  
question Counsel for petitioners  
objected which objection was  
by the Court sustained to which  
ruling of the Court unwarranted  
at the time excepted which exception  
was by the Court allowed

John Berry a witness called  
and sworn on the part of petitioners  
testified as follows.

I am the John Berry whose name  
appears on the petition for the road  
in this proceeding. I reside  
where my name appears on this  
plot Exhibit "A"

Thereupon witness was  
asked on Cross Examination the  
following questions

Q. There was a road running  
east and west just north of  
the premises on which you  
resided when you signed  
this petition which connected  
with the County road from  
Summerville to Union & with  
a Public road from Island City  
to Summerville was there not?  
To which question Counsel for  
petitioners objected which objection

was by the Court sustained to  
which ruling of the Court unannounced  
Council at the time excepted  
which exception was by the  
Court allowed

2<sup>nd</sup> Will the opening of the proposed  
road in any respect afford you  
any convenience which you do  
not now enjoy to which question  
Council for petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling  
of the Court Council for unannounced  
at the time excepted which  
exception was by the Court allowed

3<sup>rd</sup> State if there is not a road  
used by the public passing north  
south through the middle of  
Section 29. T & S of R 39. E. & from  
the NE Corner of W 1/2 of said Section  
to the NW Corner of Section 30 of  
said T & R south on the line  
of said last named Section  
thence east to the SE Corner of  
said W 1/2 of Section 29 to which  
question Council for petitioners objected  
which objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling of the  
Court unannounced Council at the  
time excepted which exception



was by the Court allowed

J. W. Mitchell a witness called  
and sworn on the part of petitioners  
testified as follows.

I am the J. W. Mitchell whose  
name appears upon the petition  
in this proceeding. I reside  
at the place where my name  
appears on this plat Exhibit A

Whereupon said  
witness was asked on cross  
examination the following questions  
1<sup>st</sup> Will the opening of the proposed  
road furnish you any convenience  
of travel which you do not  
now have? to which question  
Counsel for petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court sustained  
to which ruling of the Court  
Counsel for respondents excepted  
which exception was by  
the Court allowed

2<sup>nd</sup> State if in connection  
with the road from Union  
to Sumnerville which you now  
live on if there is not a  
road used by the public  
and fenced into a lane entirely  
around Section 30 & the W 1/2 of  
Section 29 in T. 1 S. of R. 29 E

to which question Counsel for  
petitioner objected which objection  
was by the Court to which  
sustained to which ruling of  
the Court Counsel for respondent  
at the time excepted which  
Exception was by the Court  
Allowed

30 Would the proposed road  
furnish you any Amencement  
for travel which you do not  
now have to which question  
Counsel for petitioner objected  
which objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling of  
the Court Counsel for respondent  
at the time excepted which  
Exception was by the Court  
Allowed

R. P. Oliver a witness called  
and sworn as a witness on the  
part of petitioner testified  
as follows.

I am one of the petitioners  
in this proceeding. I reside  
where my name appears upon  
this plat Exhibit A

Thereupon on Cross Examination  
said witness testified as follows  
was asked the following questions  
Q You live on the road from

Union to Sumnerill do you  
not to which question Counsel  
for petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling  
of the Court Counsel for respondents  
as the time excepted which  
exception was by the Court  
allowed

2<sup>d</sup> Will the opening of this road  
furnish you any convenience  
of travel which you do not  
now enjoy to which question  
Counsel for petitioners objected  
which objection was by  
the Court sustained to which  
ruling of the Court respondents  
Counsel as the time excepted  
which exception was by  
the Court allowed

Wm Woodell Called  
and sworn as a witness on  
the part of petitioners testified  
as follows. I am one of  
the signers to the petition in  
this proceeding. I reside  
where my name appears  
upon this plot Exhibit "A"

Thereupon an cross-examination  
said witness was asked

The following questions:  
1<sup>st</sup> Do you live on any road  
to which question Council for  
petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling  
of the Court Council for  
remonstrators at the time  
excepted which exception  
was by the Court allowed

2<sup>nd</sup> Do you not live on a road  
leading north from Island  
City to Sumnerville & on the  
West side of said road: to  
which question Council for  
petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
sustained to which ruling  
of the Court Council for remonstrators  
at the time excepted which  
exception was by the Court  
allowed.

3<sup>rd</sup> Would the proposed road  
furnish you any convenience  
you travel which you do  
not now have to which  
question Council for petitioners  
objected which objection  
was by the Court sustained  
to which ruling of the Court  
Council for remonstrators at the

time specified. which exception  
was by the Court allowed

E. W. Tinsler Called and sworn  
as a witness on the part of  
petitioner testified as follows.

I am acquainted with  
John McKim C. D. Madam and  
H. P. Thoe A. Shaw A. M. Hamilton  
E. B. Moulton J. M. Forester  
W. B. Hamilton Jacob Collins  
William E. Rindick L. Green  
Henry Ruhl W. H. Patten D. Souther  
B. D. Hubbs A. J. Patten H. W.  
Myers. W. H. Griggs Jacob Ems  
J. B. Russell. S. L. McKingie  
& L. W. Laughlin they all reside  
in and around Sumnerville  
about 4 miles from the proposed  
road. the road leading  
from Sumnerville to Union  
runs first one end of the  
proposed road. J. J. Wood  
lives about 3 miles north of  
Sumnerville & sits or runs  
miles from the proposed road  
whereupon said witness was  
an cross examination asked  
the following questions:  
1<sup>st</sup> Is not the present road  
from Sumnerville to Union

referred to in the two last  
questions and answer as  
arrangement for the people  
traveling thereon between  
Summerside and Union as  
the proposed road would be  
by which question Counsel  
for petitioners objected which  
objection was by the Court  
disallowed. Which ruling  
of the Court Counsel for respondents  
at the time excepted which  
exception was by the Court  
allowed.

