**Mitigation Progress Report**

|  |
| --- |
| **WILDFIRE RESPONSE MITIGATION** |
| MITIGATION # | DESIRED OUTCOME(identified under the mitigation – Chapter ) | STRATEGIC ACTIONS TAKEN | COMMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNTIES MITIGATION** |
| MITIGATION # | DESIRED OUTCOME | STRATEGIC ACTIONS TAKEN | COMMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES MITIGATION** |
| MITIGATION # | DESIRED OUTCOME | STRATEGIC ACTIONS TAKEN | COMMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Project Achievement Form

|  |
| --- |
| **WILDFIRE RESPONSE**  |
| PROJECT NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LOCATION: T\_\_\_ R\_\_\_ Sec\_\_\_DATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LAT:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LONG: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| DESCRIBE Project Intent: |  |
| ACTION TAKEN: |  |
| Observed RESULTS | Include/attach Acres if appropriate: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| OWNERSHIP | Multi-Jurisdictional: Y NPercent : Private \_\_\_\_\_ USFS (Public) \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Rural \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ |
| FUNDING SOURCE(S) | Grants \_\_\_\_\_ Cost Share \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS AFFECTED | List Mitigation number #(s) from Chapter VIII |
| Involvement -COLLECTIVEEFFORT | List Who was involved: Was there new partnerships? Y N List:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| STATUS | Initial work: Y N Maintenance: Y N Percent completed:\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Accomplishments& Challenges | Accomplishments:Challenges:  |
| Recommendations |  |
| REMARKS |  |
| Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| **FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNITIES**  |
| PROJECT NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LOCATION: T\_\_\_ R\_\_\_ Sec\_\_\_DATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LAT:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LONG: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| DESCRIBE Project Intent: |  |
| ACTION TAKEN: |  |
| Observed RESULTS | Include/attach Acres if appropriate: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| OWNERSHIP | Multi-Jurisdictional: Y NPercent : Private \_\_\_\_\_ USFS (Public) \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Rural \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ |
| FUNDING SOURCE(S) | Grants \_\_\_\_\_ Cost Share \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS AFFECTED | List Mitigation number #(s) from Chapter VIII |
| Involvement -COLLECTIVEEFFORT | List Who was involved: Was there new partnerships? Y N List:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| STATUS | Initial work: Y N Maintenance: Y N Percent completed:\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Accomplishments& Challenges | Accomplishments:Challenges:  |
| Recommendations |   |
| REMARKS |  |
| Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| **RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES**  |
| PROJECT NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LOCATION: T\_\_\_ R\_\_\_ Sec\_\_\_DATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LAT:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LONG: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| DESCRIBE Project Intent: |  |
| ACTION TAKEN: |  |
| Observed RESULTS | Include/attach Acres if appropriate: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| OWNERSHIP | Multi-Jurisdictional: Y NPercent : Private \_\_\_\_\_ USFS (Public) \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Rural \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ |
| FUNDING SOURCE(S) | Grants \_\_\_\_\_ Cost Share \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS AFFECTED | List Mitigation number #(s) from Chapter VIII |
| Involvement -COLLECTIVEEFFORT | List Who was involved: Was there new partnerships? Y N List:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| STATUS | Initial work: Y N Maintenance: Y N Percent completed:\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Accomplishments& Challenges | Accomplishments:Challenges:  |
| Recommendations |   |
| REMARKS |  |
| Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