Whereupon petitioners closed  
their evidence in chief  
and thereupon respondents  
opened by J. W. Muller  
& R. D. Rushman and witnesses  
called and sworn on the  
part of respondents  
that there is a <sup>public</sup> road running  
from what is known as the  
North Lane at the Township  
Corner on the South line of  
T 2 South of Range 38 & 39 E  
through on the line Township  
line between said Townships  
and between Townships 1 South  
of said Range intersecting the  
County road leading from

Sumnerville Township near  
the half mile corner on the  
east line of Section 13 T. 1. S of R  
38. E & west line of Section 18 T. 1. S  
of R 39 E having been extremely  
traveled for the past five or ten  
years & now fenced in a lane  
almost the entire length.  
Said lane being about 60 feet  
in width and now used as a  
public highway. That all or  
nearly all the travel between  
Sumnerville & Island City &  
La Grande pass over said  
road line of road. That  
the U.S. mail between said  
points passes over said road  
and that there is a road  
fenced in a lane about  
60 feet in width running from  
the south line on the one  
the West side of T. 1. S of R 39 E  
on the Section line between  
Sections 30 & 31 in said Township  
thence east to the half mile  
corner stake on the south  
line of Section 29 in the same  
Township & Range,  
intersecting at the last named  
point the County road leading  
from Sumnerville to Union

That said road has been  
used and traveled for about  
10 years now fenced and is  
used and ~~traveled~~ for about  
\* as a public highway.

And that there  
is a road leading from the  
township line on the west side  
of T. 1. R. 39 East beginning  
at beginning at the section  
corner between sections 19 & 30 of  
said Township. The road on  
the section line intersecting the  
County road leading from  
Sumnerville Union. That  
said road has been traveled  
some seven or eight years and  
has been fenced in a line  
about five or six years and  
has been and is now used as  
a public highway and said  
questions were by Consent of  
Council for petition and  
Unremunerated considered as  
formally asked and objected  
to which objection was by the  
Court sustained which  
ruling of the Court Council  
for remunerated or the line  
excepted which exception  
is by the Court allowed



Remonstrance Counsel here  
offers to introduce the County  
Records and to prove by  
said Records that of  
Union County. That prior to  
the signing of said petition  
there was laid out and  
established as a county road  
from Summerville. That said  
Road was ordered opened  
and has not been vacated.

That the line of said road  
makes a point at the half  
mile corner on the South line  
of Section 19 in T 12 of R 39 E.  
And runs thence ~~East 7/8 mile~~  
Thence South 3/4 mile thence  
East 1/4 mile thence South 1/8  
mile thence South easterly to  
Union to the introduction  
of which testimony petitioners  
Counsel for petitioners objected  
which objection was by the  
Court sustained to which  
petitioners of the Court Counsel  
for remonstrators at the time  
excepted. All of which  
said testimony &c objections  
and exceptions are now  
here <sup>allowed &</sup> signed & made part  
of the record of the Court

in said above styled  
proceedings.

Done at Chambers  
this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1885

A. C. Craig, Co. Judge  
County Judge of  
Union County Oregon

State of Oregon  
County of Union

I hereby Certify  
that the foregoing bill of exceptions  
embraces the substance of all  
testimony given at the trial  
of said cause and all the  
objections, exceptions & exceptions  
taken during said trial  
as the same appears from said  
bill of exceptions & exceptions taken  
at the trial

A. C. Craig  
Co. Judge

In Obedience  
of Oath of support  
for Union County

In the matter of the  
Estate of William J. Fox  
by S. G. Woodard  
Attorney at Law  
vs  
Estate of Stephen J. Fox

B. F. McLean

We ~~do~~ <sup>and</sup> do solemnly swear that we will faithfully execute the duties of chainmen; that


we will level the chain on uneven ground <sup>and</sup> plumb the tally pins by dropping or sticking the same; that we will report the true distance <sup>and</sup> length of all lines which we assist in ~~measuring~~ <sup>measuring</sup> to the best of our skill <sup>and</sup> ability <sup>and</sup> in accordance with the instructions given us in the survey of the proposed County Road commencing at the NW cor. of S 32 T 18 R 39 E 1/4 W. Thence N to the ~~SW~~ <sup>NW</sup> cor S. 29 T 18 R 39 E 1/4 W. together with all lines connected with or necessary thereto.

Subscribed <sup>and</sup> sworn before  
me this Aug 10<sup>th</sup> 1885  
J. K. Romig

I ~~do~~ <sup>do</sup> do solemnly swear that I will perform the duties of axeman <sup>and</sup> such other duties as may be required of me in the survey of the Road from NW cor S 32 T 18 R 39 E 1/4 W to NW cor S 29 T 18 R 39 E 1/4 W <sup>and</sup> lines connected or necessary thereto to the best of my ability; so help me God

Subscribed <sup>and</sup> sworn to before me  
this 10<sup>th</sup> day of Aug. 1885  
J. K. Romig

PAID  
AUG 18 1835  
Office of the  
County Clerk  
County



We J. L. Courtney and N. Graves  
do solemnly swear that we will faithfully  
execute the duties of chainmen; that we will  
level the chain on uneven ground, and plumb the  
tally pins by dropping or sticking the same; that  
we will report the true distances and length of all  
lines which we assist in measuring, to the best  
of our skill and ability and in accordance  
with the instructions given us in the survey  
of the line of proposed County Road commencing  
at the N.W. cor of S. 32 T. 1 S. of R. 39 E. 11th  
thence N. to the N.W. cor. S. 29 T. 1 S of R. 39 E. 11th  
together with all lines connected with or  
necessary thereto J. L. Courtney  
N. Graves

Subscribed and sworn before me this day 27 of July 1885  
J. K. Roney

Notary Public  
For Oregon.

I C. W. Fisher do solemnly swear that  
I will perform the duties of a chainman and such  
other duties as are required of me in the survey  
of the proposed County road from the N.W. cor. 33 to  
the N.W. cor. S. 29 all in T. 1 S of R. 39 E. 11th. and lines  
connected therewith, according to instructions given me  
and to the best of my skill and ability C. W. Fisher

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 day of July 1885  
J. K. Roney


Notary Public  
For Oregon.

Filed AUG 18 1935

at ... o'clock ... min ... no.

*J. P. McLean*

County Clerk



I J. D. Carroll, Nelson Schoonover and  
H. J. Gerbeiny duly and separately sworn  
say that I will faithfully and impartial-  
ly discharge the duties of road viewer on  
the within mentioned and described  
road to the best of my ability - So help  
me God.

Subscribed and  
sworn to before me

J. D. Carroll  
Nelson Schoonover

this 27 day of July 1885 -

J. K. Romig

Notary Public  
for Oregon.

I, J. K. Romig being duly sworn say that I  
will faithfully and impartially discharge  
the duties of <sup>and described road</sup> Surveyor on the within  
instrument to the best of my skill and  
ability So help me God

Subscribed and Sworn

J. K. Romig

before me this 27 day of July 1885

C. A. Dunn

Notary Public  
for Oregon

FILED AUG 18 1885

at ... o'clock ... min. ...  
B. F. Nelson  
County Clerk



In the County Court for the  
County of Union State of Oregon.

STATE OF OREGON,

County of Union }<sup>ss.</sup>

I, B. F. Wilson County Clerk of said Union

County, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript has been by me carefully compared with, and is a true copy, and the whole thereof of the original.

Order of the Court  
As appears of Record on Page 489 in Vol  
"6" of the Commissioners Court Journal  
Records of Union County Oregon

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand, and affixed my official Seal

this 14<sup>th</sup> day of July A. D. 1886.

B. F. Wilson Clerk.

By J. M. Carroll Deputy.

Meridian Thence North on the Section  
dividing sections 29 & 30 of said Township  
& Range, One mile to the North west corner  
of said section 29. All in Union County  
Oregon. And it appearing to the  
satisfaction of the Court that due and  
legal notice of the pendency of this  
proceeding has been given as by  
law required in such cases made  
and provided. That more than twelve  
of said petitioners are legal Household  
in the vicinity of the road and that  
a good and sufficient bond has  
been filed herein. It is therefore hereby  
ordered, That J. D. Carroll, Nelson's Cho  
over and H. J. Geer, be and they are  
hereby appointed Viewers and J. H. Roing  
Surveyor, to view, survey and report  
upon said road, and that they meet  
on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July A.D. 1885 - at the  
residence of E. J. Neville at 10 o'clock  
A.M. of said day, and duly qualify  
before entering upon the discharge  
of their duties in this behalf.

Attest B. F. Wilson (Signed) A. C. Craig  
County Clerk County Judge  
By J. M. Carroll  
Deputy

BE it Remembered: That at a regular term of the County Court of the State of Oregon for Union County began and held at the Court House in the City of Union County and State aforesaid, on Wednesday July 8th 1885: the same being the first Wednesday after the first Monday in said month and the time fixed by law for holding a regular term of said Court.

Then were Present:

How. W. C. Craig	Judge Presiding
" Geo Ackles	Commissioner
" John C. Standley	"
B. J. Wilson	Clerk
A. L. Saunders	Sheriff

When on Friday July 10th 1885 is the third Judicial day of said term among other things the following proceedings were had to wit:

In the Matter of the }  
Proposed County Road }  
Petitioned for by E. T. }  
Seville and et al }  
}

Now at this time is presented to the court the petition of E. T. Seville and Forty seven others praying for the Location of a County road as follows to wit: Commencing at the North west corner of section thirty two in Township No. one South of Range No. Thirty Nine East of the Willamette

I hereby certify that in accordance with the order of the Court attached hereto I did on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1885 and the 10<sup>th</sup> day of August 1885 make said survey as therein directed and the field notes and plat of said survey, hereto attached correctly represents the same

Dated 12<sup>th</sup> day of August 1885

J. K. Romig

Field Notes of Survey of Road from  
N.W. corner S. 32 T. 1 S. R. 39 E.W.M., ending  
at N.W. corner of S. 29 T. 1 S. R. 39 E.W.M.

Run Random line from NW cor. S 32 to SW  
corner S 32 striking at said S.W. corner found  
var. to be  $20^{\circ} 15' E$  the var. as reported in  
original notes was  $19^{\circ} E$ , showing a change  
since original survey of  $1^{\circ} 15' E$ . chain practically  
agrees

N. between S. 29<sup>nd</sup> 30 on line of Road  
var. as shown by original field notes  $19^{\circ} E$   
Correction as shown by retracing line between  
S 31<sup>nd</sup> 32 is  $1^{\circ} 15' E$  I run N between  
S 29<sup>nd</sup> 30 with a var.  $20^{\circ} 15' E$

At NW cor. S 32 found rock in place<sup>nd</sup>  
set post marked "R" as per instructions  
thence N between S 29<sup>nd</sup> 30 with corrected  
var.  $20^{\circ} 15' E$ . 80 ch. set temporary cor.

Then run E on S. line between S 29 and 20 with a bar corrected by  $1^{\circ}15' E$ , to NE corner S 29 striking corner less than 1 l. to S. chaining agreeing within 10 l.

Then run N. from temporary <sup>NW</sup> corner to S 29 to SW cor S 8 - that being first corner on line which found standing distance 240 ch found short 14 links as reported by chainmen.

Returned and set rock and stake marked "R"  $3\frac{1}{2}$  l. S. from temporary <sup>NW</sup> corner of S. 29 which point established as N end of said road as per instructions

Survey commenced Monday July 27<sup>th</sup> 1885 and adjourned because of lack of field notes to Monday Aug 10<sup>th</sup> 1885 on which day final survey made and completed

J. K. Romig  
Surveyor

Field Notes

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..


AUG 1885

at o'clock

County Clerk

6 50

... ..  
... ..  
... ..



Depth

B.P. Wilson





In the County Court of the State  
of Oregon for the County of  
Union.

In the Matter of }  
The County Road }  
Petitioned for by E. G. } Report of Viewers  
Seville et al. } }

To the Honorable County Court,  
of the State of Oregon for Union County,  
Sitting as a Board of County Com-  
missioners,

We the undersigned Viewers  
appointed by the Court at the  
July Term 1883. Do view, survey  
and lay out a County Road  
as follows, to wit:

Commencing at the N. W. Corner  
of Sec. 32. T. 1. S. R. 39. E. W. M. Thence  
North on the Section line dividing  
sections  
29 & 30. of said Township and  
Range, one mile to the N. W. Corner  
of said Section 29, all in Union  
County Oregon.