EXAMPLES OF COMPLETED FORMS

|  |
| --- |
| **WILDFIRE RESPONSE (EXAMPLE)** |
| PROJECT NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LOCATION: T\_\_\_ R\_\_\_ Sec\_\_\_DATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LAT:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LONG: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| DESCRIBE Project Intent: | Improve training opportunities of Rural Fire Dept. personnel |
| ACTION TAKEN: | Working on agreements for Rural to attend either Federal or State training. A list was developed of local contractor qualified instructors.Weekend training scheduled developed for annual planning.  |
| Observed RESULTS | Has allowed pre-planning for volunteers to attend. Number of attendees has increased. Include/attach Acres if appropriate: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| OWNERSHIP | Multi-Jurisdictional: Y N N/APercent : Private \_\_\_\_\_ USFS (Public) \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Rural \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_ |
| FUNDING SOURCE(S) | Grants \_\_\_\_\_ Cost Share \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Costs to Date: $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS AFFECTED | List Mitigation number #(s) from Chapter VIIIWFR - Mitigation #s - 9WFR = Wildfire Response FAC = Fire Adapted CommunitiesRAML = Restore and maintain landscapes |
| Involvement -COLLECTIVEEFFORT | List Who was involved: Cove Rural FD, Forest Service, ODF, local contract Instructor Was there new partnerships? **Y** N List:\_local contractor used for first time |
| STATUS | Initial work: Y N Maintenance: Y N Percent completed:\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Accomplishments& Challenges | Accomplishments: Able to qualify and additional 10 individualsChallenges: Timing of training to meet individual work schedules |
| Recommendations | Provide multiple opportunities in spring to increase attendance.  |
| REMARKS | To keep costs down attempt to organize a combined training where cost of instructor is reduces and number of students is maximized.  |
| Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_Jenny Reinheardt\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES (EXAMPLE)  |
| PROJECT NAME: \_\_Ridgeline Fuel Reduction\_ LOCATION: T\_\_\_ R\_\_\_ Sec\_\_\_DATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LAT:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ LONG: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| DESCRIBE Project Intent: | Capitalized on cross boundary efforts to mitigate fire risk using a landscape approach. To fragment large contiguous blocks of heavy down fuels and areas of high stand density, canopy closure, and low canopy base.  |
| ACTION TAKEN: | Various types of fuels treatments were applied including: Overstory/Understory thinning; created openings; fuel reduction through hand-piling, prescribed burning, mechanical (grapple/mastication). Include/attach Acres if appropriate: \_see attached\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Observed RESULTS | Areas of stand thinning combined with fuels reduction have the highest amount of ladder fuel reduction.Hand piling in Units ????... resulted in more than expected number of piles. Multiple economic benefits resulted – jobs, volume, firewoodAggressive treatments by homeowners compliments cross boundary treatments.. See attached photos. |
| OWNERSHIP | Multi-Jurisdictional: **Y**  NPercent : Private \_20\_ USFS (Public) \_50\_ State \_\_10\_\_\_ Rural \_\_\_\_\_ County \_20\_\_ |
| FUNDING SOURCE(S) | Grants \_\_\_\_\_ Cost Share \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| MITIGATION ACTIONS AFFECTED | List Mitigation number #(s) from Chapter VIIIFAC – Mitigation #s – 4, 6, 8RAML – Mitigation #s – 1, 2, 3,4, 7WFR = Wildfire Response FAC = Fire Adapted CommunitiesRAML = Restore and maintain landscapes |
| Involvement -COLLECTIVEEFFORT | List Who was involved: Was there new partnerships? Y N List:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| STATUS | Initial work: **Y**  N Maintenance: **Y** N Percent completed: 40%, remaining 60% is fuels treatments both activity created and natural fuels.  |
| Accomplishments& Challenges | Accomplishments: Over 20,000 acres of vegetation manipulation has occurred. This has resulted in a mosaic of stands in the project area. A secondary benefit has been economic benefit for the community and infrastructure retention. Challenges: Limited treatment options in some areas are of concern. This may reduce the effectiveness of risk mitigation. Locations of low access resulted in hand crew work without disrupting horizontal canopy.  |
| Recommendations |  Re-evaluate low access areas post prescribed burning.  |
| REMARKS | Number of Jobs: 40 10 – Commercial logging; 20 – fuels reduction; 10 - Contract administration and project managementVolume resulted in XXXX MBF |
| Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