By leave to Submit the  
following report.

We met as directed in our said  
appointment on Monday July 27<sup>th</sup>  
1883. There being present, the viewers

whose names are hereto signed  
and J. K. Roring the Surveyor appoin-  
ted to Survey said Road, and after  
taking an oath to faithfully and  
impartially, <sup>performing</sup> the duties of our said  
appointment respectively, before  
J. K. Roring, Notary Public of the  
State of Oregon, we took to our assis-  
tance, P. L. Courtney and A. Graves  
two suitable persons ~~as~~ chain  
bearers, and L. W. Fisher a suitable  
person as marker and axman  
said chain bearers and axman  
being each duly sworn before  
said J. K. Roring Notary Public  
as aforesaid to faithfully and  
impartially discharge their respec-  
tive duties, we commenced to view  
and to survey said road as directed  
in said order, by running a ran-  
dom line of said road, but the  
surveyor not having the field notes  
of the Public Survey of said sections  
29 & 30. and it being impossible  
to correctly locate said road in  
accordance with said order  
without such field notes. we

adjourned to Monday August the 10<sup>th</sup>  
1885: in order that the Surveyor  
might procure from Salem said  
field notes.

We met again Monday <sup>August</sup> the 10<sup>th</sup>  
1885- as per adjournment there being  
present <sup>the persons</sup> as before except P. L. Courtney  
and C. W. Fisher, and we took to  
our assistance as chairman in  
place of P. L. Courtney, absent one

a suitable person who  
was duly sworn to faithfully and  
impartially discharge his duties as  
such chairman before said J. R.  
Roring Notary Public as aforesaid  
and proceeded to view, survey and lay  
out said road in accordance  
with the order of said Court  
a correct certified return of the survey  
of said road and a plat thereof  
as viewed, surveyed and laid out  
by us prepared and delivered to us  
by said J. R. Roring Surveyor being  
hereto attached and made a part  
of this report.

And we further report that we  
made a careful view of the ground  
on the line of this road and of the

Filed SEP 7 1885

at ... o'clock. ... min. ... m.

B. F. Wilson

County Clerk.

Surrounding Country tributary thereto we found the ground over which the bed of the road runs an excellent natural road way.

The road is on the summit of what is known as the Saied Ridge and forms a connecting link between the <sup>County</sup> road extending from the North terminus of the road to Summerville a distance of three and a half miles and a County Road from the South terminus extending in a South direction for a distance of about six miles thus making the County Road from Summerville to Union; if established almost on an air line from Summerville for a distance of  $9\frac{1}{2}$  miles South. The road in our opinion, if established will not be subject to snow drifting and will be an open highway the year round. It will greatly accommodate the people not only in the immediate vicinity of the road but of the whole people traveling between Summerville and Union.

We regard the proposed road therefore as of great public utility and recommend that the same be established and opened as a County Road.

J. S. Carroll  
dated September 4<sup>th</sup> 1885

Nelson & Shomover  
Viewers

To the Hon County Court of the State  
of Oregon for Union County

The undersigned householders  
of Union County State of Oregon residing  
in the vicinity of the proposed road  
hereinafter described and petitioners  
of the above petitioned for by Ed  
Phill. Et al to be Commencing in the  
North west Corner of Section 29 in Township  
Six south of Range 39 East of the  
Willamette Meridian. Lines north on  
the Section line which divides sections  
29 & 30 of said Township and Range  
and will to the north west Corner  
of said section 29 all in Union County  
Oregon respectfully request  
your Honor that said road be  
laid out or established as a county  
road of said Union County and in  
due bound unquote will say say

Names

Names

- |    |                |   |                   |    |
|----|----------------|---|-------------------|----|
| 1  | R. L. Ruckman  | } | Chas. Patterson   | 12 |
| 2  | M. J. Ruckman  |   | Paul Happer       | 13 |
| 3  | E. G. Smith    |   | H. A. Eckhardt    | 14 |
| 4  | R. A. Nance    |   | J. M. Hays        | 15 |
| 5  | F. T. Redmond  |   | John M. Lead      | 16 |
| 6  | A. H. Young    |   | A. P. Beebe       | 17 |
| 7  | Samuel Cochran |   | Geo. Thurmond     | 18 |
| 8  | George Neville |   | L. C. Clearingall | 19 |
| 9  | Tom Ruckman    |   | J. E. Norris      | 20 |
| 10 | John Whitsom   |   | G. W. Holt        | 21 |
| 11 | S. E. Smith    |   | J. C. Bonnette    | 22 |

23	J. Pentecost	Daniel Gustard	41
24	Wm. Fisher	John Murchison	42
25	J. O. Miller	S. W. Quinn	43
26	Moses Austin	A. S. W. Lued	44
27	Wm. Barrett	Geo. Murchison	45
28	C. D. Childers	John Lewis	46
29	Leona Orwell	Wm. M. Jones	47
30	M. W. Lewis	Wm. M. Jones	48
31	S. C. Johnson	E. J. Martin	49
32	L. H. Preeson	Nenny Ruhl	50
33	W. Baldwin	J. G. Martin	51
34	P. F. Myers	O. F. Lay	52
35	W. L. Myatt	J. H. Cobb	53
36	L. G. Myatt	J. J. Patten	54
37	J. M. Myrdice	W. H. Myrdice	55
38	R. J. Johnson	Finlay McHenry	56
39	John M. Rae	W. Murchison	57
40	Wm. W. Haughton	J. R. Laramore	58
		Geo. Richter	59

60	L. P. Miller	R. C. Tupper	87
61	J. Jones	H. J. Palmer	88
62		W. W. Chatterin	89
63	D. Miller	W. E. M. Clement	90
64	J. Legare	John. Ewert	91
65	J. Ross	J. S. Brookes	92
66	Thomas Clark	Terry Tuttle	93
67	P. L. Country	John Norvil	94
68	L. Gnares	E. O. Lervel Leger	95
69	J. K. Tuttle	L. P. Childers	96
70	David Long	P. E. Wade	97
71	Frank Barney		
72	Jacob Long		
73	James Childers		
74	James H. Bigg		
75	J. H. Long		
76	Rudolf Long		
77	Friedrich Lion		
78	J. J. Malsonyer		
79	J. J. Merien		
80	C. P. Willey		
81	O. J. Cameron		
82	J. S. Cameron		
83	J. J. Cameron		
84	Marion Davis		
85	Wm. Cornell		
86	J. J. Richardson		

County Clerk  
Harris County

for the master's file  
of the ~~William~~ ~~John~~  
by ~~St. David~~ ~~W. S.~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Filed SEP 8 1895

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.  
A. F. Mark  
County Clerk.