|  |
| --- |
| GO/NO GO CWPP NEED FOR EVALUATION, REVISION, OR COMMITTEE MEETING |
| Has it been longer than 11 months since the last CWPP Committee Meeting? | Yes | No |
| Has there been a change in two or more CWPP Committee Members since the last CWPP Meeting? | Yes | No |
| Has a large wildfire threatened a part of the WUI Zone?  | Yes | No |
| Has a new infrastructure appeared that may significantly impact or assist in fire suppression or risk reduction?  | Yes | No |
| Has the number of human caused fires increased proportionally to the ten year average?  | Yes | No |
| Has the County Emergency Services position personnel changed?  | Yes | No |
| Has a significant event occurred that would change the risk or threat of a wildfire to local community? (natural event, significant limited access changes, new community development, innovative means of treatment options) | Yes | No |
| Has funding access been limited or difficult in obtaining?  | Yes | No |
|  Is the relationship with the community deteriorating or under difficult relations with fire agencies?  | Yes | No |
| Do the Mission Statement, Goals, and objectives no longer support the purpose of the CWPP document?  | Yes | No |
| Other?  | Yes | No |
| If even ONE question was answered YES, a CWPP Committee meeting is needed. Please provide rational for your yes answer(s).  |

The following form is designed as a checks and balance form for the CWPP committee to use at their annual meeting.

This form can be modified as necessary to meet the needs of the CWPP committee.

The three goals and the evaluation numbers under each of the three goals are directly reflective of the goals listed in Chapter II Goals and Objectives. This provides the committee a means of checking progress, identifying any need to revise the goals and objectives and to provide a consistent communication and understanding the connection of the goals and objectives to progress made thus far. In addition it allows for a consistent message between committee members on progress.

Annual Community Wildfire Protection Plan Evaluation Form

At the annual Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) meeting the committee should evaluate key areas of the plan that guide the county’s mission. These key areas include work efforts toward meeting the plans goals and objectives. This assessment is designed as a means of collaborative communication of the overarching design and approach to wildfire mitigations.

Plan Mission:

“Commitment to creating a meaningful Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) that serves to coordinate wildland fire agencies resources and communities through education and activities that promote fire risk mitigation, fire threat reduction, and fire prevention methods while endorsing healthy resilient landscapes for the future.”

Broad ratings will be used for formal review of achievements in relation to the plan document and intent. A 1 – 6 rating with numbers reflective of the following intent:

|  |
| --- |
| **1 – 2 Rating**Plan results are below expectations and annual mitigation efforts were not consistent with meeting the Mission and Goals of the CWPP. A high number of deadlines were missed causing additional work. Costs well exceeded anticipated funding. Desired outcomes were not anticipated or supportive of the mission. There was confusion on implementation and modifications needed to be continually made.  |
| **3 – 4 Rating** Annual accomplishments shown expected results and met expectations. Mitigation efforts were completed close to the expected timeframe and costs. There was little assistance needed in executing plan and participants demonstrated understanding during plan implementation.  |
| **5 – 6 Rating**Mission and Goals were routinely exceeding in both quality and quantity. There was a high degree of plan understanding and easy implementation of objectives and/or mitigation measure(s). Costs savings allowed for additional mitigation accomplishments. Accomplishments were well within the expected timeframes. Desired outcomes were better than predicted.  |
| **TBD – Rating** put a TBD if it is too early in the process for rating To Be Determined **NI – Rating** Was not initiated to date.  |

The evaluation criteria were taken from Chapter II Missions, Goals, and Objectives. The objectives identified below were developed and designed by the CWPP plan committee using the three goals of the Cohesive Wildfire Strategy. A rating factor may be applied for all three topics (DEADLINES, COSTS, DESIRED OUTCOME) or only to an individual topic specific to the Objective. There are objectives that are applicable to more than one goal and should be evaluated according to the corresponding goal.