On the County, Court of the State of  
Oregon for Union County  
In the matter of  
the said Petitioner  
for the S. J. Perrell et al

now comes the said  
Complainant in the above entitled  
proceedings and for cause of  
Complaint shows to the Court  
that she will be injured and damaged  
by the establishment of said proposed  
road in said proceedings as follows  
That Complainant is the owner in  
fee simple of the following described  
tract of land to wit: The South west  
quarter of section 29 and the South East  
quarter of section 30 all in Township  
One South of Range 39 East of the  
Abilene well Willamette Meridian  
That said proposed road if  
established will run north & South  
through and the Section line  
through between said South  
west quarter of section 29 and said  
South East quarter of section # 30 of  
Complainant said land  
~~That said proposed road by its  
running will greatly damage Complainant  
the value and enjoyment of Complainant~~

That Complainant said land is  
All fenced and in Cultivation  
and is being used as a grain  
and stock farm

That Complainant will be  
Compelled to build 320 rods of  
fencing on the line of said  
~~road~~ proposed road for the  
protection of her crops and  
pastures at a cost of \$500.00

That Complainant will be greatly  
inconvenienced by running said  
road through her said land  
and damaged thereby in the  
sum of \$1000.00

That Complainant will be damaged  
by the loss of the use of her said  
land in the sum of \$500.00

That said proposed road will  
so divide said Complainant  
said land as to leave one  
hundred and sixty acres of  
her said land without water  
for stock and household purposes  
and will thereby damage her  
said land to in the sum  
of \$1000.00

Wherefore Complainant prays

that three disinterested householders  
of said County <sup>be appointed by the Court</sup> to assess and  
attest how much less valuable  
said premises of Complainant  
will be rendered by the opening  
of said proposed road and that  
upon a final hearing hereof  
this Complainant be adjudged  
to have and receive of and  
from said County of Union  
the sum of three thousand  
dollars as damages by reason  
of the establishment of said  
road through her said  
premises and in duty bound  
Complainant will accept

M. J. Ruckman

State of Oregon }  
County of Union }

I M. J. Ruckman  
being first duly sworn say that  
~~the statements contained in the~~  
~~foregoing~~ I am the Complainant  
above named that the statements  
contained in the foregoing  
Complaint are true to  
my belief

Sworn to & subscribed

before me this 8<sup>th</sup> day of  
September 1885

M. J. Ruckman

B. F. Wilson  
clerk

Unity Bank  
Union Bank

In the matter of  
~~The Board of Trustees~~  
for S. J. Russell  
Complainant  
vs. J. P. Brennan  
for Defendant

Filed SEP 8 1895

at ... o'clock, ... min., ... m.

J. P. Moran  
County Clerk.

In the County Court of the State of  
Oregon for Union County

In the matter of the }  
Road petitioned for }  
by E. J. Powell et al

Now Comes E. W.

Complainant in the  
above entitled proceedings  
and for cause of Complaint  
shuns to the Court

That he will be injured  
and damaged by the  
Establishment of the proposed  
road in said proceedings  
as follows

That complainant is the owner  
in fee simple of the north west  
quarter of Section 29 in Township  
One South of Range 39 East  
of the Willamette meridian

That said proposed road if  
established will run north South  
on the Section line bounding  
Complainant's said land on  
the west

That complainant's said land  
is all fenced and in cultivation  
and is used by complainant as  
a grain & stock farm

That Complainant will be  
Compelled to build 160 rods of  
fencing on the line of said  
proposed road for the protection  
of his crops and pastures at  
a cost of \$2500.00

That Complainant will be greatly  
inconvenienced by driving  
said road an ~~addition~~  
Complainant said land and  
damaged thereby in the sum of \$500.00

That Complainant will be  
damaged by the loss of the  
use of said land in the  
sum of \$250.00

wherefore Complainant prays  
That three disinterested householders  
of said County be appointed  
by this Court to assess and  
determine how much less valuable  
said premises of Complainant  
will be rendered by the opening  
of said proposed road and  
that upon a final hearing hereof  
this Complainant be adjudged  
to have and recover of and  
from said County of Union  
the sum of one thousand

Allows as damages by  
reason of the establishment  
of said road an complainant  
said premises and in  
city board complainant  
will see by E. W. Probler

State of Oregon  
County of Union } E. W. Probler  
being first duly sworn say  
that I am the complainant  
above named. That the  
statements contained in the  
foregoing complaint are  
true as I truly believe  
sworn to & subscribed  
before me this 8<sup>th</sup> day } E. W. Probler  
of September 1885 }  
B. F. Wilson  
Clerk

Deamty Am  
Hamm County

Am the mother of  
the said children  
for by S. S. Small  
Shad

Complainant of  
E. D. Small for  
damages

Filed SEP 8 1885

at ... o'clock ... min. ... m.  
J. F. Milard  
County Clerk.



In the County Court of  
Union County Oregon  
In the matter of the road  
petitioned for by Ed Nield

Now comes the Remonstrance  
by their atty J W Shelton  
Chas J & H Slater and moves  
the Court to strike from the  
files of this proceeding the  
report of the viewers heretofore  
filed therein; for the reason that  
the same was made in compliance  
with the requirements of section  
5 of Title I of Chapter 2 of the  
Miscellaneous Laws of Oregon  
found on page 720 of the said  
Code.