**WILDFIRE RESPONSE**

Goal: *All jurisdictions participate in making and implementing safe, effective, efficient response to wildland fire.*

1. Emphasis on promoting rural capabilities through efforts to meet equipment and training needs.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Efforts made to encourage cooperation and relationships among agencies, organizations, jurisdictions, and communities through a multiple of venues including public meetings, simulations, agreements, boots on the ground, pilot projects, field trips.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Improvements made toward interagency and community communications that cover all phases of fire emergencies situations.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

WILDIFRE RESPONSE continued:

1. Pre-suppression planning strategies taken to advanced coordination among all agencies with protection responsibilities.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Prevention of human caused ignitions through education by increasing fire prevention awareness.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Design strategies developed where human populations and infrastructure can withstand a wildfire without loss of life and property (CWS 2014).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

WILDIFRE RESPONSE continued:

1. Improved awareness and education of homeowners’ responsibilities in preparedness for wildfire. Increase landowner involvement and ownership in fire risk mitigation measures.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNTIES**

Goal: Strive toward and environment where: *Human populations and infrastructure can withstand a wildfire without loss of life and property.*

1. Identify and share data and use a common set of base information for risk assessment, concepts of “defensible space” and fire-adapted communities.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Distribute knowledge of wildfire conditions by sharing risk assessment analysis results and emphasis on use common terminology at all levels.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Create new and maintain current partnerships among agencies and citizens.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNTIES Continued:

1. Provide opportunities for science, community, and local knowledge input on analysis results, as one means of information verification.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Utilize fire threat, effects, and risks to help prioritize geographical areas in the WUI Zone of the CWPP as well as determine recommended management actions within the WUI Zones.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Identify and improve upon economic opportunities to supply forest product needs, ranging from biomass to higher valued products (CWS 2014, USDA 2015). Make efforts to utilize biomass material whenever appropriate. Utilize fuel reduction material where suitable and cost-effective.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNITIES Continued:

1. Identify economic opportunities to offset costs during treatments and to supply local areas with forest products, ranging from biomass to higher valued material (CWS 2014).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Maintain and improve our forest products and manufacturing infrastructure by supplying material during appropriate mitigation strategy activities to support local capability for future restoration activities

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Develop a process for monitoring the need for maintenance of treated areas overtime, in order to preserve the benefits of forest health already achieved (HFRA 2003, revisions 2014). Schedule periodic maintenance of treatment areas based cost effectiveness and HFRA Section 102, (g) regulations.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNTIES Continued:

1. Develop wildfire mitigation strategies that provide protection of community infrastructure and values such as municipal watersheds, cultural assets, view sheds, parks, transportation and utility corridors (CWS 2014). Include FIREWISE, Ready-Set-Go, etc.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Encourage investments in ecological restoration and outdoor recreation that result in job opportunities (FS 2015).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Design treatments based on ecosystems health, landowner input, highest potential for wildfire protection success combined with ecosystem benefits where appropriate, and increased funding opportunities.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

FIRE-ADAPTED COMMUNITIES Continued:

1. Identify opportunities for across-boundary funding sources to increase pace and scale of planning and implementation.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Expand fire-adapted community concept to include preparing fire management and public members in terms of potential social and personal reactions to evacuation protocols and events.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES**

Goal: *Landscapes across all jurisdictions are resilient to fire-related disturbances in accordance with management objectives.*

1. Develop opportunities for increasing community understanding of how resilient landscapes benefit communities through sustainable multiple-use management of the national forests and grassland (FS\_2015).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Identify and improve upon economic opportunities to supply forest product needs, ranging from biomass to more highly valued products (CWS 2014, USDA 2015). Make efforts to provide accurate information on biomass material availability. Utilize fuel reduction material where suitable and cost-effective.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Develop a process for monitoring the need for maintenance of treated areas overtime in order to preserve the benefits of forest health already achieved (HFRA 2003, revisions 2014). All lands should be evaluated when considering maintenance of investments.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES Continued:

1. Increase and identify opportunities for economic expansion in forest- and grassland-dependent communities (CWS 2014). Develop economically-viable treatments that provide return revenue in order to accomplish fuels reduction at a landscape scale and to reduce overall fire risk in the County.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Invest in ecological restoration and outdoor recreation that result in job opportunities (FS 2015).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Design treatments based on ecosystems health, landowner input, and highest potential for wildfire protection success, combined with ecosystem benefits where appropriate, and increased funding opportunities.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES Continued:

1. Identify opportunities for across-boundary funding sources to increase pace and scale of planning and implementation. Place emphasis on increasing pace and scale in order to effectively protect communities and landscapes.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Develop new approaches for application of monies within the WUI Zones. Eliminate funding application to one location and allow for allocation of dollars to multiple areas that meet request criteria.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Encourage revenue-producing (i.e. commercial timber harvest) projects that, in turn, can help support increased restoration and forest management activities, while providing some economic benefits to our local communities (Northern Blue Mountain Cohesive Strategy (NBMCS 2013).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

RESTORE AND MAINTAIN LANDSCAPES Continued:

1. Promote increased utilization of the “Good Neighbor Policy” and Stewardship contracting authority to accomplish forest management and restoration activities (NBMCS 2013).

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Integrate with local forest collaborative groups to capitalize on mutual efforts that support the intent of landscape treatments and fire risk mitigations. Identify conditions where fire is placed within a broader vision with multiple jurisdictions of responsibility.

DEADLINES - rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ COSTS – rating: \_\_\_\_\_\_ DESIRED OUTCOME – rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Rational and recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***Union County Survey***

The Blue Mountain Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Study was originally completed prior to the completion of the 2005 CWPP. It was meant to gauge residents’ understanding of wildfire issues in high-risk areas. This survey is a Union County follow-up and revision to the 2005 questionnaire.

**Union County Wildfire Survey**

**Wildland-Urban Interface – Defensible Space**

April 21, 2015

**Question 1.**

1. **Are you a forest landowner?**

Yes No

**Question 2.**

 If not, Do you live adjacent to forested lands?

Yes No

**Question 3. If you own forested lands, do you live on your forested property?**

Yes No

**Question 4. How many forested acres do you own?**

Estimated Acres \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Question 5. Please indicate the geographic area in which your forested property is located. (If you own property in more** **than one area, please mark all that apply).**

Camp Elkanah Cove

Elgin Hilgard

Imbler Island City

La Grande Medical Springs

Morgan Lake Mount Emily

North Powder Palmer Junction

Pumpkin Ridge Ruckle Road

S. Fork Cath. Ck Starkey

Summerville

Weston Mtn/Tollgate

Union

**Question 6. How high do you feel the risk of a wildfire is in your neighborhood?**

High Med Low

**Question 7. If a wildfire occurred in your area, what factors would place you and/or your home at risk?**

A. Neighboring properties with high fuel load/stand conditions.

High Low

B. Response time/capability/equipment of local fire agencies.

High Low

C. Fuel loads/stand conditions on your properties.

High Low

D. Flammability of your structures.

High Low

E. Access to your property.

High Low

F. Construction material used on home.

High Low

G. Position of home on slope.

High Low

H. Loss of services and utilities.

High Low

**Question 8. Do you have a plan for what you would do if there were a fire threatening your neighborhood?**

Yes No

**Question 9. Have you ever participated in**

**National Fire Plan /fire risk reduction activities?**

Yes No

**Question 10: If so how did you learn about National Fire Plan/fire risk reduction activities?**

Community meeting

 Talking to a neighbor

 Media (newspaper/radio)

 2005 Community Wildfire Plan

 Local Fire Management Agency

 Other: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Question 11. Defensible space refers to the area between a house and an oncoming wildfire where the vegetation has been modified to reduce the wildfire threat and to provide an opportunity for firefighters to effectively defend the house.**

**Sometimes a defensible space is simply a** **homeowner’s properly maintained back yard. How knowledgeable do you feel you are regarding creating defensible space?**