First In that it appears upon the  
face of said report that only  
two of the viewers appointed by  
the Order of this Court to view  
and lay out said road were  
present or acting at the time the  
said view was made.

Second In that said viewers  
did not meet and proceed  
with their view as required  
by said section.

J. W. Shelton

Learned towards  
Linn County

In the matter of  
the Receivership  
of the E. J. Hill  
E. J.

Resolved to strike  
the name of E. J. Hill  
from  
the

Filed SEP 9 1885

at ... o'clock ... m.  
P. M. Wilson  
County Clerk.

J. H. Spalden  
J. H. Spalden  
atto

J. H. Spalden  
atto for Receiver

In the County Court of the State  
of Oregon for Union County  
In the matter of the  
Petition of S. T.  
Cresswell et al for  
a County Road

It is hereby stipulated  
and agreed by and between the  
Attorneys for the Petitioners and  
Respondents in the above  
styled proceedings that the  
plans hereto attached and marked  
Exhibit "A" represent correctly  
and truly the residences and  
property of all persons therein  
and the lay of the surrounding  
Country as set lined on said  
Exhibit except the names of J. T.  
Cresswell and W. G. Wells whose  
names are stricken from said  
Ex and that said Ex may  
be offered and used in  
evidence for the purpose of  
establishing said residences  
and surrounding Country upon  
the trial of said Cause

Baker & Baker &  
Attorneys for Petitioners  
Jas H. Spaten &  
J. W. Shelton attys  
for Respondents

J. 1. S. R. 39. E. Ephraim "D"

13

18

17

P.E. Wade 16

Mrs. Martin  
rented by  
Mr. Graham

24

19

20

21

43

30

2

28

36

31

3

J. 2. S. R. 39. E.

6

S. Coitman

S. Coitman

R. D. Buchanan

12

7

8

9

10

J. M. Woodcock

W. H. Williams

John Williams

M. H. Hammond

St. James

James J. Oliver

J. Imbler

Yam  
Marka Ruckman  
Proposed road

S.R. S. House  
Ed. Imbler

Union Road

James Imbler

Ed. Imbler

James Woodcock

R. M. Payne

J. G. Smith

E. J. Neville

Sumnerville

J. Pinehart

Mrs. Pinehart  
rented by R. Buchanan

Sumnerville Road

S. R. Ruckman

J. Pinehart

S. H. Neville

Ed. Imbler

S. Ruckman

S. Gilliam  
Joun

John R. Ladd

J. H. Rice

J. H. Mitchell

R. H. Oliver

R. D. Buchanan

James Imbler

S. R. Ruckman

S. R. R

~~the weather of the~~  
Edition of 8.11.1890

The the County County  
the State of Oregon  
for various reasons  
in the month of the  
the 11th of 8.11.1890  
State for County  
Road

Registration

Field Notes of the Survey of Secs.  
29 + 30 P. 1 & R 34 East

Comey to Secs. 28-29-32 + 32  
which is a post in mound of earth  
with charred stake pits & trench &c  
as per instructions.

North between Secs. 28 + 29  
Var 19° East

- 130 Grand Rounde River 162 lbs wide runs N.W.  
40.00 Set post for comey to 1/4 Sec. in mound  
of earth with charred stake pits  
and trench &c as per instructions  
58.20 Grand Rounde River 174 lbs wide  
runs N.E.  
67.50 Grand Rounde River 150 lbs wide  
runs N.W.  
80.00 Set post for comey to Secs 20-21-28 + 29  
in mound of earth with charred  
stake pits trench &c as per instructions  
Land level River bottom  
Soil 1st rate

Comey to Secs. 29-30-31 + 32 which  
is a post in mound of earth with  
charred stake pits trench &c  
as per instructions  
Land level Soil 1st rate

East on random line between Secs 29  
+ 32.

- 40.00 Set post for temporary 1/4 Sec. comey  
80.10 Intersect N & S line 60 lbs North of  
comey to Secs 28-29-32 + 33 from which  
comey I run  
N 89° 34' W on a true line between  
Secs. 29 + 32  
40.05 Set post for 1/4 Sec comey in mound of

## Continued

earth with charred stake pits  
trench &c as per instructions  
59.00 Walla Walla & Boise Road bears N & S.  
80.10 The corner to Secs 29-30-31 & 32  
Land level. Soil not rate

West on a random line between Secs.  
30 & 31

40.00 Set post for temporary  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec corner  
79.00 Intersect West boundary 50 lbs N of  
corner to Secs 30 & 31 from which corner  
I run

N  $89^{\circ} 39' E$  on a true line between  
Secs 30 & 31

39.00 Set post for  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. corner in mound of  
earth with charred stake pits and  
trench &c as per instructions

79.00 The corner to Secs 29-30-31 & 32  
Land level. Soil not rate

North between Secs. 29 & 30  
Var  $19^{\circ} E$

40.00 Set post for  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec corner in mound of  
earth with charred stake pits trench  
&c as per instructions

80.00 Set post for corner to Secs 29-30-29 & 30  
in mound of earth with pits trench  
charred stake &c as per instructions  
Land level. Soil not rate

East on random line between Secs 20 & 29

40.00 Set post for temporary  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. corner  
79.50 Intersect N & S line at corner to Secs.

20-21-28 & 29 from which corner I run  
West on true line between Secs 20 & 29

9.00 Grand Ronde River 200 lbs wide run N

24 25 Walla Walla and Boise Road bears  
N & S.

Continued

39.75 Set post for  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec corner in mound of  
 Earth with charred stake pits  
 trench &c as per instructions

79.50 The corner to Secs 29-30-19+20  
 Land level. Soil 1st rate

West on a random line between Secs.  
 19+30

40.00 Set post for temporary  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec corner  
 79.20 Intersect West boundary at corner to  
 Secs 19+30 from which corner I run  
 East on true line between Secs. 19+30

39.20 Set post for  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec corner in mound of  
 earth with charred stake pits trench  
 and &c as per instructions

79.20 The corner to Secs 19-20-29+30  
 Land level. Soil 1st rate

Corner to Secs 30+31-25+36 which  
 is a post in mound of earth and drove  
 charred stake &c as per instructions


North between Secs 25+30  
 Var 20° 30' E

40.00 Set q<sup>r</sup> Sec (corner) post in mound of earth  
 departing charred charred Stake  
 as per instructions.

80.00 Set post corner to Secs 19 30. 24+25. made  
 mound of earth and drove charred  
 stake as per instructions  
 Soil & surface not different from preced-  
 ing mile. (which is  
 Surface undulating. Soil clay & sandy  
 producing fine bunch grass &c.)



T. 1. S. R. 39. E.



2030

20: J.S.R. 340.

		80	
7		18000	8
		80	
18			17
		190	
		79	70
19			20
		190	
1920 20 40		79	50 W
30			29
		190	
N 89 39 E		80	N 89 34 W
1920 20 40			
31			32
		190	
		80	

190

190 10

190

190

190

890-8 W

80

In the matter of a County  
Road Petitioned for by  
C. J. Wottle et. als.



Now at this time this cause  
came on to be heard upon  
the petition of C. J. Wottle et. als  
for establishing a county  
road <sup>beginning at</sup> the north west corner of  
Section thirty two in T. 1 S. R. 37  
East of the Willamette meridian  
thence north on the section line  
between Sections 29 + 30 of said  
Township & range one mile to  
the north west corner of said  
Sec 29 all in Union County  
Oregon under the circumstances  
of Matthew J. + R. D. Reekman  
objecting to said Petition on  
the grounds that the same  
does not contain the names  
of certain landholders of Union  
County residing in the vicinity  
of the proposed road and  
the reply of said Petitioners  
there to the petitioners appearing  
by Mr. Baker & Crawford & Co.  
Attorneys of counsel, and the  
respondents by J. H. Shelton &  
Geo. H. Lutz of counsel.

and the court having heard  
the evidence and the argu-  
ment of counsel upon the  
issues joined and the court  
having found after due  
consideration that more than  
twelve to wit; fully four of  
the petitioners for the proposed  
road are legal home-  
holders of Union County re-  
siding in vicinity of the  
said proposed road; It is  
therefore considered and adjudged  
by the court that said remon-  
strances & objections be and  
the same are hereby denied.  
And it further appearing  
to <sup>the satisfaction of</sup> the court by the affidavit  
of C. T. Willis herein filed  
that due and legal notice  
has been given by advertis-  
ment posted ~~at~~ on the court  
house door in Union Union  
County Oregon and also in  
three public places in the  
vicinity of said proposed  
road to wit; one at the beginning  
of said ~~road~~ proposed road  
one at the terminus of said

proposed road and on upon  
the District should have on  
the line of said proposed road  
notifying all persons concerned  
that application would be  
made to this court at its  
next session thereafter for  
laying said proposed <sup>road</sup> ~~highway~~  
the beginning ~~terminations~~ <sup>terminations</sup> &  
the intermediate points of said  
proposed road and if further  
appearing therefrom to the satis-  
faction of the court that  
such and all of said notices  
so posted were posted and  
received posted at said points  
for a period of 30 days or  
more immediately preceding  
the presentation of said petition  
therefore it is considered and  
adjudged that said petition  
be and the same is hereby  
granted and J. D. Bussell  
Gelson Schomaker and H. J.  
Gear their described households  
of Marion County Oregon are  
hereby appointed owners ~~and~~  
of said proposed road and  
a skilful surveyor

to survey the same.  
And the clerk is hereby directed  
to issue an order directing  
said voters and surveyor  
to meet on the day of  
1888 or within five days  
thereafter and to view  
survey and lay-out said  
proposed road.



And it is further ordered  
that Returnstreet have  
30 day within which to  
prepare and file a bill of excep-  
tions herein and in further  
order that when said bill  
of exceptions shall be prepared  
and settled that A. C. Bonig  
County Judge of said County  
shall sign and approve the same  
for the Eastern Board of County  
Commissioners



Plat of Survey of Road commencing at  
 NW cor S 32 T 15 R 39 E N. M. and  
 ending at NW cor S 29 T 15 R  
 39 E W. M.

- '1' commencement of Road Rock<sup>nd</sup> Post marked R
- '2' End of Road set Rock<sup>nd</sup> Post marked R

J. K. Romigi  
 Surveyor



Plat of Survey of Road commencing at  
 NW cor S 32 T 1 S R 39 E N. M. and  
 ending at NW cor S 29 T 1 S R  
 39 E W. M.

- "1" Commencement of Road Rock<sup>hd</sup> Post marked "R"
- "2" End of Road set Rock<sup>hd</sup> Post marked "R"

J. K. Romig,  
 Surveyor



Second judicial day

Thursday May 14th 1853.

On the matter of a }  
proposed county road }  
petitioned for by E. J. }  
Neville et al } B

Now at this time is presented to the Court the petition of E. J. Neville and forty seven others praying for the location of a County road as follows to wit: Commencing at the North west corner of section thirty two in Township No one south of Range No thirty nine East of the Meridian - thence North on the section line which divides sections 29 and 30 of said Township and Range one mile to the North west corner of said section 29. Petitioner <sup>E. J. Neville</sup> appearing in person as well as by Baker and Baker and J. H. Crawford of counsel ~~their~~ <sup>attorneys</sup> and comes also B. S. Ruckman and Martha J. Ruckman and by their attorney J. W. Shelton file their remonstrance to the location of said road and the said petitioner having filed his reply to said remonstrance and the issues being made up, ~~it~~ <sup>off</sup> consent of the parties in open Court ~~that~~ is ordered that this matter be and is hereby continued till the next regular term of this Court in July 1853.