 High Med Low

**Question 12. Have you worked around your home to create a defensible space?**

Yes No

**Question 13. If yes, how much work is remaining to complete defensible space?**

25% or less

25 to 50%

50 – 75%

More than 75% of work remains

**Question 14. If you did do this work, did you use National Fire Plan cost share assistance?**

Yes No Unsure

**Question 15. How interested are you in learning more about creating defensible space?**

High Med Low

**Question 16. Where is the greatest need for fuels reduction work near your property?**

Private lands

U.S. Forest Service

Industrial Forest Land

**Question 17. How concerned are you about your scenic view being impacted by National Fire Plan Fuels Reduction** **work?**

Very Concerned

Somewhat Concerned

Not Concerned

**Question 18. If you were interested in learning more, what kind of informational format would you prefer?**

A. Direct mailed brochures

 B. Centralized workshops

or classes

C. Video

D. Hands-on demonstrations

E. Self-guided tour of demonstration areas

F. Local television

G. Radio

H. Internet website

I. Neighborhood workshops

J. Individual consultation

K. Newspaper insert

**Question 19. Please rate the following 8 questions by identifying your level of concern regarding building a defensible space around your home.**

**Use the following to circle the answer that best fits.**

**1=very little concern; 2 = somewhat concerned;**

**3= Highly concerned; 4=extremely concerned**

Amount of physical work required.

1 2 3 4

Amount of time required.

 1 2 3 4

Financial cost required.

 1 2 3 4

Doing the work yourself.

 1 2 3 4

Hiring a contractor/forestry professional.

 1 2 3 4

The aesthetic value of your property.

 1 2 3 4

Neighborhood covenants/restrictions.

1 2 3 4

Amount of maintenance required.

1 2 3 4

**Question 20. How much would you be willing to pay to reduce the wildfire risk that your home faces?**

Very little

Part of cost

Majority or all of costs

**Question 21. Are you aware of the financial assistance available for treating fuels on homeowners’/landowners’ properties?**

Yes No

**Question 22. Are you interested in learning more or applying for some of these funds?**

Yes No

**Question 23. If not, why would you be reluctant?**

A. Not interested in assistance

 B. Don’t need it

C. Don’t want to do any work

D. Nor sure how to get started

E. Government requirement/

regulation issues

F. Need more information

**Question 24. Would you be willing to put on an educational program for your neighborhood or share information to a neighbor?**

Education Program Yes No

Talk to a neighbor Yes No

Additional comments: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Land Owner Wildfire Property Assessment

1. Is your home protected by a local fire agency?

No Yes

1. If yes, what is the fire response time to your home?
* Under 10 minutes
* 10 – 20 minutes
* 20 – 30 minutes
* 30 – 45 minutes
* More than 45 minutes
1. What type of roof does your home have (please mark one)
* Composite
* Wooden Shakes (shingles)
* Ceramic tiles
* Aluminum, tin, or other metal
* Other \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
1. How many trees are within 250 feet of your home?
* None
* Fewer than 10
* Between 10 and 25
* More than 25
1. How many trees are within 75 feet of your home?
* None
* Fewer than 10
* Between 10 and 25
* More than 25
1. Do you have burnable materials within 10 feet of your house?
* Propane tank
* Fire wood
* Storage shed
* Paint/chemicals/fuel/oils
* Other \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
1. Do you have lawn surrounding your home?

 No Yes

If yes is it green and trimmed all summer?

1. How long is your driveway from the main public road to your home parking area? Please indicate distance units in feet or miles.
* Feet \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
* Miles \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
1. If you driveway is over 400 feet long, does it have turnouts that would allow two large trucks to pass each other?

No Yes

10.If the primary access to your home were cut off because of a wildfire, would you have an alternate escape route?

* No
* Yes

Question 11. Please indicate which of the following items you have available at or near your home that could be used in fighting a wildland fire that may threaten your home (mark all that apply).

* Portable water tank
* Fixed/stationary water tank
* Nearby pond, stream
* Water pump – fire hose
* Equipment suitable for creating fire breaks (bulldozer, cat, farm tractor, etc)

Question 12. Do you have extenuating circumstances that would slow your ability to leave in a prompt manner?

* Livestock
* Elderly
* Disabled/handicap
* No transportation/shared vehicle

If you marked question 12, do you have a plan?

 No Yes