On the matter of the }  
proposed county road }  
petitioned for by the }  
P. J. Stubblefield et al } B

Now at at this time this matter comes on to be heard upon the report of the reviewers heretofore appointed to review the said proposed road through the farms of Jacob Devine and P. H. Kealy and assess damages sustained by said parties and now at this time it appearing that John W. Staudley one of the Commissioners has failed

Third Judicial Day

Friday July 10<sup>th</sup> 1885-

D. A. Allen residing 3 1/2 miles from road

R. M. Oliver " 2 3/4 " " "

The Court finds that the following named petitioners are not householders residing in the vicinity of the proposed road

J. R. Stansell not a householder

G. W. Stansell " " "

S. C. Byers " " "

W. G. Neville " " "

The Court find as a conclusion of law upon the facts found, that more than twelve to wit: forty four of the petitioners for the proposed county road are legal householders residing in the vicinity of the said proposed road, and therefore the prayer of the petitioners will be granted and it is so ordered

A. C. Craig Judge Presiding  
John B. Standley Commissioner  
George Ackles "

Dated this July 10<sup>th</sup> 1885-

In a Matter of a }  
County Road Petition }  
for by E. T. Neville et als }

Now at this time this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of E. T. Neville et. als. for establishing a county road beginning at the North West corner of section thirty two in T. 1. S. R. 39 East of the Willamette Meridian thence North on the section line between section 29 & 30 of said Township & range one mile to the North West corner of said Sec. 29 all in Union County, Oregon and the remonstrance of Martha J. & R. D. Ruckman objecting to said Petition on the grounds that the same does not contain the names of twelve householders of Union County residing in the vicinity of the proposed road

Third Judicial Day.

Friday July 10-1893-

and the reply of said Petitioners thereto the petitioners appearing by Mr. Baker, J. H. Crawford and A. Meacham of Counsel and the remonstrators by J. W. Shelton & Jas. H. Slater of Counsel and the Court having heard the evidence and the argument of Counsel upon the issues joined and the Court having found after due consideration that more than twelve to wit: forty four of the petitioners for the proposed County Road and legal householders of Union County residing in vicinity of the said proposed road, it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said remonstrance & objections heard the same are hereby denied and it further appearing to the satisfaction of the Court by the affidavit of E. T. Seville herin filed that due and legal notice has been given by advertisement posted on the Court house door in Union Union County Oregon, and also in three public places in the vicinity of said proposed road, to wit: One at the beginning of said proposed road one at the terminus of said proposed road, and one upon the District School House on the line of said proposed road notifying all persons concerned that application would be made to this Court at its next session thereafter for laying said proposed road, specifying the beginning termination and the intermediate points of said proposed road. And it further appearing therefrom to the satisfaction of the Court that each and all of said notices so posted were posted and remained posted at said points for a period of 30 days or more immediately preceding the presentation of said petition, therefore it is considered and adjudged that said petition be and the same is hereby granted and J. D. Carroll Nelson Schoonover and H. J. Gees three disinterested householders of Union County Oregon are hereby appointed

Third Judicial Day

Friday July 10<sup>th</sup> 1885

viewers of said proposed road and J. N. Romig a skillful surveyor to survey the same, and the clerk is hereby directed to issue an order directing said viewers and surveyor to meet on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1885 or within five days thereafter and to view, survey and lay-out said proposed road and it is further ordered that Remonstrators have 30 days within which to prepare and file a bill of exceptions herein and it is further ordered that when said bill of exceptions shall be proposed and settled that A. C. Craig County Judge of said County shall sign and affirm the same for the entire Board of County Commissioners,

In the Matter of the }  
 proposed County Road }  
 Petitioned for by C. C. }  
 Coffinberry and others }

At now at this time this matter comes on to be heard, and it appearing that the surveyor appointed to survey the proposed road under the supervision of the viewers heretofore appointed to view said proposed road, failed to appear at the time appointed for the said viewing and surveying of said road, and that on account of such failure, said proposed road was not viewed or surveyed at the time set as aforesaid. It is therefore hereby ordered by the Court that the same viewers that were heretofore appointed to view said road, to wit: C. H. Lewis, G. D. Carroll and J. R. Hudson be and they are hereby reappointed as viewers and Moses Austin as surveyor to view, survey and report upon said proposed County road and that they meet at the Blacksmith shop of Frank Johnson in the City of Union on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July A. D. 1885 at nine o'clock A. M. of said day and duly qualify before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf.

Signed A. C. Craig County Judge

First Judicial Day

Wednesday Sept. 9th 1885

On the matter of the  
proposed levity road }  
petitioned for by E. J. }  
Neville and others } 3

Now at this time this matter comes on to be heard upon the report of the viewers and of the Surveyor heretofore appointed by the Court W. Baker and J. H. Crawford Counsel appearing for petitioners and J. W. Shelton and J. H. Dabbs of counsel appearing for Remonstrators and upon it appearing that Counsel for the respective parties had agreed to continue this matter till the next regular term <sup>of this Court</sup> upon motion of Counsel for Petitioners It is ordered by the Court that this matter be and the same is hereby continued till the next regular term of this Court.

On the matter of the proposed }  
levity road petitioned for }  
by E. C. Coffinberry et al. } 3

Now at this time this matter comes on to be heard upon the report of the viewers heretofore appointed in the above entitled road which report is accompanied by the report of the Surveyor and his field notes and plan of said road and is read for the first time in open Court and in accordance with the provisions of the Statute in such cases made and provided It is ordered that said report lay over for a second reading on another day of this term

First Judicial Day Tuesday Nov-4-1885

In the matter of the proposed  
County road petitioned for  
by E. J. Neville et al

Now at this time  
this cause came on to be heard petitioners  
appearing by W. Baker and J. H. Crawford  
their Attorneys and Remonstrators appearing  
by Shelton and Hardesty and Jas. H. Slater  
their Attorneys And upon motion of  
petitioners' said Attorneys It is ordered  
that this petition herein be and the  
same is hereby dismissed and that the  
petitioners pay the cost of this proceeding.

In the matter of the  
proposed County road  
petitioned for by  
Daw S. Moore et al

Now at this time  
this matter comes on regularly to be  
heard upon the reports of the Viewers  
and Surveyor heretofore appointed by  
the Court to view survey and lay out  
said proposed road and said reports  
having been read for the first time in  
open court in accordance with the pro-  
visions of the Statute in such cases made  
and provided It is ordered that said  
reports be and they are hereby laid over  
for a second reading on another day  
of this term.

Thereupon Court adjourned till tomorrow  
morning at nine o'clock